

# Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

**Form Status: Approved**

<b>Overall Rating:</b>	Satisfactory
<b>Decision:</b>	
<b>Portfolio/Project Number:</b>	00103187
<b>Portfolio/Project Title:</b>	Projet appui à la planification et la coordination
<b>Portfolio/Project Date:</b>	2017-01-01 / 2022-12-31

**Strategic**

**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?

- 3: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)*
- 1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

**Evidence:**

Bien que le projet n'ait pas identifié de façon explicite les changements importants opérés dans son environnement externe, ces changements ont été largement pris en compte dans la stratégie de mise en œuvre du projet. En effet, le contexte national largement dominé par l'élaboration du Plan Comores Emergent (2030) et la tenue de la Conférence des partenaires au Développement (CPAD) tenue à Paris (France) du 02 au 03 décembre 2019 ont considérablement conduit l'équipe du projet à revoir la stratégie globale de mise en œuvre de celui-ci. Des fonds additionnels ont été alloués et des orientations nouvelles ont été prises pour permettre l'atteinte des résultats escomptés (Voir Compte rendu de la CPAD joint ici).

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Redaction-RapportPDI2020-DRAFT0-3_11383_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Redaction-RapportPDI2020-DRAFT0-3_11383_301.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Redaction-RapportPDI2020-DRAFT0-3_11383_301.docx</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:28:00 PM
2	RédactionduRapport2020VF_11383_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RédactionduRapport2020VF_11383_301.doc">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RédactionduRapport2020VF_11383_301.doc</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:22:00 PM
3	DGAJCONSEILJURIDIQUE_11383_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/DGAJCONSEILJURIDIQUE_11383_301.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/DGAJCONSEILJURIDIQUE_11383_301.docx</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:23:00 PM
4	finalAmendementsprojetdeloiportantstatutdeladministrationpénitentiaire_11383_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/finalAmendementsprojetdeloiportantstatutdeladministrationpénitentiaire_11383_301.doc">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/finalAmendementsprojetdeloiportantstatutdeladministrationpénitentiaire_11383_301.doc</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:23:00 PM
5	finalDGAJPROJETFINALPOLESJUDICIAIRESECONOMIQUEScommuniquéausgg1_11383_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/finalDGAJPROJETFINALPOLESJUDICIAIRESECONOMIQUEScommuniquéausgg1_11383_301.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/finalDGAJPROJETFINALPOLESJUDICIAIRESECONOMIQUEScommuniquéausgg1_11383_301.docx</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:23:00 PM

6	finalprojetdeloifinalsurlOrganisationdestribun auxcadiaux_11383_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/finalprojetdeloifinalsurlOrganisationdestribun&lt;br/&gt;auxcadiaux_11383_301.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/finalprojetdeloifinalsurlOrganisationdestribun auxcadiaux_11383_301.docx</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:24:00 PM
7	finalprojetdeloiporantorganisationetfonctione mmentdeladministrationpénitentiaire_11383_ 301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/finalprojetdeloiporant&lt;br/&gt;organisationetfonctionemmentdeladministrati&lt;br/&gt;onpénitentiaire_11383_301.doc">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/finalprojetdeloiporant organisationetfonctionemmentdeladministrati onpénitentiaire_11383_301.doc</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:24:00 PM
8	finalProjetdeloiTribunalAdministratifcommuni quéauSGG_11383_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/finalProjetdeloiTribunalAdministratifcommuniq&lt;br/&gt;uéauSGG_11383_301.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/finalProjetdeloiTribunalAdministratifcommuniq uéauSGG_11383_301.docx</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:24:00 PM
9	finalprojetindemnitéconseilmagistratureOK_1 1383_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/finalprojetindem&lt;br/&gt;nitéconseilmagistratureOK_11383_301.doc">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/finalprojetindem nitéconseilmagistratureOK_11383_301.doc</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:25:00 PM
10	PROJETDELOICOMEXTERIEURVF2_1138 3_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PROJETDELOICO&lt;br/&gt;MEXTERIEURVF2_11383_301.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PROJETDELOICO MEXTERIEURVF2_11383_301.docx</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:26:00 PM
11	Projetdeloifinaljugedapplicationdespeinesco mmuniquéauSGG_11383_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocum&lt;br/&gt;ents/Projetdeloifinaljugedapplicationdespein&lt;br/&gt;escommuniquéauSGG_11383_301.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocum ents/Projetdeloifinaljugedapplicationdespein escommuniquéauSGG_11383_301.docx</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:26:00 PM
12	ProjetdeloifinalportantstatutsdesCadiscomun iquéauSGG_11383_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/P&lt;br/&gt;rojetdeloifinalportantstatutsdesCadiscommuniq&lt;br/&gt;uéauSGG_11383_301.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/P rojetdeloifinalportantstatutsdesCadiscommuniq uéauSGG_11383_301.docx</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:27:00 PM
13	ProjetdeloiporantSTATUTDESAGENTSDEL ADMINISTRATIONPENITENTIAIRE_11383_ 301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProjetdeloiporantSTA&lt;br/&gt;TUTDESAGENTSDELADMINISTRATIONPE&lt;br/&gt;NITENTIAIRE_11383_301.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProjetdeloiporantSTA TUTDESAGENTSDELADMINISTRATIONPE NITENTIAIRE_11383_301.docx</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:27:00 PM
14	Codeinfo2020_11383_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Codeinfo2020_11383_301.doc">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Codeinfo2020_11383_301.doc</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:30:00 PM
15	ProjetdeloisurleJEX_11383_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProjetdeloisurleJEX_11383_301.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProjetdeloisurleJEX_11383_301.docx</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/23/2021 3:31:00 PM

