ANNEX VIII: SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING (AS PER STANDARD UNDP PROGRAMMING REQUIREMENTS)

Project Information

Project Information	
1. Project Title	VIA DINARICA PROJECT: A PLATFORM FOR SUSTAINABLE TOURISM DEVELOPMENT AND LOCAL ECONOMIC GROWTH (PHASE II)
2. Project Number	00107283
3. Location (Global/Region/Country)	Bosnia and Herzegovina

Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability

QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles in order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach

The Project does not explicitly promote human rights of target groups, but its intention is clear to seek and enhance social inclusion in all stages of the Project implementation, thus contributing to the creation of equal opportunities when it comes to access to nature, public infrastructure and services, employability and access to labour market. The socially excluded groups are: unemployed women and youth and long-term unemployed people; persons with disabilities; returnees and internally displaced persons; and Roma.

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment

The Project intends to ensure gender equality perspective across all activities. Specific focus will be given to women economic empowerment through incentivized job creation and livelihood opportunities for women within the Project grant schemes and capacity building activities. The Project's RRF includes concrete gender-sensitive indicators, through which concrete results will be measured. It will track changes by collecting data for sex-disaggregated indicators where possible and relevant.

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams environmental sustainability

The Via Dinarica concept promotes tourism for the purpose of the sustainable economic development of the countries along its corridors, while preserving the environment and respecting the sociocultural diversity and authenticity of communities. Tourism, as a mayor focus of the Project stimulates measures to protect or conserve nature, while at the same time presents a significant environmental risk because of its demands and effects on natural resources. Thanks to the diversity of its flora and fauna, Bosnia and Herzegovina tops the Dinaric region's biodiversity index. However, numerous illegal waste dumps in nature not only damage the environment, but also minimise quality of tourism experience. Therefore, one of the Project's main streamlines is to raise awareness on environmental protection and sustainable tourism development, to ensure symbiotic integration of environmental conservation and tourism development objectives. Environment protection organizations are among direct project beneficiaries. The Project involves a number of interventions in rural remote areas, including the

protected ones, such as national and nature parks, as elaborated throughout this document. As such, it does pose certain risk to the environment. The Project will therefore apply particular attention to environmental protection in designing and delivering the interventions. It will adhere strictly to the appropriate national legislation and UNDP's Social and Environmental Standards (SES).

Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental Risks

QUESTION 2: What are the Potential Social and Environmental Risks? Note: Describe briefly potential social and environmental risks identified in Attachment 1 – Risk Screening Checklist (based on any "Yes" responses). If no risks have been identified in Attachment 1 then note "No Risks Identified" and skip to Question 4 and Select "Low Risk". Questions 5 and 6 not required for Low Risk Projects.	QUESTION 3: What is the level of significance of the potential social and environmental risks? <i>Note: Respond to Questions 4 and 5 below before</i> <i>proceeding to Question 6</i>		mental risks?	QUESTION 6: What social and environmental assessment and management measures have been conducted and/or are required to address potential risks (for Risks with Moderate and High Significance)?	
Risk Description	Impact and Probability (1-5)	Significance (Low, Moderate, High)	Comments	Description of assessment and management measures as reflected in the Project design.	
Duty-bearers do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the Project	l = 2 P = 2	Low		The Project will engage with the Project duty-bearers, allowing for timely, regular and realistic planning of public investments into Via Dinarica trails.	
Irresponsible behaviour of tourists along Via Dinarica trails may cause human safety risks, including in terms of mines	l = 2 P = 2	Low		In all its investments, the Project will consider human safety aspects, and highlight the importance of responsible tourist behaviour (e.g. related to forest fires, injuries, avalanches, first aid information, mine information, etc). The Project will also work on strengthening the rescue services.	
The Project activities may cause adverse impacts to habitats to environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park).	I = 3 P= 2	Moderate		The Project will cooperate closely with the managements of legally protected areas and adhere to the national legislation and UNDP's Social and Environmental Standards (SES) to ensure that adverse social and environmental risks and impacts are avoided, minimized, mitigated and managed.	
	QUESTION 4	: What is the ov	erall Project risk categorizati	ion?	

Select one		Comments	
Low Risk	x	The project is assessed as a low -risk category, particularly from human rights aspect viewpoint	
Moderate Risk			
High Risk			
QUESTION 5: Based on the identified risks and ris	k cate	gorization, what requirements of the SES are relevant?	
Check all that apply		Comments	
Principle 1: Human Rights	Х	Low risk project	
Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment			
1. Biodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource Management			
2. Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation			
3. Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions	x	Low risk project	
4. Cultural Heritage			
5. Displacement and Resettlement			
6. Indigenous Peoples			
7. Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency			

Final Sign Off

Signature	Date	Description
QA Assessor	31.01.2018	Amra Zorlak, UNDP Monitoring and Evaluation Analyst
QA Approver	n/a	TBD
LPAC Chair	31.01.2018	Adela Pozder-Čengić

