Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved				
Overall Rating:	Satisfactory			
Decision:				
Portfolio/Project Number:	00112632			
Portfolio/Project Title:	Future Skills and Jobs for Youth in Regions of Armenia			
Portfolio/Project Date:	2019-02-01 / 2021-12-31			

Strategic

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?

3: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)

2: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)

1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

Since March 2020, the Government has announced a State of Emergency, restricting mobility and specifi c types of activity in the country. This has caused m ajor disruption in the project plans associated with re gional travel, public events and VET infrastructure s upport. The project team has managed to repurpose some interventions, so that they do not deviate from the overall goals and objectives of the project, but al so respond to the challenges of the new reality. War in Fall of 2020 has frozen project activities and awar eness raising components, causing delays in the im plementation timeline. For this the project was exten ded for 3 months to allow complete commitment, co nduct monitoring and review of all activities, ensurin g everything is delivered of required quality by the e nd of the project.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	TFDannualreporttemplateArmenia_FutureSki IlsandJobs_2020Annualreport_10618_301 (h ttps://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAF ormDocuments/TFDannualreporttemplateAr menia_FutureSkillsandJobs_2020Annualrep ort_10618_301.docx)	hasmik.soghomonyan@undp.o rg	11/17/2021 1:37:00 PM

2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?

- 3: The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopted at least one Signature Solution .The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: The project responded to at least one of the developments settings1 as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- 1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

As part of Output 2 Digital Skills for youth the project has created pathways for poverty eradication in four regions of Armenia through paid internships, start-up seed funding.

As part of Output 3 Regional Resource and Develop ment Centers set up the project has done capital inv estment in learning spaces in VET colleges to ensur e local youth received education, which will help the m to enter labor market and have sustainable incom e.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	TFDannualreporttemplateArmenia_FutureSki IlsandJobs_2020Annualreport_10618_302 (h ttps://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAF ormDocuments/TFDannualreporttemplateAr menia_FutureSkillsandJobs_2020Annualrep ort_10618_302.docx)	hasmik.soghomonyan@undp.o rg	11/17/2021 1:38:00 PM

Relevant

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

3. Were the project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?

- 3: Systematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
- 2: Targeted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collected regularly to ensure the project addressed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)
- 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected
- Not Applicable

The whole project implementation cycle was highly e ngaging and included participatory consultations wit h local communities, with particular focus on rural yo uth living in Lori, Tavush, Shirak and Gegharkunik re gions (as specified in the Project Document). The project regularly received feedback through soci al media channels, and even in times of total lockdo wn remained responsive and active for its beneficiari es (see details of social media reach in the attached document).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	TFDannualreporttemplateArmenia_FutureSki IlsandJobs_2020Annualreport_10618_303 (h ttps://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAF ormDocuments/TFDannualreporttemplateAr menia_FutureSkillsandJobs_2020Annualrep ort_10618_303.docx)	hasmik.soghomonyan@undp.o rg	11/17/2021 1:43:00 PM

4. Did the project generate knowledge, and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)

2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, were considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)

1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team.
 There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

Because of dual crisis in 2020, some activities were re-shaped. The project has turned the challenge of li mited mobility into the opportunity of getting wider o utreach and not limiting beneficiaries to community o f target marzes, and to scale webinars, trainings and public talks' reach to national level. In doing so, the communications strategy was reshaped and online e vents gave opportunity to reach more users on natio nal level. Skill building components, including online courses and remote internships have proven to be v ery effective.

However, the activities that required face-to-face inte raction and more intense communication, such as c o-design workshops and other crowdsourcing activiti es had to be reshapes.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Summary_FutureSkills_10618_304 (https://in tranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDoc uments/Summary_FutureSkills_10618_304.d ocx)	hasmik.soghomonyan@undp.o rg	11/17/2021 1:46:00 PM

5. Was the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

3: There was credible evidence that the project reached sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.

- 2: While the project was not considered at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).
- 1: The project was not at scale, and there are no plans to scale up the project in the future.

