



ADAPTATION FUND

AGREEMENT

**The “Developing Agro-Pastoral Shade Gardens as an
Adaptation Strategy for Poor Rural Communities” Project in
Djibouti**

between

THE ADAPTATION FUND BOARD

and

UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

23 July 2012

AGREEMENT**The “Developing Agro-Pastoral Shade Gardens as an Adaptation Strategy for Poor Rural Communities” Project in Djibouti**

between

THE ADAPTATION FUND BOARD

and

UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

Whereas, the Conference of the Parties (COP) of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) in its Decision 10/CP.7 decided that an Adaptation Fund (AF) shall be established to finance concrete adaptation projects and programmes in developing countries that are parties to the Kyoto Protocol to the UNFCCC (Kyoto Protocol);

Whereas, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP) in its Decision 1/CMP.3 decided that the operating entity of the AF shall be the Adaptation Fund Board (Board), with the mandate to supervise and manage the AF under the authority and guidance of the CMP;

Whereas, in its Decisions 5/CMP.2 and 1/CMP.3, paragraph 5 (b), the Board adopted the *AF Operational Policies and Guidelines for Parties to Access Resources from the Adaptation Fund*, including the *Fiduciary Risk Management Standards to be Met by Implementing Entities* (AF Operational Policies and Guidelines), as set out in Schedule 1 to this Agreement (Agreement); and

Whereas, the proposal submitted by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) to the Board seeking access to the resources of the AF in support of the “Developing Agro-Pastoral Shade Gardens as an Adaptation Strategy for Poor Rural Communities” project in Djibouti, as set out in Schedule 2 to this Agreement, has been approved by the Board, and the Board has agreed to make a grant (Grant) to the UNDP for the Project under the terms of this Agreement; and

Whereas, the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) has agreed to serve as the Trustee of the AF Trust Fund (Trustee) and, in that capacity, to make transfers of the Grant to UNDP on the written instructions of the Board;

The Board and UNDP have agreed as follows:

1. DEFINITIONS.

Unless the context otherwise requires, the several terms defined in the Preamble to this Agreement shall have the respective meanings set forth therein and the following additional terms shall have the following meanings:

1.01. "Grant" means the AF resources approved by the Board for the Project, under this Agreement and to be transferred by the Trustee to the Implementing Entity on the written instructions of the Board;

1.02. "Designated Authority" means the authority that has endorsed on behalf of the national government the Project proposal by the Implementing Entity seeking access to AF resources to finance the Project;

1.03. "Executing Entity" means the entity that will execute the Project under the overall management of the Implementing Entity;

1.04. "Implementing Entity" means UNDP that is the party to this Agreement and the recipient of the Grant;

1.05. "Implementing Entity Grant Account" means the account to be established by the Implementing Entity to receive, hold and administer the Grant;

1.06. "Secretariat" is the body appointed the CMP to provide secretariat services to the Board, consistent with decision 1/CMP.3, paragraphs 3, 18, 19 and 31, which body is currently the Global Environment Facility (GEF); and

1.07. "AF Trust Fund" means the trust fund for the AF administered by the Trustee in accordance with the *Terms and Conditions of Services to be Provided by the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development as Trustee for the Adaptation Fund*.

2. THE PROJECT AND THE GRANT

2.01. The Board agrees to provide to the Implementing Entity the Grant in a maximum amount equivalent to Four million six hundred fifty eight thousand and five hundred fifty six United States Dollars (US \$4,658,556) for the purposes of the Project. The Project document, which details the purposes for which the Grant is made, is set out in Schedule 2 to this Agreement. The disbursement schedule and special conditions that apply to the implementation of the Grant are set out in Schedule 3 to this Agreement.

2.02. The Trustee shall transfer the Grant funds to the Implementing Entity on the written instructions of the Board. Transfers shall be made to the following bank

account of UNDP in accordance with the disbursement schedule set out in Schedule 3 to this Agreement:

UNDP Contributions Account
No. 015-002284
JP Morgan Chase Bank
270 Park Avenue, 43rd floor
NY, NY 10017
SWIFT Address: CHASUS33
ABA Code: 021000021

2.03. The Implementing Entity shall make the disbursed Grant funds available to the Executing Entity in accordance with its standard practices and procedures.

2.04. The Implementing Entity may convert the Grant into any other currency to facilitate its disbursement to the Executing Entity.

3. ADMINISTRATION OF THE GRANT

3.01. The Implementing Entity shall be responsible for the administration of the Grant and shall carry out such administration with the same degree of care used in the administration of its own funds, taking into account the provisions of this Agreement.

3.02. The Implementing Entity shall carry out all its obligations under this Agreement in accordance with:

- (i) the AF Operational Policies and Guidelines; and
- (ii) the Implementing Entity standard practices and procedures.

3.03. If, during the course of administering the Grant, the Implementing Entity identifies any material inconsistency between the AF Operational Policies and Guidelines and its own standard practices and procedures, the Implementing Entity shall: (a) immediately notify the Board, through the Secretariat, of such inconsistency, and (b) the Implementing Entity and the Board shall discuss and promptly take any necessary or appropriate action to resolve such inconsistency.

3.04. In the event that the Implementing Entity makes any disbursements of the Grant in a manner inconsistent with the AF Operational Policies and Guidelines, and these inconsistencies cannot be resolved as provided in paragraph 3.03, UNDP shall refund to the AF Trust Fund, through the Trustee, any such disbursements.

4. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

4.01. The Implementing Entity shall be responsible for the overall management of the Project, including all financial, monitoring and reporting responsibilities.

4.02. The Implementing Entity shall ensure that the Grant is used exclusively for the purposes of the Project, and shall refund to the AF Trust Fund, through the Trustee, any disbursements made for other purposes. Where the Board believes that the Grant has been used for purposes other than the Project, it shall inform the Implementing Entity of the reasons supporting its view and provide the Implementing Entity an opportunity to provide any explanation or justification for such use.

4.03. Any material change made in the original budget allocation for the Project by UNDP, in consultation with the Executing Entity, shall be communicated to the Board for its approval. "Material change" shall mean any change that involves ten per cent (10%) or more of the total budget.

4.04. The Implementing Entity shall promptly inform the Board, through the Secretariat, of any conditions that may seriously interfere with its management, or the Executing Entity's execution, of the Project or otherwise jeopardize the achievement of the objectives of the Project, providing detailed information thereof to the Board for its information.

4.05. The Implementing Entity shall be fully responsible for the acts, omissions or negligence of its employees, agents, representatives and contractors under the Project. The Board shall not be responsible or liable for any losses, damages or injuries caused to any persons under the Project resulting from the acts, omissions or negligence of the Implementing Entity employees, agents, representatives and contractors.

5. PROJECT SUSPENSION

5.01. The Board may suspend the Project for reasons that include, but are not limited to:

(i) financial irregularities in the implementation of the Project, or

(ii) a material breach of this Agreement and/or poor implementation performance leading the Board to conclude that the Project can no longer achieve its objectives;

provided, however, that before the Board makes its final decision (a) the Implementing Entity shall be given an opportunity to present its views to the Board, through the Secretariat; and/or (ii) the Implementing Entity may make any reasonable proposal to promptly remedy the financial irregularities, material breach or poor implementation performance.

