ANNEXES

Social and Environmental Screening

Project Information

Pr	oject Information	
1.	Project Title	Building Back Better through Strengthening Resilience of Rural Communities in Ararat, Aragatsotn, Armavir and Kotayk regions
2.	Project Number	00129879/00123410
3.	Location (Global/Region/Country)	Armenia

Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability

QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles in order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach

The Project applies methodology and tools encouraging wide participation and engagement of the most vulnerable groups. Its inclusive approach supported by the participatory recovery planning and strong social selection criteria is mainstreamed throughout all the project components and enhances the availability, accessibility and quality of benefits and services for potentially marginalized individuals and groups (consistent with the non-discrimination and equality human rights principle). The local consultations and participatory recovery planning encourage meaningful participation and inclusion of all stakeholders in processes that may impact them including implementation and monitoring of the project. Through forming local community resilience teams, the Project supports capacity building, creating an enabling environment for participation (consistent with participation and inclusion human rights principle). The focus group discussions and community meetings provide meaningful means for local communities and affected populations to raise concerns and/or grievances, including a redress processes for local communities, when activities may adversely impact them (consistent with accountability and rule of law human rights principle). All the project activities will be implemented respecting human rights-related standards in national law with zero tolerance for any violations by the beneficiaries or the project staff.

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment

The Project applies a meaningful participatory process for engaging women's voices. The focus group discussions held by the Project will enable women to express their needs and expectations, as well as will allow the Project to identify their strengths and potential for creating added value. The Project includes analysis of the impact of COVID-19 on women and clearly sets activities under output 3 to address some of them, particularly related to income generation and job creation. The Results Framework of the Project document incorporates age and sex-disaggregated data and gender statistics and specific, measurable indicators related to gender equality and women's empowerment. The Project will set clear selection criteria to ensure women participation in the project targeting the most vulnerable groups, including women as heads of households, those engaged in the sectors most affected by the COVID-19, women with disabilities, victims of domestic violence, etc.

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams environmental sustainability

All the activities of the Project, including construction, agricultural activities and introduced innovation (technology, products and processes) will be in line with the existing environmental norms without adverse environmental effect and without undermining the sustainable and resilient growth of the local communities. Under its outputs 2 and 4 the Project is committed to mainstream risk mitigation measures and introduce green technologies towards increasing the resilience of the beneficiary communities and fostering long-term sustainable benefits for the local population. With this said, the Project addresses environment-development linkages recognizing poverty-environment nexus and environmental dimensions of disaster and crisis prevention).

Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental Risks

QUESTION 2: What are the Potential Social and Environmental Risks?		otential soc	the level of significance ial and environmental	QUESTION 6: What social and environmental assessment and management measures have been conducted and/or are required to address potential risks (for Risks with Moderate and High Significance)?
Risk Description	Impact and Probabilit y (1-5)	Significan ce (Low, Moderate, High)	Comments	Description of assessment and management measures as reflected in the Project design. If ESIA or SESA is required note that the assessment should consider all potential impacts and risks.
The socioeconomic empowerment of local women can increase discriminatory attitudes towards them in domestic or public spheres (2.1; 2.2).	I = 2 P = 2	Low	This is a manageable short- term risk with low likelihood.	 To avoid the risk, the Project will assess the local sociocultural limitations for women's participation (insights will be collected in the course of community meetings, focus group discussions, expert observations). The engagement tools and methods will be adjusted based on the findings. The goals and means of the Project approach will be communicated comprehensively among men and women seeking their participation, cooperation and support. Safe and equitable environment will be created allowing equal access to both men and women to the project resources and comparable social and economic benefits. To manage the risk, a feedback mechanism will be established to collect and address grievances from both groups.
Extreme climatic conditions or natural hazards can affect the Project results particularly resulting in harvest loss or destruction of physical infrastructure (3.3; 3.5).	I = 2 P = 2	Low	This is a site-specific short- term risk with low likelihood.	 To avoid the risk, all the construction subprojects will be implemented in accord with the mandatory norms, including a seismic assessment, whenever required. Technical supervision and local monitoring mechanisms will be applied to ensure the due quality of construction works. Water-saving mechanisms will be introduced by the Project to minimise the losses in case of droughts. Risk mitigation measures addressing specific disaster risks in the target communities will be applied to ensure the sustainability of Project results.
	QUESTION	N 4: What is	the overall Project risk cate	gorization?