All planed indicators were reach (as mentioned in th e RRF), activities of the concluded projects helped t o scale the programmatic interventions. For instanc e, results of the skills technological foresight and fut ure modelling have informed one of the early recove ry project activities, having the same target marzes as "Future Skills and Jobs project". Sustainability of r enovated regional colleges will be ensured not only t hrough partnership with Ministry of Education, Scien ce, Culture and Sport, but also bringin additional reskilling and up-skillings schemes provided by private sector at this newly equipped educational spaces (a s part of MPTF-funded "Accelerating women's empo werment for economic resilience and renewal - the p ost COVID-19 reboot in Armenia" project).

List of Uploaded Documents Modified By Modified On # File Name Modified By Modified On No documents available. Voluments available. Voluments available.

Principled

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

6. Were the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.

- 3: The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

In order to eliminate social injustice and to ensure e qual opportunities for all, the project approach looks at the development targets through a gender perspe ctive too. The project ensured balanced participation of women in capacity building trainings (at least 4 0%) and startups incubation and acceleration (over 50%). Gender mainstreaming of the program was ac hieved through the following main directions:

• Gender empowerment: the project increased th e role of women on municipal level by advocating for equal participation and engagement of women. Thro ugh project activities women living in rural areas wer e empowered to realization of their potential through self-employment opportunities.

• The monitoring and evaluation methodology of the project provided gender-segregated data collection.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	SED_SPR_2021-FutureSkillsandJobs_Q2_1 0618_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Pro jectQA/QAFormDocuments/SED_SPR_2021 -FutureSkillsandJobs_Q2_10618_306.docx)	hasmik.soghomonyan@undp.o rg	11/17/2021 1:48:00 PM

7. Were social and environmental impacts and risks successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there is a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP was updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project was categorized as Low risk through the SESP.
- 1: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk, there was no evidence that social and environmental assessments completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There are substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP was not updated. (any may be true)

	as well was reviewed bi-annually and relevant monitoring reports.	t design phas I reflected in t	
Lis	t of Uploaded Documents		
ŧ	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
10 (documents available.		
r g v 2 p	now to access it. If the project was ca prievance mechanism was in place a vere effectively addressed in accorda 2: Project-affected people informed o	formed of UNDP's Corporate Account ategorized as High or Moderate Risk t nd project affected people informed. I ance with SRM Guidance. (all must be of UNDP's Corporate Accountability M athrough the SESP, a project -level gr	hrough the SESP, a project -level f grievances were received, they e true) echanism and how to access it. If
c 1 v	vere received, they were not respond	formed of UNDP's Corporate Account	
c 1 v Evid	: Project-affected people was not inf	formed of UNDP's Corporate Account ded to. (any may be true)	
c) 1 v Evid No ope	Project-affected people was not inf vere received, they were not respond ence: grievances were received, the proje	formed of UNDP's Corporate Account ded to. (any may be true)	
c 1 v	: Project-affected people was not inf vere received, they were not respond ence: grievances were received, the proje en and transparent.	formed of UNDP's Corporate Account ded to. (any may be true)	

- 9. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately implemented?
- 3: The project had a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones were fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, included during evaluations and/or After-Action Reviews, were used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)
- 2: The project costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets were populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there was may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources was not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were captured but were used to take corrective actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not clearly planned and budgeted for, or were unrealistic. Progress data was not regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations did not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project did not have an M&E plan.

Evidence:

All monitoring reports were submitted on time, provi ding data and evidence of required quality.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	SED_SPR_2021-FutureSkillsandJobs_Q2_1 0618_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Pro jectQA/QAFormDocuments/SED_SPR_2021 -FutureSkillsandJobs_Q2_10618_309.docx)	hasmik.soghomonyan@undp.o rg	11/17/2021 1:53:00 PM

10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?

- 3: The project's governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: The project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- 1: The project's governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

The project was implemented by UNDP through "Su pport to National Implementation Modality (NIM) und er coordination with the Ministry of Territorial Admini stration and Development (MTAD) as the Project Im plementing Partner. The UNDP CO ensured project accountability, transparency, effectiveness and effici ency in implementation.