6. PROCUREMENT

6.01. The procurement of goods and services (including consultants' services) for activities financed by the Grant will be carried out in accordance with the Implementing Entity standard practices and procedures, including its procurement and consultants' guidelines. In the event that the Implementing Entity makes any disbursements in a manner which the Board considers to be inconsistent with the AF Operational Policies and Guidelines, it will so inform the Implementing Entity giving the reasons for its view and seeking a rectification of the inconsistency. If the inconsistency cannot be resolved, the Implementing Entity shall refund to the AF Trust Fund, through the Trustee, any such disbursements.

7. RECORDS AND REPORTING

7.01. The Implementing Entity shall provide to the Board, through the Secretariat, the following reports and financial statements:

- a) annual progress reports on the status of the Project implementation, including the disbursements made during the relevant period or more frequent progress reports if requested by the Board;
- b) a Project completion report, including any specific Project implementation information, as reasonably requested by the Board through the Secretariat, within six (6) months after Project completion;
- c) a mid-term and a final evaluation report, prepared by an [independent] evaluator selected by the Implementing Entity. The final evaluation report shall be submitted within nine (9) months after Project completion. Copies of these reports shall be forwarded by the Implementing Entity to the Designated Authority for information; and
- d) a final audited financial statement of the Implementing Entity Grant Account, prepared by an independent auditor or evaluation body, within six (6) months of the end of the Implementing Entity's financial year during which the Project is completed.

8. MANAGEMENT FEE

8.01. The Board authorizes the Implementing Entity to deduct from the total amount of the Grant and retain for its own account the management fee specified in Schedule 2 to this Agreement.

9. OWNERSHIP OF EQUIPMENT

9.01. If any part of the Grant is used to purchase any durable assets and/or equipment, such assets and/or equipment shall be transferred upon the completion of the Project to the Executing Entity or such other entity as the Designated Authority may designate.

10. CONSULTATION

10.01. The Board and the Implementing Entity shall share information with each other, at the request of either one of them, on matters pertaining to this Agreement.

11. COMMUNICATIONS

11.01. All communications between the Board and the Implementing Entity concerning this Agreement shall be made in writing, in the English language, to the following persons at their addresses designated below, by letter or by facsimile. The representatives are:

For the Board:

Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat
MSN P4-400
1818 H Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20433
USA
Attention: Adaptation Fund Board Chair
Fax: +1 202-522 2720

For the Implementing Entity:

UNDP
304 E 45th Street
9th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Attention: Mr. Yannick Glemarec
Director for Environmental Finance
Fax: +1 212-906 6998

12. EFFECTIVENESS AND AMENDMENT OF THE AGREEMENT

12.01. This Agreement shall become effective upon its signature by both parties.

12.02. This Agreement may be amended, in writing, by mutual consent between the Board and the Implementing Entity.

13. TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT

13.01. This Agreement may be terminated by the Board or UNDP, by giving prior written notice of at least ninety (90) days to the other.

13.02. This Agreement shall automatically be terminated in the event of:

- a) cancellation of the Implementing Entity accreditation by the Board; or
- b) receipt of a communication from the Designated Authority that it no longer endorses the Implementing Entity or the Project.

13.03. Upon termination of this Agreement, the Board and the Implementing Entity shall consider the most practical way of completing any ongoing activities under the Project, including meeting any outstanding commitments incurred under the Project prior to the termination. The Implementing Entity shall promptly refund to the AF Trust Fund, through the Trustee, any unused portion of the Grant, including any net investment income earned therefrom. No Grant funds shall be disbursed after termination.

14. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

14.01. Any dispute, controversy or claim arising out of or relating to this Agreement, or the breach, termination or invalidity thereof, will be settled amicably by discussion or negotiation between the Board and the Implementing Entity.

14.02. Any dispute, controversy or claim arising out of or relating to this Agreement, or the breach, termination or invalidity thereof, which has not been settled amicably between the Board and the Implementing Entity shall be settled by arbitration in accordance with the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules as presently in force.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned, being duly authorized thereto, have signed this Agreement on 23 July, 2012

THE ADAPTATION FUND BOARD



Luis Santos, Chair

UNDP



Yannick Glemarec, Director, UNDP Environmental Finance

The following Schedules are attached to the Agreement: Schedule1 (AF Operational Policies and Guidelines, including the Fiduciary Risk Management Standards; Schedule 2 (Project Proposal); and Schedule 3 (Disbursement Schedule).

Schedule 1



ADAPTATION FUND

Adaptation Fund Board

**OPERATIONAL POLICIES AND GUIDELINES FOR
PARTIES TO ACCESS RESOURCES FROM THE
ADAPTATION FUND**

INTRODUCTION

1. The Kyoto Protocol (KP), in its Article 12.8, states that “The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to this Protocol shall ensure that a share of the proceeds from certified project activities is used to cover administrative expenses as well as to assist developing country Parties that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change to meet the costs of adaptation.”¹ This is the legal basis for the establishment of the Adaptation Fund.
2. At the seventh session of the Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), held in Marrakech, Morocco, from October 29 to November 10, 2001 (COP7), the Parties agreed to the establishment of the Adaptation Fund (the Fund).²
3. In Montreal, Canada in November 2005³ and in Nairobi, Kenya in December 2006,⁴ the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP), decided on specific approaches, principles and modalities to be applied for the operationalization of the Fund.
4. In Bali, Indonesia, in December 2007, the CMP decided that the operating entity of the Fund would be the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), serviced by a Secretariat and a Trustee.⁵ Parties invited the Global Environment Facility to provide secretariat services to the Board (the Secretariat), and the World Bank to serve as the trustee (the Trustee) of the Fund, both on an interim basis.
5. In particular, Decision 1/CMP.3, paragraph 5(b), lists among the functions of the Board to develop and decide on specific operational policies and guidelines, including programming guidance and administrative and financial management guidelines, in accordance with decision 5/CMP.2, and to report to the CMP.
6. In Poznan, Poland, in December 2008, through Decision 1/CMP.4, the Parties adopted:
 - (a) the *Rules of Procedures of the Adaptation Fund Board*;
 - (b) the *Memorandum of Understanding between the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties of the Kyoto Protocol and Council of the Global Environmental Facility regarding secretariat services to the Adaptation Fund Board*, on an interim basis;
 - (c) the *Terms and Conditions of Services to be Provided by the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (the World Bank) as Trustee for the Adaptation Fund*, on an interim basis; and

¹ See FCCC/KP/Kyoto Protocol.

² See Decision 10/CP.7, “Funding under the Kyoto Protocol”.

³ See Decision 28/CMP.1, “Initial guidance to an entity entrusted with the operation of the financial system of the Convention, for the operation of the Adaptation Fund” in Annex I to this document.

⁴ See Decision 5/CMP.2, “Adaptation Fund”, in Annex I to this document.

⁵ See Decision 1/CMP.3, “Adaptation Fund”, in Annex I to this document.