Select one (see <u>SESP</u> for guidance)		Comments
Low Risl	X	
Moderate Risl		
High Risl		
QUESTION 5: Based on the identified risk risk categorization, what requirements of th are relevant?		
Check all that apply		Comments
Principle 1: Human Rights		
Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment	x	
1. Biodiversity Conservation and Natura Resource Management		
2. Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation		
3. Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions	X	
4. Cultural Heritage		
5. Displacement and Resettlement		
6. Indigenous Peoples		
7. Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency		

Final Sign Off

Signature		Date	Description
QA Assessor	U. Jrj	10.12.2020	Anna Gyurjyan, SEG Portfolio Manager
QA Approver/PAC Chair	Jume-	10.12.2020	Mihaela Stojkoska, UNDP DRR
LPAC Chair	Jume.	16.12.2020	Mihaela Stojkoska, UNDP DRR

Social and Environmental Risk Screening Checklist

Checklist Potential Social and Environmental <u>Risks</u> Principles 1: Human Rights		
1.	Could the Project lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups?	No
2.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups? ¹	No
3.	Could the Project potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups?	No
4.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups, from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	No
5.	Is there a risk that duty-bearers do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the Project?	No
6.	Is there a risk that rights-holders do not have the capacity to claim their rights?	No
7.	Have local communities or individuals, given the opportunity, raised human rights concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process?	No
8.	Is there a risk that the Project would exacerbate conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project- affected communities and individuals?	No
Prin	ciple 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment	
1.	Is there a likelihood that the proposed Project would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?	Yes
2.	Would the Project potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	Yes
3.	Have women's groups/leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process and has this been included in the overall Project proposal and in the risk assessment?	No
4.	Would the Project potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	No
	For example, activities that could lead to natural resources degradation or depletion in communities who depend on these resources for their livelihoods and well being	
	ciple 3: Environmental Sustainability: Screening questions regarding environmental risks are ompassed by the specific Standard-related questions below	
Star	idard 1: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management	
1.1	Would the Project potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical	No

¹ Prohibited grounds of discrimination include race, ethnicity, gender, age, language, disability, sexual orientation, religion, political or other opinion, national or social or geographical origin, property, birth or other status including as an indigenous person or as a member of a minority. References to "women and men" or similar is understood to include women and men, boys and girls, and other groups discriminated against based on their gender identities, such as transgender people and transsexuals.

	For example, through habitat loss, conversion or degradation, fragmentation, hydrological changes	
1.2	Are any Project activities proposed within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	No
1.3	Does the Project involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? (Note: if restrictions and/or limitations of access to lands would apply, refer to Standard 5)	No
1.4	Would Project activities pose risks to endangered species?	No
1.5	Would the Project pose a risk of introducing invasive alien species?	No
1.6	Does the Project involve harvesting of natural forests, plantation development, or reforestation?	No
1.7	Does the Project involve the production and/or harvesting of fish populations or other aquatic species?	No
1.8	Does the Project involve significant extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground water? For example, construction of dams, reservoirs, river basin developments, groundwater extraction	No
1.9	Does the Project involve utilization of genetic resources? (e.g. collection and/or harvesting, commercial development)	No
1.10	Would the Project generate potential adverse transboundary or global environmental concerns?	No
1.11	Would the Project result in secondary or consequential development activities which could lead to adverse social and environmental effects, or would it generate cumulative impacts with other known existing or planned activities in the area?	No
	For example, a new road through forested lands will generate direct environmental and social impacts (e.g. felling of trees, earthworks, potential relocation of inhabitants). The new road may also facilitate encroachment on lands by illegal settlers or generate unplanned commercial development along the route, potentially in sensitive areas. These are indirect, secondary, or induced impacts that need to be considered. Also, if similar developments in the same forested area are planned, then cumulative impacts of multiple activities (even if not part of the same Project) need to be considered.	
Stand	dard 2: Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation	
2.1	Will the proposed Project result in significant ² greenhouse gas emissions or may exacerbate climate change?	No
2.2	Would the potential outcomes of the Project be sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change?	No
2.3	Is the proposed Project likely to directly or indirectly increase social and environmental vulnerability to climate change now or in the future (also known as maladaptive practices)?	No
	For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding	
Stand	dard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions	
3.1	Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	No