Financial oversight, including approval of expenditur es and independent audits, monitoring and mid-term and final evaluation of progress and results were en sured by the country office. Management of project funds including budget revisions, disbursements, rec ord keeping, accounting, reporting, and auditing stric tly followed UNDP rules and procedures.

Due to dual crisis in 2020, the project received appr oval for three months non-cost extension from the d onor (Russia Trust Fund) with agreement with Natio nal Implementing Partner.

Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

11. Were risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- 3: The project monitored risks every quarter and consulted with the key stakeholders, security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks to assess if the main assumptions remained valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)
- 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates were made to management plans and mitigation measures.
- 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There was may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that may affected the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions were taken to mitigate risks.

The project closely monitored all risks, initiating mitig ation measures to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. T he risk log was reviewed and updated because of du al crisis of 2020.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	SED_SPR_2021-FutureSkillsandJobs_Q2_1 0618_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Proj ectQA/QAFormDocuments/SED_SPR_2021- FutureSkillsandJobs_Q2_10618_311.docx)	hasmik.soghomonyan@undp.o rg	11/17/2021 2:00:00 PM

Efficient

Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory

12. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

Yes

No

Evidence:

The project has built strong partnerships and mobilis ed Parallelfunding for the Future Skills for Rural Yout h project in the amount of 852000 USD.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	FutureSkillsParralelFundingMatrix_10618_31 2 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/Q AFormDocuments/FutureSkillsParralelFundin gMatrix_10618_312.pdf)	hasmik.soghomonyan@undp.o rg	11/17/2021 2:03:00 PM

- 13. Were project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?
- 3: The project had a procurement plan and kept it updated. The project quarterly reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 2: The project had updated procurement plan. The project annually reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not have an updated procurement plan. The project team may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs regularly, however management actions were not taken to address them.

Evidence:

The project initially had draft plan for procurement, w hich was implemented as the project progressed.

List of Uploaded Documents # File Name Modified By Modified On No documents available. Voluments available. Voluments available.

14. Was there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies, taking into account the expected quality of results?

- 3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviewed costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximized results delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinated with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and sought efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)
- 2: The project monitored its own costs and gave anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinated activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.
- 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitored its own costs and considered ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

Exd	-	ce:
E VI	еп	Ce

Finance Associate together with Technical Task Lea d regularly monitored the spending of the project to ensure that maximum results were delivered with giv en resources. Also the project has shared informatio n about the ongoing process during UNDP Program Meetings, Portfolio meetings and discussions to ens ure synergies with other project (when applicable, e. g. joint activities)

List of Uploaded Documents

No documents available.	ed On			
	No documents available.			

Effective	Quality Rating: Satisfactory
15. Was the project on track and delivered its expected of	outputs?
 Yes No 	
Evidence:	
The project delivered expected outputs.	

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Summary_FutureSkills_10618_315 (https://in tranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDoc uments/Summary_FutureSkills_10618_315.d ocx)	hasmik.soghomonyan@undp.o rg	11/17/2021 2:09:00 PM
2	FutureSkillsandJobs_July22_2021_10618_3 15 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/ QAFormDocuments/FutureSkillsandJobs_Jul y22_2021_10618_315.pptx)	hasmik.soghomonyan@undp.o rg	11/17/2021 2:10:00 PM

16. Were there regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- 3: Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations /or After-Action Reviews) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions were made. (both must be true)
- 2: There was at least one review of the work plan per year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.
- 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management took place.