- (d) the *Strategic Priorities, Policies and Guidelines of the Adaptation Fund* (see Annex 1).
7. In Decision 1/CMP.4, paragraph 11, the CMP decided that the Adaptation Fund Board be conferred such legal capacity as necessary for the execution of its functions with regard to direct access by eligible developing country Parties. Further, in decision 4/CMP.4, paragraph 1, the Parties endorsed the Board decision to accept the offer of Germany to confer legal capacity on the Board. The German Act of Parliament which conferred legal capacity to the Board entered into force of February 8, 2011.
8. This document (hereafter “the operational policies and guidelines”), in response to the above CMP decisions, outlines operational policies and guidelines for eligible developing country Parties to access resources from the Fund. The operational policies and guidelines are expected to evolve further based on experience acquired through the operationalization of the Fund, subsequent decisions of the Board and future guidance from the CMP.

DEFINITIONS OF ADAPTATION PROJECTS AND PROGRAMMES

9. The Adaptation Fund established under decision 10/CP.7 shall finance concrete adaptation projects and programmes.
10. A concrete adaptation project/programme is defined as a set of activities aimed at addressing the adverse impacts of and risks posed by climate change. The activities shall aim at producing visible and tangible results on the ground by reducing vulnerability and increasing the adaptive capacity of human and natural systems to respond to the impacts of climate change, including climate variability. Adaptation projects/programmes can be implemented at the community, national, regional and transboundary level. Projects/programmes concern activities with a specific objective(s) and concrete outcome(s) and output(s) that are measurable, monitorable, and verifiable.
11. An adaptation programme is a process, a plan or an approach for addressing climate change impacts that is broader than the scope of an individual project.

OPERATIONAL AND FINANCING PRIORITIES

12. The overall goal of all adaptation projects and programmes financed under the Fund will be to support concrete adaptation activities that reduce vulnerability and increase adaptive capacity to respond to the impacts of climate change, including variability at local and national levels.
13. Provision of funding under the Fund will be based on, and in accordance with, the *Strategic Priorities, Policies and Guidelines of the Adaptation Fund* adopted by the CMP, attached as Annex 1.
14. Funding will be provided on full adaptation cost basis of projects and programmes to address *the adverse effects of climate change*.⁶ *Full cost of adaptation* means the costs associated with implementing concrete adaptation activities that address the adverse effects of climate change. The Fund will finance projects and programmes whose principal and explicit aim is to adapt and increase climate resilience. The project/programme proponent is to provide

⁶ Decision 5/CMP.2, paragraph 1 (d).

justification of the extent to which the project contributes to adaptation and climate resilience. The Board may provide further guidance on financing priorities, including through the integration of information based on further research on the full costs of adaptation and on lessons learned.

15. In developing projects and programmes to be funded under the Fund, eligible developing country Parties may wish to consider the guidance provided in 5/CP.7. Parties may also consult information included in reports from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and information generated under the Nairobi Work Programme (NWP) on Impacts, Vulnerability and Adaptation to Climate Change.⁷
16. Decisions on the allocation of resources of the Fund shall take into account the criteria outlined in the *Strategic Priorities, Policies and Guidelines of the Adaptation Fund*, adopted by the CMP, specifically:
 - (a) Level of vulnerability;
 - (b) Level of urgency and risks arising from delay;
 - (c) Ensuring access to the fund in a balanced and equitable manner;
 - (d) Lessons learned in project and programme design and implementation to be captured;
 - (e) Securing regional co-benefits to the extent possible, where applicable;
 - (f) Maximizing multi-sectoral or cross-sectoral benefits;
 - (g) Adaptive capacity to the adverse effects of climate change.
17. Resource allocation decisions will be guided by paragraphs 9 and 10 of the *Strategic Priorities, Policies and Guidelines of the Adaptation Fund*.
18. The Board will review its procedures for allocating resources of the Fund among eligible Parties at least every three years, and/or as instructed by the CMP.

PROJECT/ PROGRAMME PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

19. To access Fund resources, a project /programme will have to be in compliance with the eligibility criteria contained in paragraph 15 of the *Strategic Priorities, Policies and Guidelines of the Adaptation Fund* and using the relevant templates (templates attached as Annex 3).

DESIGNATED AUTHORITY

20. Each Party shall designate and communicate to the secretariat the authority that will represent the government of such Party in its relations with the Board and its secretariat. The Designated Authority shall be an officer within the Party's government administration. The communication to the secretariat shall

⁷ IPCC Assessment Report 4, see <http://www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/assessments-reports.htm> and NWP see http://unfccc.int/adaptation/sbsta_agenda_item_adaptation/items/3633.php.

be made in writing and signed by either a Minister, an authority at cabinet level, or the Ambassador of the Party.

21. The main responsibility of the Designated Authority is the endorsement on behalf of the national government of: a) accreditation applications as National Implementing Entities submitted by national entities; b) accreditation applications as Regional or Sub-regional Implementing Entities submitted by regional or sub-regional entities; and c) projects and programmes proposed by the implementing entities, either national, regional, sub-regional, or multilateral.
22. The Designated Authority shall confirm that the endorsed project/programme proposal is in accordance with the government's national or regional priorities in implementing adaptation activities to reduce adverse impacts of, and risks posed by, climate change in the country or region

FINANCING WINDOWS

23. Parties may undertake adaptation activities under the following categories:
 - (a) Small-size projects and programmes (proposals requesting up to \$1 million); and
 - (b) Regular projects and programmes (proposals requesting over \$1million).

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

Country Eligibility

24. The Fund shall finance concrete adaptation projects and programmes in developing country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change.
25. Paragraph 10 of the *Strategic Priorities, Policies and Guidelines of the Adaptation Fund* provides the country eligibility criteria.
26. A cap in resource allocation per eligible host country, project and programme will be agreed by the Board based on a periodic assessment of the overall status of resources in the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund and with a view to ensuring equitable distribution.


Implementing and Executing Entities

27. Eligible Parties who seek financial resources from the Adaptation Fund shall submit proposals directly through their nominated National Implementing Entity (NIE).⁸ They may, if they so wish, use the services of Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIE). The implementing entities shall obtain an endorsement from the government through the Designated Authority referred to in paragraph 20

⁸ They may include *inter alia*, ministries, inter-ministerial commissions, government cooperation agencies.

above.⁹ The options of submitting different projects/programmes through an NIE and through an MIE are not mutually exclusive. The modalities for accessing resources of the Adaptation Fund are outlined in Figure 1.