2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?

- 3: The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopted at least one Signature Solution .The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project responded to at least one of the developments settings1 as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)*
- 1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

**Evidence:**

Le projet s'aligne à l'un des grands domaines de collaboration du PNUD qui fait la promotion de l'Amélioration de la disponibilité de données ventilées et meilleure utilisation de ces données au service du développement durable. Le rapatriement de la base de données DAD des USA aux Comores et le développement d'un module PIP et son intégration dans cette base de données illustrent parfaitement cet alignement. De même, le projet s'est approprié la solution type n°2 du Plan stratégique du PNUD qui met me focus sur le renforcement des partenariats/collaboration avec des institutions économiques et financières pour faciliter l'accès aux sources de financement du développement et d'aide publique au développement (APD) et à leur utilisation.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

**Relevant**

**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

3. Were the project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?

- 3: Systematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project’s monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the project’s governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
- 2: Targeted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collected regularly to ensure the project addressed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)
- 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected
- Not Applicable

**Evidence:**

Certains commentaires des bénéficiaires directs du projet ont été pris en compte et ont largement contribué à la prise de décision même si ce n’était pas systématiquement dans une approche d’identification de personnes discriminées ou marginalisées.

<b>List of Uploaded Documents</b>			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

4. Did the project generate knowledge, and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- 3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, were considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team. There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

**Evidence:**

L'équipe de projet a pris en considération les connaissances et les enseignements tirés de la mise en œuvre des activités du projet. Ces enseignements ont permis de réorienter quelques interventions du projet et de garantir sa pertinence de façon continue. Certains Procès-verbaux des réunions du Comité de pilotage ont mis en évidence ces affirmations.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PVRéunionduCP25avril18VF_11383_304 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PVRéunionduCP25avril18VF_11383_304.doc">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PVRéunionduCP25avril18VF_11383_304.doc</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/21/2021 2:54:00 PM
2	2eCompterendu_Comité_pilotage_11383_304 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2eCompterendu_Comité_pilotage_11383_304.doc">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2eCompterendu_Comité_pilotage_11383_304.doc</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/21/2021 2:57:00 PM

5. Was the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

- 3: There was credible evidence that the project reached sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.
- 2: *While the project was not considered at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).*
- 1: The project was not at scale, and there are no plans to scale up the project in the future.

**Evidence:**

Bien que le projet ne soit actuellement pas à l'échelle, il existe des plans explicites en place pour étendre le projet à l'avenir. En effet, la mise en place d'unités de planifications dans des Ministères sectorielles plaide pour cette hypothèse.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

**Principled****Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

6. Were the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.

- 3: The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: *The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)*
- 1: The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

**Evidence:**

L'équipe du projet dispose de données et de preuves sur la pertinence des mesures pour lutter contre les inégalités entre les sexes et autonomiser les femmes. En effet, dans le cadre des actions planifiées pour rendre opérationnelle la Base de données DAD Comores, des données relatives au genre seront systématiquement récoltées et renseigneront sur la problématique de l'égalité des sexes de manière significative.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

7. Were social and environmental impacts and risks successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there is a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP was updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: *Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project was categorized as Low risk through the SESP.*
- 1: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk, there was no evidence that social and environmental assessments completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There are substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP was not updated. (any may be true)

**Evidence:**

Les risques sociaux et environnementaux sont suivis dans le journal des risques et des recommandations sont formulées à chaque fois que c'est nécessaire. Il convient de souligner que le projet n'est pas un projet à haut risque mais l'équipe de projet veille au suivi du plan des risques contenus dans le document de projet pour prévenir tout risque éventuel.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

8. Were grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and were grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm was effectively mitigated?