Evidence:

Workplan was reviewed annually during Board meeti ngs.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	SED_SPR_2021-FutureSkillsandJobs_Q2_1 0618_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Pro jectQA/QAFormDocuments/SED_SPR_2021 -FutureSkillsandJobs_Q2_10618_316.docx)	hasmik.soghomonyan@undp.o rg	11/17/2021 2:12:00 PM

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

	3: The project targeted specific groups an their capacity needs, deprivation and/or e of work. There is clear evidence that the t regularly with targeted groups over the pa adjustments were made if necessary, to re 2: The project targeted specific groups an needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from	xclusion from development oppo argeted groups were reached as ust year to assess whether they b efine targeting. (all must be true) ad/or geographic areas, based or	rtunities relevant to the project's area intended. The project engaged penefited as expected and some evidence of their capacity
	Some evidence is provided to confirm tha some engagement with beneficiaries in th must be true)		• • •
\bigcirc	1: The project did not report on specific ta beneficiaries are populations have capaci opportunities relevant to the project area of whether they benefited as expected, but it	ty needs or are deprived and/or of work. There is some engagem	excluded from development ent with beneficiaries to assess
\bigcirc	Not Applicable		
a	ations based on the project document.		
L	ist of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
N	o documents available.		
Sust	ainability & National Ownership	Quality Rating: Sat	isfactory

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decisionmaking, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- Not Applicable

Apart from implementing partner (Ministry of Territori al Administration and Infrastructure), the project has also partnered with Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sport who supported implementation of Output 2 in terms of professional expertise and furth er ownership of project investments.

Li	List of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

19. Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed, and were the implementation arrangements⁸ adjusted according to changes in partner capacities?

- 3: Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 2: Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

The project didn't aim at capacity building of nationa l partners.

РΜ	M Closure Print		
List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	o documents available.		
	Nere the transition and phase-out arrangements v ncial commitment and capacity).	vere reviewed and adjusted accore	ding to progress (including
	3: The project's governance mechanism regularly arrangements for transition and phase-out, to en- set out by the plan. The plan was implemented a adjustments made during implementation. (both n 2: There was a review of the project's sustainabil to ensure the project remained on track in meetin 1: The project may have had a sustainability plan developed. Also select this option if the project di	sure the project remained on track s planned by the end of the project must be true) <i>lity plan, including arrangements for</i> <i>ng the requirements set out by the</i> n but there was no review of this st	in meeting the requirement t, taking into account any or transition and phase-out, plan. rategy after it was
	idence:		
Ev			

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	FutureSkillsandJobs_July22_2021_10618_3 20 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/ QAFormDocuments/FutureSkillsandJobs_Jul y22_2021_10618_320.pptx)	hasmik.soghomonyan@undp.o rg	11/17/2021 2:21:00 PM

QA Summary/Final Project Board Comments

Knowledge accumulated in the project through this component helped to fundraise and ensure continuity of specific programmatic direction. Talent attraction and retention strategy has become basis for spin off project launched in 20 21 in Lori region, with Stepanavan municipality. The project explores how might small cities attract talent and leapfro g their development.

o Results of the skills technological foresight and future modelling have informed one of the early recovery projec t activities, having the same target marzes as "Future Skills and Jobs project". Sustainability of renovated regional co lleges will be ensured not only through partnership with Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sport, but also b ringin additional re-skilling and up-skillings schemes provided by private sector at this newly equipped educational s paces (as part of MPTF-funded "Accelerating women's empowerment for economic resilience and renewal - the post COVID-19 reboot in Armenia" project).

o The intellectual research product, branded under "Human Development (HD) Snapshot" headline, was the first in the series of multimedia research packages reflecting diverse aspects of human development. First research pape r explores current trends on freelance and remote employment market (both globally and locally) aiming to collect ad ditional evidence and details for further interventions. The study focuses not on a specific industry, but rather alternat ive ways and agile forms of employment in lockdown conditions applicable throughout all professions. The second r esearch looks into talent attraction and retention strategies and how might human capital development be boosted in urban areas, considering foresight sessions results, having particular focus on opportunities of creative industry for s mall nations. The third ones looks into Veteran Support Models. This research products are supporting and validatin g the development interventions in target areas.

Recommendations: Post-COVID and post-war situation have sharpened the need for capacity building components on alternative employment opportunities, crash skill building (both re-skilling and up-skilling) activities of the project. Ensuring continuation of at least those two directions is seen very important in perspective of recovery actions.