FIGURE 1: MODALITIES FOR ACCESSING RESOURCES OF THE ADAPTATION FUND



28. National Implementing Entities (NIE) are those national legal entities nominated by Parties that are recognized by the Board as meeting the fiduciary standards approved by the Board. The NIEs will bear the full responsibility for the overall management of the projects and programmes financed by the Adaptation Fund, and will bear all financial, monitoring and reporting responsibilities.
29. A group of Parties may also nominate regional and sub-regional entities as implementing entities (RIE/SRIE), and thereby provisions of paragraph 28 will apply. In addition to the nomination of an NIE an eligible Party may also nominate a RIE/SRIE and may submit project/programme proposals through an accredited RIE/SRIE that is operating in their region or sub-region. The application for accreditation shall be endorsed by at least two country members of the organization. The RIE/SRIEs will bear the full responsibility for the overall management of the projects and programmes financed by the Adaptation Fund, and will bear all financial, monitoring and reporting responsibilities.
30. Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIE) are those Multilateral Institutions and Regional Development Banks invited by the Board that meet the fiduciary standards approved by the Board. The MIEs, chosen by eligible Parties to submit proposals to the Board, will bear the full responsibility for the overall management of the projects and programmes financed by the Adaptation Fund, and will bear all financial, monitoring and reporting responsibilities.

31. In the case of regional (i.e., multi-country) projects and programmes, the proposal submitted to the Board should be endorsed by the Designated Authority of each participating Party.
32. Executing Entities are organizations that execute adaptation projects and programmes supported by the Fund under the oversight of Implementing Entities.

ACCREDITATION OF IMPLEMENTING ENTITIES

Fiduciary Standards

33. Among principles established for the Fund (Decision 5/CMP.2) is “sound financial management, including the use of international fiduciary standards.” At its 7th meeting the Board adopted fiduciary standards governing the use, disbursement and reporting on funds issued by the Adaptation Fund covering the following broad areas (refer to Annex 2 for details):

(a) Financial Integrity and Management:

- (i) Accurately and regularly record transactions and balances in a manner that adheres to broadly accepted good practices, and are audited periodically by an independent firm or organization;
- (ii) Managing and disbursing funds efficiently and with safeguards to recipients on a timely basis;
- (iii) Produce forward-looking financial plans and budgets;
- (iv) Legal status to contract with the Fund and third parties

(b) Institutional Capacity:

- (i) Procurement procedures which provide for transparent practices, including in competition;
- (ii) Capacity to undertake monitoring and evaluation;
- (iii) Ability to identify, develop and appraise project/programme;
- (iv) Competency to manage or oversee the execution of the project/programme including ability to manage sub-recipients and to support project /programme delivery and implementation.

(c) Transparency and Self-investigative Powers: Competence to deal with financial mismanagement and other forms of malpractice.

Accreditation Process

34. Accreditation for the implementing entities would follow a transparent and systematic process through an Adaptation Fund Accreditation Panel (the Panel) supported by the Secretariat. The Panel will consist of two Board Members and three experts. The different steps for accreditation are as follows:

- (a) The Board will invite Parties¹⁰ to each nominate a National Implementing Entity (NIE); the Board will issue a call to potential Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIE) to express interest in serving as an MIE;
 - (b) Potential implementing entities (NIEs, RIEs, or MIEs), will submit their accreditation applications to the Secretariat together with the required supporting documentation to verify how they meet the fiduciary standards;
 - (c) The Secretariat will screen the documentation to ensure that all the necessary information is provided, and will follow-up with the potential implementing entities to ensure that the application package is complete. The Secretariat will forward the complete package to the Panel within 15 (fifteen) working days following receipt of a candidate implementing entity's submission;
 - (d) The Panel will undertake a desk-review of the application and forward its recommendation to the Board; should the Panel require additional information prior to making its recommendation, a mission and/or a teleconference may be undertaken with regard to the country concerned.¹¹
 - (e) The Board may provide further guidance on the required information in the future on the basis of lessons learned; and
 - (f) The Board will make a decision and in writing will notify the entity of the outcome, which could fall into one of the following categories:
 - (i) Applicant meets requirements and accreditation is approved; or
 - (ii) Applicant needs to address certain requirements prior to full accreditation.
35. In case the nominated NIE does not meet the criteria, an eligible Party may resubmit its application after addressing the requirements of the Board or submit an application nominating a new NIE. In the meantime, eligible Parties are encouraged to use the services of an accredited RIE/SRIE or MIE, if they so wish, to submit project/programme proposals for funding. An applicant MIE that does not meet the criteria for accreditation may also resubmit its application after addressing the requirements of the Board.
36. Accreditation will be valid for a period of 5 years with the possibility of renewal. The Board will develop guidelines for renewal of an implementing entity's accreditation based on simplified procedures that will be established at a later date.
37. The Board reserves the right to review or evaluate the performance of implementing entities at any time during an implementing entity's accreditation period. It also reserves the right to investigate the use of the Fund resources, if there is any indication of inappropriate allocations. An investigation could

¹⁰ The Designated Authority referred to in paragraph 21 above shall endorse the application for accreditation on behalf of the Party.

¹¹ The Panel will specify areas requiring further work to meet the requirements and may provide technical advice to address such areas. In exceptional circumstances, an external assessor may be used to help resolve especially difficult/contentious issues.

include an independent audit of the use of the Fund resources. A minimum notification of 3 months will be given to an implementing entity if they have been identified by the Board as being the object of a review or evaluation.

38. The Board may also consider suspending or cancelling the accreditation of an implementing entity if it made false statements or provided intentionally false information to the Board both at the time of accreditation to the Board or in submitting a project or programme proposal.
39. Before the Board makes its final decision on whether to suspend or cancel the accreditation of an implementing entity, the entity concerned will be given a fair chance to present its views to the Board.

PROJECT/PROGRAMME CYCLE

40. The project/programme cycle of the Adaptation Fund for any project or programme size begins with a proposal submission to the Secretariat by the NIE/RIE/MIE chosen by the Party/ies. The Designated Authority referred to in paragraph 20 above shall endorse the proposal submission. The submission is followed by an initial screening, project/programme review and approval.¹²

Review and Approval of Small-size Projects and Programmes

41. In order to expedite the process of approving projects/programmes and reduce unnecessary bureaucracy, small-size projects will undergo a one-step approval process by the Board. The proposed project cycle steps are as follows:
 - (a) The project/programme proponent submits a fully developed project/programme document¹³ based on a template approved by the Board (Annex 3, Appendix A). A disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones will be submitted together with the fully developed project/programme document. Proposals shall be submitted to the Board through the Secretariat. The timetable for the submission and review of proposals will be synchronized with the meetings of the Board to the extent possible. Project/programme proposals shall be submitted at least nine weeks before each Board meeting in order to be considered by the Board at its next meeting.
 - (b) The Secretariat will screen all proposals for consistency and provide a technical review. It will then forward the proposals with the technical reviews to the Projects and Programmes Review Committee (PPRC) for review, based on the criteria approved by the Board (Annex 3). The secretariat will forward comments on the project/programme proposals and requests for clarification or further information to the implementing entities, as appropriate. The inputs received and the conclusions of the technical review by the secretariat will be incorporated to the review template.
 - (c) The Secretariat will send all project/programme proposals received with technical reviews to the PPRC at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting. The PPRC will review the proposals and give its recommendation to the

¹² The Designated Authority referred to in paragraph 21 above shall endorse the proposal submission.

¹³ A fully developed project/programme is one that has been appraised for technical and implementation feasibility and is ready for financial closure prior to implementation.