- 3: Project-affected people actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- 2: *Project-affected people informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were responded to but faced challenges in arriving at a resolution.*
- 1: Project-affected people was not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances were received, they were not responded to. (any may be true)

**Evidence:**

Le projet n'intervient pas dans un secteur qui pourrait engendrer des préjudices aux personnes. Cependant, l'état d'esprit de collaboration entre le PNUD et l'agence nationale d'exécution du projet permet d'adresser toute déconvenue qui pourrait être engendrer par les activités du projet.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

**Management & Monitoring**

**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

9. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately implemented?

- 3: The project had a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones were fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, included during evaluations and/or After-Action Reviews, were used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets were populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources was not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were captured but were used to take corrective actions. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not clearly planned and budgeted for, or were unrealistic. Progress data was not regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations did not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project did not have an M&E plan.

#### Evidence:

Le projet a un plan de S&E chiffré, et la plupart des références et des cibles sont remplies. Les données d'avancement par rapport aux indicateurs du RRF du projet sont collectées régulièrement, bien qu'il puisse y avoir un certain décalage dans le respect de la fréquence indiquée dans le Plan et les sources de données ne sont pas toujours fiables. Toutes les évaluations effectuées, le cas échéant, répondent à la plupart des normes d'évaluation décentralisées.

#### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?

- 3: The project’s governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: *The project’s governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)*
- 1: The project’s governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

**Evidence:**

Le mécanisme de gouvernance du projet fonctionne bien et constitue un modèle pour d’autres projets. Il s’est réuni à la fréquence convenue indiquée dans le document de projet et le procès-verbal des réunions est archivé. Il y a des rapports d’avancement réguliers (au moins annuels) au comité de projet ou équivalent sur les résultats, les risques et les opportunités. Il est clair que le comité de projet examine et utilise explicitement les preuves, y compris les données sur les progrès, les connaissances, les leçons et les évaluations, comme base pour éclairer les décisions de gestion (par exemple, changement de stratégie, d’approche, de plan de travail.)

<b>List of Uploaded Documents</b>			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

11. Were risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- 3: The project monitored risks every quarter and consulted with the key stakeholders, security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks to assess if the main assumptions remained valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates were made to management plans and mitigation measures.*
- 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There was may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that may affected the project’s achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions were taken to mitigate risks.

**Evidence:**

Conformément aux orientations inscrites dans le document du projet, notamment le journal de gestion des risques, la gestion et suivi des risques tout au long de la mise en œuvre du projet a été observée par l'Unité de gestion du projet.

Bien que le projet soit mis en œuvre dans un environnement où il ne se pose pas de problèmes particuliers de sécurité, l'équipe de projet veille à ce que les activités soient effectuées avec toutes les garanties de sécurité requises.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

**Efficient****Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

12. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

- Yes  
 No

**Evidence:**

Tous les engagements financiers du PNUD pour l'exécution de ce projet ont été entièrement respectés et aucun financement des activités dans les délais réglementaires ne s'est posé.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

13. Were project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?

- 3: The project had a procurement plan and kept it updated. The project quarterly reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project had updated procurement plan. The project annually reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project did not have an updated procurement plan. The project team may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs regularly, however management actions were not taken to address them.

**Evidence:**

Le projet dispose aussi bien d'un plan de travail multi-annuel que d'un plan d'achat qui est mis à jour tous les ans à chaque fois que ce nécessaire. Le projet passe en revue chaque année les goulots d'étranglement opérationnels pour obtenir des intrants en temps opportun et les résout par des mesures de gestion appropriées.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

14. Was there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies, taking into account the expected quality of results?

- 3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviewed costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximized results delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinated with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and sought efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)
- 2: *The project monitored its own costs and gave anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinated activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.*
- 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitored its own costs and considered ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

**Evidence:**

Le projet surveille ses propres coûts et donne des exemples anecdotiques de rentabilité (par exemple, d'épenser moins pour obtenir le même résultat), mais il n'y a pas d'analyse systématique des coûts et aucun lien avec la qualité attendue des résultats obtenus. Toutefois, le projet coordonne ses activités avec d'autres projets, pour minimiser les coûts et gagner en rentabilité.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

**Effective****Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

15. Was the project on track and delivered its expected outputs?

- Yes
- No

**Evidence:**

Le projet a atteint avec succès les résultats planifiés comme en témoigne les livrables attachés plus haut et les rapports ci-joints.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

16. Were there regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- 3: Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations /or After-Action Reviews) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions were made. (both must be true)
- 2: *There was at least one review of the work plan per year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.*
- 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management took place.