Social and Environmental Risk Screening Checklist

Cheo	klist Potential Social and Environmental <u>Risks</u>	Answer
Principles 1: Human Rights		
1.	Could the Project lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups?	No
2.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups?	No
3.	Could the Project potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups?	No
4.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups, from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	Yes
5.	Is there a risk that duty-bearers do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the Project?	Yes
6.	Is there a risk that rights-holders do not have the capacity to claim their rights?	No
7.	Have local communities or individuals, given the opportunity, raised human rights concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process?	No
8.	Is there a risk that the Project would exacerbate conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project-affected communities and individuals?	No
Prine	ciple 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment	
1.	Is there a likelihood that the proposed Project would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?	No
2.	Would the Project potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	No
3.	Have women's groups/leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process and has this been included in the overall Project proposal and in the risk assessment?	No
4.	Would the Project potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services? For example, activities that could lead to natural resources degradation or depletion in communities who depend on these resources for their livelihoods and well being	No
	ciple 3: Environmental Sustainability: Screening questions regarding environmental risks are ompassed by the specific Standard-related questions below	
Stan	dard 1: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management	
1.1	Would the Project potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	No
1.2	Are any Project activities proposed within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	Yes
1.3	Does the Project involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? (Note: if restrictions and/or limitations of access to lands would apply, refer to Standard 5)	No
1.4	Would Project activities pose risks to endangered species?	No
1.5	Would the Project pose a risk of introducing invasive alien species?	No

1.6	Does the Project involve harvesting of natural forests, plantation development, or reforestation?	No
1.7	Does the Project involve the production and/or harvesting of fish populations or other aquatic species?	No
1.8	Does the Project involve significant extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground water?	No
	For example, construction of dams, reservoirs, river basin developments, groundwater extraction	
1.9	Does the Project involve utilization of genetic resources? (e.g. collection and/or harvesting, commercial development)	No
1.10	Would the Project generate potential adverse transboundary or global environmental concerns?	No
1.11	Would the Project result in secondary or consequential development activities which could lead to adverse social and environmental effects, or would it generate cumulative impacts with other known existing or planned activities in the area? For example, a new road through forested lands will generate direct environmental and social impacts (e.g. felling of trees, earthworks, potential relocation of inhabitants). The new road may also facilitate encroachment on lands by illegal settlers or generate unplanned commercial development along the route, potentially in sensitive areas. These are indirect, secondary, or induced impacts that need to be considered. Also, if similar developments in the same forested area are planned, then cumulative impacts of multiple activities (even if not part of the same Project) need to be considered.	No
Stand	lard 2: Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation	
2.1	Will the proposed Project result in significant greenhouse gas emissions or may exacerbate climate change?	No
2.2	Would the potential outcomes of the Project be sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change?	No
2.3	Is the proposed Project likely to directly or indirectly increase social and environmental vulnerability to climate change now or in the future (also known as maladaptive practices)? For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding	No
Stand	lard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions	No
3.1	Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	No
3.2	Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)?	No
3.3	Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings)?	No
3.4	Would failure of structural elements of the Project pose risks to communities? (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)	No
3.5	Would the proposed Project be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme climatic conditions?	No
3.6	Would the Project result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from water-borne or other vector-borne diseases or communicable infections such as HIV/AIDS)?	No
3.7	Does the Project pose potential risks and vulnerabilities related to occupational health and safety due to physical, chemical, biological, and radiological hazards during Project construction, operation, or decommissioning?	No

3.8	Does the Project involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	No
3.9	Does the Project engage security personnel that may pose a potential risk to health and safety of communities and/or individuals (e.g. due to a lack of adequate training or accountability)?	Yes
Stan	dard 4: Cultural Heritage	No
4.1	Will the proposed Project result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? (Note: Projects intended to protect and conserve Cultural Heritage may also have inadvertent adverse impacts)	No
4.2	Does the Project propose utilizing tangible and/or intangible forms of cultural heritage for commercial or other purposes?	No
Stan	dard 5: Displacement and Resettlement	No
5.1	Would the Project potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	No
5.2	Would the Project possibly result in economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions – even in the absence of physical relocation)?	No
5.3	Is there a risk that the Project would lead to forced evictions?	No
5.4	Would the proposed Project possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community- based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	No
Stan	dard 6: Indigenous Peoples	No
6.1	Are indigenous peoples present in the Project area (including Project area of influence)?	No
6.2	Is it likely that the Project or portions of the Project will be located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.3	Would the proposed Project potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas, whether the Project is located within or outside of the lands and territories inhabited by the affected peoples, or whether the indigenous peoples are recognized as indigenous peoples by the country in question)? If the answer to the screening question 6.3 is "yes" the potential risk impacts are considered potentially severe and/or critical and the Project would be categorized as either Moderate or High Risk.	No
6.4	Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving FPIC on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	No
6.5	Does the proposed Project involve the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.6	Is there a potential for forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources?	No
6.7	Would the Project adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	No
6.8	Would the Project potentially affect the physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples?	No
6.9	Would the Project potentially affect the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?	No

Standard 7: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency		No
7.1	Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	No
7.2	Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	No
7.3	Will the proposed Project potentially involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous chemicals and/or materials? Does the Project propose use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs? For example, DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the Stockholm Conventions on Persistent Organic Pollutants or the Montreal Protocol	No
7.4	Will the proposed Project involve the application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health?	No
7.5	Does the Project include activities that require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	No