Board for a decision at the Meeting. The PPRC may use services of independent adaptation experts to provide input into the review process if needed. The Board can approve, not approve or reject a proposal with a clear explanation to the implementing entities. Rejected proposals cannot be resubmitted.

- (d) The proposals approved by the Board will be posted on the Adaptation Fund website. Upon the decision, the Secretariat in writing will notify the proponent of the Board decision.

Review and Approval of Regular Projects and Programmes

- 42. Regular adaptation projects/programmes are those that request funding exceeding \$1 million. These proposals may undergo either a one-step or a two-step¹⁴ approval process. In the one-step approval process the proponent shall submit a fully-developed project/programme document. In the two-step approval process a brief project/programme concept shall be submitted as first step followed by a fully-developed project/document¹⁵. Funding will only be reserved for a project/programme after the approval of a fully-developed project document in the second step.
- 43. The project/programme cycle steps for both concept and fully-developed project document are as follows:
 - (a) The project/programme proponent submits a concept/fully-developed project document based on a template approved by the Board ((Annex 3, Appendix A). A disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones will be submitted together with the fully developed project/programme document. Proposals shall be submitted to the Board through the Secretariat. The timetable for the submission and review of proposals will be synchronized with the meetings of the Board as much as possible. Project/programme proposals shall be submitted at least nine weeks before each Board meeting in order to be considered by the Board at its next meeting.
 - (b) The Secretariat will screen all proposals for consistency and provide a technical review based on the criteria approved by the Board (Annex 3). It will then forward the proposals and the technical reviews to the PPRC for review. The Secretariat will forward comments on the project/programme proposals and requests for clarification or further information to the implementing entities, as appropriate. The inputs received and the conclusions of the technical review by the secretariat will be incorporated in the review template.
 - (c) The Secretariat will send all project/programme proposals with technical reviews to the PPRC at least seven (7) days before the meeting. The PPRC will review the proposals and give its recommendation to the Board for a decision at the meeting. The PPRC may use services of independent adaptation experts to provide input into the review process if needed. In the case of concepts, the Board can endorse, not endorse, or reject a proposal

¹⁴ A two-step process, while time consuming minimizes the risk that a proponent does not invest time and energy in fully developing a project or program document that fails to meet the criteria of the Fund.

¹⁵ A fully developed project/programme is one that has been appraised for technical and implementation feasibility and is ready for financial closure prior to implementation.

with a clear explanation to the implementing entities. In the case of fully-developed proposals, the Board can approve, not approve, or reject a proposal with a clear explanation to the implementing entities. Rejected proposals cannot be resubmitted.

44. Proponents with endorsed concepts are expected to submit a fully developed proposal at subsequent Board meetings for approval and funding, following the steps described on paragraph 43 above.
45. All proposals approved for funding by the Board will be posted on the Adaptation Fund website. Upon the decision, the Secretariat will notify the proponent of the Board decision in writing.

Project/Programme Formulation Grants

46. NIE project/programme proponents are eligible to submit a request for a Project/Programme Formulation Grant (PFG) together with a project/programme concept, using the PFG form approved by the Board. The secretariat will review the request and forward it to the PPRC for a final recommendation to the Board. A PFG can only be awarded when a project/programme concept is presented and endorsed.
47. Only activities related to country costs are eligible for funding through a PFG.
48. The project/programme proponent shall return any unused funds to the Trust Fund through the trustee.
49. The project/programme proponent shall submit a fully developed project/programme document within twelve (12) months of the disbursement of the PFG. No PFG for other projects/programmes can be awarded until the fully developed project/programme document has been submitted.

Transfer of funds

50. The Secretariat will draft a standard legal agreement between the Board and implementing entities using the template approved by the Board, and any other documents deemed necessary. The secretariat will provide these documents for signature by the Chair or any other Member designated to sign. The Board may, at its discretion, review any of the proposed agreements.
51. The Trustee will transfer funds on the written instruction of the Board, signed by the Chair, or any other Board Member designated by the Chair, and report to the Board on the transfer of funds.
52. The Board will ensure a separation of functions between the review and verification of transfer requests, and the issuance of instructions to the Trustee to transfer funds.
53. The Board will instruct the Trustee to transfer funds in tranches, based on the disbursement schedule with time bound milestones submitted with the fully developed project/programme document. The Board may require a progress review from the Implementing Entity prior to each tranche transfer. The Board may also suspend the transfer of funds if there is evidence that funds have been misappropriated.

54. If an implementing entity does not sign the standard legal agreement within four (4) months from the date of notification of the approval of the project/programme proposal, the funds committed for that project/programme will be cancelled and retained in the Trust Fund for new commitments.

Monitoring, Evaluation and Review

55. The Board is responsible for strategic oversight of projects and programmes implemented with resources from the Fund, in accordance with its overarching strategic results framework, a *Strategic Results Framework for the Adaptation Fund* and the *Adaptation Fund Level Effectiveness and Efficiency Results Framework* [Available: <http://www.adaptation-fund.org/sites/default/files/Results%20Framework%20and%20Baseline%20Guidance%20final.pdf>], to support the *Strategic Priorities, Policies, and Guidelines of the Adaptation Fund*. The Ethics and Finance Committee (EFC), with support of the Secretariat, will monitor the Fund portfolio of projects and programmes.
56. The Board will oversee results at the fund-level. Implementing entities shall ensure that capacity exists to measure and monitor results of the executing entities at the country-level. The Board requires that projects and programmes under implementation submit annual status reports to the EFC. The EFC with the support of the Secretariat shall provide an annual report to the Board on the overall status of the portfolio and progress towards results.
57. All regular projects and programmes that complete implementation will be subject to terminal evaluation by an independent evaluator selected by the implementing entity. All small projects and programmes shall be subject to terminal evaluation if deemed appropriate by the Board. Terminal evaluation reports will be submitted to the Board after a reasonable time after project termination, as stipulated in the project agreement.
58. The Board requires that all projects' and programmes' objectives and indicators align with the Fund's Strategic Results Framework. Each project/programme will embed relevant indicators from the strategic framework into its own results framework. Not all indicators will be applicable to all projects/programmes but at least one of the core outcome indicators should be embedded.
59. The Board reserves the right to carry out independent reviews, evaluations or investigations of the projects and programmes as and when deemed necessary. The costs for such activities will be covered by the Fund. Lessons from evaluations will be considered by the PPRC when reviewing project/proposals.
60. The Board has approved *Guidelines for project/programme final evaluations*. [Available: http://www.adaptation-fund.org/sites/default/files/Guidelines%20for%20Proj_Prog%20Final%20Evaluations%20final.pdf]. These guidelines describe how final evaluations should be conducted for all projects/programmes funded by the Adaptation Fund, as a minimum, to ensure sufficient accountability and learning in the Fund. They should be complementary to the implementing entities' own guidelines on final evaluation.
61. This project cycle will be kept under review by the Board.