**Evidence:**

Il y a eu au long de la mise en oeuvre du projet, des examens du plan de travail par an pour s'assurer l'atteinte des résultats planifiés. De même, toutes les révisions budgétaires nécessaires ont été réalisées.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

- 3: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project’s area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they benefited as expected and adjustments were made if necessary, to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project’s area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they were benefiting as expected. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There is some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefited as expected, but it was limited or did not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable

**Evidence:**

Les interventions du projet concernaient plus des institutions et non des individus ou groupes de personnes. Le caractère géographique a été observé dans le choix des interventions et les institutions aussi bien nationales que régionales ont bénéficié des appuis apportés par le projet.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

**Sustainability & National Ownership**

**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?



- 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 2: *National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)*
- 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- Not Applicable

**Evidence:**

Les systèmes nationaux sont utilisés pour mettre en œuvre et suivre le projet, mais d'autres soutiens peuvent également être utilisés si nécessaire. Toutes les parties prenantes et partenaires concernés sont pleinement et activement engagés dans le processus, jouant un rôle actif dans la prise de décision, la mise en œuvre et le suivi du projet. En fait, dans la mise en œuvre de ce projet, la procédure HACT est pleinement utilisée, ce qui traduit une très grande implication du partenaire nationale d'exécution qui est le Commissariat Général au Plan (CGP). Des avances de fonds (Nex advance) sont effectuées chaque début d'un plan de travail annuel et la partie nationale assure de façon pleine et entière l'exécution des activités concernées par la Nex advance en question y compris les achats. Le PNUD répond de façon ponctuelle sur certains appuis à la demande de la partie nationale notamment pour des services complexes comme des achats ou le recrutement d'une expertise internationale.

<b>List of Uploaded Documents</b>			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

19. Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed, and were the implementation [arrangements](#)<sup>8</sup> adjusted according to changes in partner capacities?

- 3: Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 2: *Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)*
- 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- Not Applicable

**Evidence:**

Au cours des deux dernières années, certains aspects des changements de capacités et de performance des institutions et systèmes nationaux concernés ont été suivis par le projet à l'aide d'indicateurs et de sources de données raisonnablement crédibles, y compris les activités d'assurance HACT pertinentes. Certains ajustements ont été apportés aux dispositions de mise en œuvre pour refléter les changements dans les capacités des partenaires notamment l'adoption de l'approche "Nex advance" pour la gestion quotidienne du projet. Aussi, des formations ciblées - en lien avec la gestion de la base de données DAD-C omoes destinée à faciliter la récolte, le traitement et la diffusion des données relatives au développement - ont été dispensées au bénéfice du staff du Commissariat Général au Paln mais aussi des autres administrations impliquées dans la production et la gestion des données, notamment l'Institut National des Statistiques et des Etudes Démographiques (INSEE D).

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

20. Were the transition and phase-out arrangements were reviewed and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitment and capacity).

- 3: The project's governance mechanism regularly reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan was implemented as planned by the end of the project, taking into account any adjustments made during implementation. (both must be true)
- 2: *There was a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.*
- 1: The project may have had a sustainability plan but there was no review of this strategy after it was developed. Also select this option if the project did not have a sustainability strategy.

**Evidence:**

Le projet est dans sa phase de clôture, mais des dispositions sont dorénavant prises en commun accord entre l'Agence nationale de mise en oeuvre du projet qu'est le Commissariat Général au Plan (CGP) et l'ONU-PNUD, pour développer un programme plus ambitieux de renforcement des capacités nationales en matière de planification, suivi-évaluation et coordination du développement (voir draft du prodoc en cours d'élaboration joint ici). Cette initiative sert à la fois de stratégie de sortie du projet et en même temps elle capitalise sur les acquis du projet actuel, pour s'inscrire dans une durée relativement importante (5 ans du prochain cycle de planification du PNUD - CPD 2022 - 2026) et mobiliser davantage d'autres partenaires publics au développement intéressés par cette problématique pour plus d'impact.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PRODOCRenforcementdescapacitésnationalesVersionprovisoire27Nov21_11383_320 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PRODOCRenforcementdescapacitésnationalesVersionprovisoire27Nov21_11383_320.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PRODOCRenforcementdescapacitésnationalesVersionprovisoire27Nov21_11383_320.docx</a> )	abdou-salam.saadi@undp.org	12/21/2021 4:36:00 PM

**QA Summary/Final Project Board Comments**