² In regards to CO₂, 'significant emissions' corresponds generally to more than 25,000 tons per year (from both direct and indirect sources). [The Guidance Note on Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation provides additional information on GHG emissions.]

3.2	Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)?	No
3.3	Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings)?	Yes
3.4	Would failure of structural elements of the Project pose risks to communities? (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)	No
3.5	Would the proposed Project be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme climatic conditions?	Yes
3.6	Would the Project result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from water-borne or other vector- borne diseases or communicable infections such as HIV/AIDS)?	No
3.7	Does the Project pose potential risks and vulnerabilities related to occupational health and safety due to physical, chemical, biological, and radiological hazards during Project construction, operation, or decommissioning?	No
3.8	Does the Project involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labor standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	No
3.9	Does the Project engage security personnel that may pose a potential risk to health and safety of communities and/or individuals (e.g. due to a lack of adequate training or accountability)?	No
Stand	lard 4: Cultural Heritage	
4.1	Will the proposed Project result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? (Note: Projects intended to protect and conserve Cultural Heritage may also have inadvertent adverse impacts)	No
4.2	Does the Project propose utilizing tangible and/or intangible forms of cultural heritage for commercial or other purposes?	No
Stand	lard 5: Displacement and Resettlement	
5.1	Would the Project potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	No
5.2	Would the Project possibly result in economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions – even in the absence of physical relocation)?	No
5.3	Is there a risk that the Project would lead to forced evictions? ³	No
5.4	Would the proposed Project possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community-based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	No
Stand	lard 6: Indigenous Peoples	
6.1	Are indigenous peoples present in the Project area (including Project area of influence)?	No
6.2	Is it likely that the Project or portions of the Project will be located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.3	Would the proposed Project potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas, whether the Project is located within or outside of the lands and	No

³ Forced evictions include acts and/or omissions involving the coerced or involuntary displacement of individuals, groups, or communities from homes and/or lands and common property resources that were occupied or depended upon, thus eliminating the ability of an individual, group, or community to reside or work in a particular dwelling, residence, or location without the provision of, and access to, appropriate forms of legal or other protections.

	territories inhabited by the affected peoples, or whether the indigenous peoples are recognized as indigenous peoples by the country in question)?	
	If the answer to the screening question 6.3 is "yes" the potential risk impacts are considered potentially severe and/or critical and the Project would be categorized as either Moderate or High Risk.	
6.4	Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving FPIC on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	No
6.5	Does the proposed Project involve the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.6	Is there a potential for forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources?	No
6.7	Would the Project adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	No
6.8	Would the Project potentially affect the physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples?	No
6.9	Would the Project potentially affect the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?	No
Stan	dard 7: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	
7.1	Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	No
7.2	Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	No
7.3	Will the proposed Project potentially involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous chemicals and/or materials? Does the Project propose use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs? For example, DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the	No
	Stockholm Conventions on Persistent Organic Pollutants or the Montreal Protocol	
7.4	Stockholm Conventions on Persistent Organic Pollutants or the Montreal Protocol Will the proposed Project involve the application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health?	No