Procurement

62. Procurements by the implementing entities or any of their attached organizations shall be performed in accordance with internationally accepted procurement principles, good procurement practices and the procurement regulations as applicable to a given Party. Implementing entities shall observe the highest ethical standards during the procurement and execution of the concrete adaptation projects/programmes.
63. The project/programme proposal submitted to the Board shall contain adequate and effective means to punish and prevent malpractices. The implementing entities should promptly inform the Board of any instances of such malpractices. The Board reserves the right to investigate any anomalies that may occur with respect to procurement.

Project Suspensions and Cancellations

64. At any stage of the project/programme cycle, either at its discretion or following an independent review-evaluation or investigation, the EFC may recommend to the Board to suspend or cancel a project/programme for several reasons, notably:
 - (a) financial irregularities in the implementation of the project/programme; and/or
 - (b) material breach, and poor implementation performance leading to a conclusion that the project/programme can no longer meet its objectives.
65. Before the Board makes its final decision whether to suspend or cancel a project/programme, the concerned implementing entity and the DA will be given a fair chance to present its views to the Board.
66. In accordance with their respective obligations, implementing entities suspending or cancelling projects/programmes, after consulting with the DA, must send detailed justification to the Board for the Board's information.
67. The Secretariat will report to the Board on an annual basis on all approved projects and programmes that were suspended or cancelled during the preceding year.

Reservations

68. The Board reserves the right to reclaim all or parts of the financial resources allocated for the implementation of a project/programme, or cancel projects/programmes later found not to be satisfactorily accounted for. The implementing entity and the DA shall be given a fair chance to consult and present its point of view before the Board.

Dispute Settlement

69. In case of a dispute as to the interpretation, application or implementation of the project/programme, the implementing entity or the DA shall first approach the EFC through the Secretariat with a written request seeking clarification. In case the issue is not resolved to the satisfaction of the implementing entity, the case may be put before the Board at its next meeting, to which a representative of the implementing entity or the DA could also be invited.

70. The provisions of the standard legal agreement between the Board and implementing entity/DA on settlement of disputes shall apply to any disputes that may arise with regard to approved projects/programmes under implementation.

Administrative costs

71. Every project/programme proposal submitted to the Board shall state the management fee requested by the Implementing Entity if any. Fully developed proposals shall include a budget on fee use. The reasonability of the fee will be reviewed on a case by case basis. The requested fee shall not exceed the cap established by the Board.
72. Fully developed project/programme proposals shall include an explanation and a breakdown of all administrative costs associated with the project/programme, including the execution costs.

Where to send a Request for Funding

73. All requests shall be sent to:

Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat
Tel: +1 202 473 0508
Fax: +1 202 522 3240/5
Email: secretariat@adaptation-fund.org

74. Acknowledgment of the receipt shall be sent to the proposing implementing entities within a week of the receipt of the request for support. All project proposals submitted will be posted on the website of the Adaptation Fund Board. The Secretariat will provide facilities that will enable interested stakeholders to publicly submit comments about proposals.

Review of the Operational Policies and Guidelines

75. The Board shall keep these operational policies and guidelines under review and will amend them as deemed necessary.

Schedule 2



PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL

PART I: PROJECT/PROGRAMME INFORMATION

PROJECT/PROGRAMME CATEGORY:	REGULAR PROJECT
COUNTRY/IES:	DJIBOUTI
TITLE OF PROJECT/PROGRAMME:	DEVELOPING AGRO-PASTORAL SHADE GARDENS AS AN ADAPTATION STRATEGY FOR POOR RURAL COMMUNITIES
TYPE OF IMPLEMENTING ENTITY:	MULTILATERAL IMPLEMENTING ENTITY (MIE)
IMPLEMENTING ENTITY:	UNDP
EXECUTING ENTITY/IES:	MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT
AMOUNT OF FINANCING REQUESTED:	4,658,556 (in U.S Dollars Equivalent)

PROJECT / PROGRAMME BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT:

General context

1. Djibouti lies in Northeast Africa on the Gulf of Aden at the southern entrance to the Red Sea. It has 314 km of coastline and shares a border with Eritrea, Ethiopia and Somalia. The country is mainly a stony semi-desert, with scattered plateaus and highlands. Djibouti has a land area of about 23,000 km², and a population of 818,200 people (Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper (PRSP), Djibouti National Office on Statistics (DISED), 2009) growing at 2.8% per annum, 6.1% considering refugees arrivals. More than 80% of the population lives in urban areas, with 58.1% in the capital city. A Least Developed, low income and food-deficit country, Djibouti has some of the lowest health, education and other social indicators in the world and was ranked 147th out of 169 countries in the 2010 UN Human Development Index.

2. Djibouti's economy is characterised by an extreme dualism: the commercial urban sector, modern and oriented towards exports, and the rural sector, characterized by subsistence economy based on pastoralism, with very limited access to infrastructure, services and markets. The service sector contributes to more than 75% of Djibouti's GDP. Agriculture contributes to a meagre 3%, while the industrial and manufacturing sector accounts for 22% of GDP. Although economic growth has been strong during the last 10 years, it has very little impact on rural population, who even face tougher living conditions with repeated droughts. In fact, Djibouti's economy has shown over the past two decades very mixed performance, marked by the succession of political crises and economic shocks (drought in particular) that have resulted into a continued deterioration of the country's competitiveness. The per capita income has fallen by over 25% compared to its 1984 level, while the deficit of state budget reached 10.1% of GDP in 1995. Unemployment affects around

60% of the active population and the composite index of human poverty in Djibouti is estimated at 42.5%, close to that of the extreme poverty (45%). Recent analysis of the poverty situation shows that it has become a structural problem. Given its scale and its depth, poverty is not just a social issue but has now become a real development challenge for the country. The situation is particularly alarming when it comes to rural communities who bear the brunt of both climatic and economic shocks. While the rural population only accounts for 15% of the total population (Djibouti Strategy for Poverty Reduction, State Secretary on National Solidarity, 2004), the vast majority of rural households, 96.5%, live below the poverty line, while over 80% of them live in a state of indigence, and are not even able to meet their basic needs.

Djibouti's agriculture sector

3. Djibouti's agriculture sector remains very modest and is characterized by its low level of productivity and small contribution to the domestic food supply. The National Strategy for Food and Nutrition Security (2008) estimates that less than 10% of the calories consumed nationally come from domestic production, the remaining 90% being imported from neighbouring countries or from the international market. In both rural and urban areas, households, especially the poorest ones, are overly dependent on the import market to access food and cover their basic nutritional needs. This heavy reliance on regional or international food import makes the country highly vulnerable to external market risks that are often beyond its control (e.g. products availability, abrupt surge in food prices, etc.). The under-development and poor economic performance of the agriculture sector is a direct consequence of the country's inherent aridity and its fragile water and soil resource base that constrain local production. It also results from the low level of investments made so far in modern farming practices, especially in the area of water resource mobilization and management (rainwater harvesting, construction of boreholes, recharge of aquifers, etc.) that are necessary to increase sectoral outputs and productivity in a sustainable and effective manner. Given the predominance of the urban population, the Government of Djibouti has favoured until now the development of the service sector, with the objective of positioning Djibouti as a strategic transit hub that can offer commercial access to the sea to the neighbouring landlocked countries. As a result, few investments were made in agriculture and rural development and productivity levels were stagnant or even on decline, especially during recent dry years. However, because of high poverty rates and limited cash income opportunities in rural areas, agricultural activities do continue to play a critical role in the food supply and daily subsistence of the rural communities involved in production. Though generally insufficient to cater for the food needs of rural dwellers, local agriculture still provides a key contribution and primary means of livelihoods for a vast majority of households, especially those with limited assets and sources of revenue. Moreover, the sector shows important room for improvement, with a productive potential that remains largely untapped due to the absence of effective and sustainable farming policies and practices.

4. In rural areas, two traditional production systems are present: pastoralism and small-scale farming. Pastoralism is an age-old and deeply entrenched tradition that dominates Djibouti's rural economy. Pastoral activities consist primarily of extensive nomadic herding which often represents the sole source of subsistence for pastoral communities that are estimated approximately at 210,000 individuals. Some 90.5 percent of the country's territory can be classified as pastoral lands that are used for herding. Transhumance is still practiced extensively along grazing routes determined by the presence of water and pasture. Mobility is a highly efficient way of managing the sparse vegetation and relatively low fertility of fragile soils of Djibouti. The country's total herd numbers amount over 1 million head, 89 per cent of these being sheep and goats.

5. Agriculture is a relatively recent activity compared to extensive pastoralism which remains the predominant feature of rural livelihoods in Djibouti. Despite some expansion during the past decades, development of small-scale farming remains very modest in the country, mostly due to the scarce water resources and limited access to reliable water supply in most rural areas. Arable land in Djibouti amounts to 10,000 ha, with 388 ha irrigated (NAPA, 2006). The farmer community is estimated at around 30,000 people who cultivate small agricultural plots generally located on *wadis*' banks, where availability of irrigation water and fertile land is the greatest. Most farmers are involved in fruit and vegetable production, sometimes combined with semi-sedentary livestock production (e.g., sheep, goats, camels). In these agro-pastoral systems, animals usually graze in the nearby rangelands during the rare rainy periods (especially the summer season and "Karan / Karma" rain) and then receive supplement of forage from the sub-product of cereal cropping (corn or wheat bran). In the past years, the Government of Djibouti (GoD) started to diversify local agricultural production by introducing agro-forestry practices through the plantation of drought and salt-tolerant varieties of date palm trees imported from Saudi Arabia.

6. Both nomadic pastoral and farming systems, including agro-pastoralism, have reached their production limit largely due to increasing water stress and land degradation. Over the past 20 to 30 years, pastoralism in Djibouti has undergone profound transformations resulting from environmental and political factors. A volcanic arid landscape, Djibouti is highly susceptible to desertification and pastoral communities relying on natural rangelands have been increasingly affected by a mounting trend of aridity and desertification. More frequent and longer droughts of the past decades have inflicted important and damaging blows on quality, productivity and spatial distribution of natural pastures and water points which are mainly shaped by rainfall and are critical for livestock survival during the dry season. Declining pastoral resources, coupled with demographic growth have rendered access to natural forage more difficult leading to loss of livestock and significant rise in destitution among pastoral groups. At the same time, government policies constraining herd mobility and encouraging sedentarization have been introduced on the assumption that it is too expensive to deliver satisfactory development services (e.g. health and education) to mobile pastoralists. In response to these drivers, many nomadic communities have settled in the last decades, if not migrated to urban areas, concentrating mainly around relatively reliable water sources and exerting enormous pressures over the neighbouring land and limited water resources. The resulting concentration of livestock further contributes to overgrazing and leads to a continuous impoverishment of vegetation and land degradation in pastures. In addition, recent analyses show that animal productivity and health are low, with a resulting impact on food security.

7. Similarly, farmers and agro-pastoralists are experiencing a severe drop in production and a deterioration of their income. Small scale agriculture is increasingly faced by repeated water shortages that result from longer and more severe drought periods. Reduction in water resources during dry seasons also leads to an increase in the salt content of groundwater used for irrigation of cultivated plots, thereby contributing to the salinization of already fragile fertile soil and severe decline in land productivity. New attempts to diversify agricultural production through plantation of drought and salt-tolerant date palm trees is hampered by the poor genetic potential of date palm varieties used so far (varieties of low productivity, excessive proportion of male trees). In addition to these constraints are the periodic flooding of wadis and subsequent bank erosion occurring during rainy seasons that cause important damage to local irrigation infrastructures and cropland.

Climate change vulnerabilities and risks

8. Djibouti is characterized by a very arid and semi-desert type of climate, which makes it extremely sensitive to climate change-induced drought and water scarcity risks. The country has a fluctuating, low and abrupt precipitation regime with annual mean rainfall of 150 mm, mean temperatures comprised between 17°C and 42°C and extremely high rate of evapotranspiration amounting to 2000 mm per year. The aridity of the climate is further reinforced by a particularly hot and dry West wind regime (‘Khamsin’) resulting from the warming and drying of the Eastern African Monsoon (Foehn effect) when blowing over Somalia and Ethiopia’s mountain ranges. Added to this are the effects of La Niña phenomenon, which in severe La Niña years brings drought to the country and sparks food-security concerns in areas that are already water stressed and heavily dependent on rain-fed agriculture and pastoralism. Under historical conditions, Djibouti climatic context is clearly one of high hydrological uncertainty, frequent dry spell and chronic water stress, features that are likely to be worsened by climate change with wide-ranging implications on the national economy, food security and human development in general.

9. Past records and recent observations tend to indicate that early manifestations of climate change and associated shifts in rainfall and water regimes are starting to being felt across the country. Data analysis conducted under the Initial National Communication and NAPA shows a marked trend towards temperature increase by up to 1,5°C since 1990 and rainfall decrease from 1960 (between 6 and 15% depending on the region). With increasingly low annual rainfall—between 50 and 300 mm per year—the past decades have witnessed an increase in the frequency of drought events (from one in 10 years to one in 2 or 3 years) with longer time spans and shorter recovery periods, resulting in severe impacts on vulnerable populations. Despite important efforts from the Government and the international community in fostering institutional and policy reforms to help Djibouti better prevent and manage climate-related droughts and water shortages, such events are clearly on increase, with a growing number of climate incidents and affected people being observed (Table 1).

Table 1: Top 10 Natural Disasters in Djibouti for the period 1900 to 2011¹

Type of Disasters	Date	Total number of people affected
Flood	Feb. 1978	106,000
Drought	June 1980	145,000
Flood	18/03/1981	102,000
Flood	09/04/1989	150,300
Flood	19/11/1994	120,000
Drought	Feb. 1996	100,000
Drought	June 2001	100,000
Flood	12/04/2004	100,000

¹ Source: EM-DAT, The OFDA/CRED International Disaster Database, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels – Belgium, www.em-dat.net

Drought	April 2005	150,000
Drought	July 2008	340,000

10. Since 2007, the whole Horn of Africa region and Djibouti in particular have been facing a prolonged drought event that has heavily impacted agricultural production and rural livelihoods, especially animal husbandry, the backbone of the pastoralist way of life. Over the past years, rainfall, although traditionally very limited, has been 75% below average in Djibouti.² A total of 206,000 vulnerable people have been identified in Djibouti as now being affected by drought and its impact. The Rapid Drought Impact Assessment³ conducted by the GoD showed that the 2010 drought– the fourth consecutive year of failed rainfall in terms of its quantity and regularity – has had a devastating impact on the water security and livelihoods of the 240,000 people living in rural areas, especially small-scale farmers and herders, with 120,000 (15% of the country’s population) being now directly considered food insecure and requiring food distribution.² The recent waves of drought have destroyed the crops of small-scale farmers for two consecutive years and led to further deterioration of water resources and pasture lands. Many traditional surface and sub-surface water sources have dried up whilst the water table level of aquifers in many deep boreholes has drastically decreased. In the south-west region (agricultural region of Gobaab and the plain of Hanlé) gathering more than a third of all agricultural plots, the number of rain-fed and irrigated cultivated plots dropped from 500 to 120 in four years. In many locations, this has also resulted in the deterioration of water quality through increased salinization, posing problems to both human health (the physico-chemical water quality does not maintain WHO standards in many places) and crop productivity (soil salinization). Similarly, due to the lack of pastures, fodder and water, pastoralist households suffered a loss of 70 to 100% of their livestock and are left now with very few options to survive. Livestock-raising activities currently generate 20-40% of the revenues of pastoralist households. Under normal conditions, these activities would represent 60-80% of household revenues. All the segments of the pastoralist society are being affected by the on-going drought which literally leads to the blurring of social boundaries between wealthy and poor breeders. Some pastoralists do not hesitate to describe this prolonged drought as "Isa Sima", which means in Somali language the one which equalizes the level of wealth. On top of that loss, food prices have increased by 50% between 2006 and 2009. The drop in income combined with the food price crisis has forced vulnerable households to allocate a larger share of their revenues to purchase food at the expense of the satisfaction of other basic needs such as health or education. Despite this extra effort, food security of most rural families is far from being secured. A nutrition survey in mid 2009 showed alarming acute malnutrition rates that in some regions (particularly north-west) reached 25%, with children under five being primarily endangered. As a response to these hardships, households are seeking alternative sources of revenue but these are often insufficient, and include increasing dependence on already declining remittances, uncertain food aid and debt accumulation.

11. While drought is no new challenge, the scale and seriousness of the current drought spell in Djibouti and its consequences on local sources of food and income, have clearly surpassed the coping mechanisms and internal support capacity of the affected rural households. Consequently, these detrimental conditions have already prompted and are likely to further force an increasing number of pastoralists and agro-

2 Djibouti 2012 Consolidated Appeal, United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs

3 Evaluation rapide de l’impact de la sécheresse en milieu rural (Ministry of Interior and Decentralization, March 2010)

pastoralists to give up their traditional activities and migrate to urban and semi-urban areas, principally in the capital Djibouti Ville, as already observed in the year 2008. Most of the time, these drought-displaced people end up settling into crowded peri-urban slums or makeshift camps where they live in extremely precarious conditions with little employment and income opportunities. In a context of widespread turmoil and social protests across the Arab region, these internal migrations could further exacerbate social tensions in Djibouti and undermine the prospect for peaceful democratic reforms.

12. As reported by the World Food Programme, Djibouti comes across as a ‘forgotten country’ in terms of international attention and relief brought to handle worsening drought and food insecurity issues. The country is indeed located in a geographical region where millions of rural poor are affected by drought and water shortage, so with 120,000 drought affected people in 2010, the country does not make the headlines. But in terms of the percentage of the population, it is much higher than anywhere else in East Africa and requires as such, priority and immediate action.

13. It is now well established that the on-going climatic changes and related disasters cannot be attributable to historical climate variability alone. There is growing scientific evidence that observed trends of aridification and increased drought events in Djibouti are linked to climate change and are early signs of bigger and persistent climatic disruptions. As such, a recent study from the U.S. Geological Survey and the University of California⁴, determined that the decline in average rainfall amounts and greater frequency of dry spells seen in the Horn of Africa over the past 30 years are linked to an unprecedented warming of the Indian Ocean that is directly caused by global warming. With global temperatures continuing to rise, this trend of increased drought frequency and water scarcity will likely persist and amplify in the future.

14. Although uncertainty in climate projections is important in Djibouti due the coarse spatial resolution of the global models used and unavailability of downscaled estimates, long term climate change scenarios for Djibouti, indicate a likely increase in mean temperatures between 1.7 to 2°C.1°C, and an overall rainfall decrease of 4 to 11% by 2050, combined with increased variability in precipitation pattern, a reduced rain season and higher evaporation rates. Rains during agricultural growing periods and during critical livestock production periods are also predicted to decrease throughout the country, except in Djibouti Ville, which could encounter an increase in precipitation by +3.9%. As demonstrated by the recent USGS research, sea-surface temperatures are likely to continue to increase in the Indian Ocean and an average decrease in precipitation is expected in the Greater Horn of Africa region, especially in the March to June season, when substantial rainfall usually occurs. Indeed, the study predicts that resulting warmer air and increased humidity over the Indian Ocean will produce more frequent rainfall in that region. The air rises, loses its moisture during rainfall, and then flows westward, descending over East Africa, causing further arid conditions in countries such as Djibouti.

15. The combined effect of higher temperature and reduced precipitation (especially at critical stages of plants’ growing cycles), will increase the occurrence of more severe and prolonged droughts and further

4 Williams, Park A. and Chris Funk. *A westward extension of the warm pool leads to a westward extension of the Walker circulation, drying eastern Africa. Climate Dynamics*. 4 Jan. 2011.

strengthen desertification and water shortage. Water availability is a key limiting factor for the country's well-being and development. Reduced precipitation and run-off are projected to lead to lower rates of recharge of underground aquifers, upon which the country depends for most of its water needs. Water supplies will record a deficit at least equal to the decline in rainfall, causing a reduction in the quantity of water infiltrating into the water reserves and the lowering of piezometric levels. Already with an annual water deficit of 5 to 7 million m³, climate change induced droughts and changes in the precipitation regime are likely to further threaten the livelihoods of many by reducing the potential for agriculture and impacting water availability and supplies.

16. Djibouti's Initial National Communication also indicates that climate change could increase the frequency and intensity of flash floods, which combined with more frequent dry spells, could exacerbate damaging impacts on people, infrastructures, livestock and crops. Several important flood events have already occurred between 1991 and 2004 (see Table 1), causing numerous human casualties and economic losses amounting to billions of Djiboutian Francs.

17. Similarly, accelerated sea level rise resulting from climate change is predicted to vary between 8 and 39 cm, with an average of 20 cm compared to the 1999 baseline. This rise will be accompanied by stronger and more frequent storm surges, acceleration of coastal erosion, extension of temporary or permanent submersions of the low coastal areas (especially in Djibouti city) in addition to increased salt water intrusion in coastal aquifers.

18. Unless adequate and rapid action is taken to reduce Djibouti's vulnerability to climate change, the country will be exposed to large biophysical and socio-economic impacts as illustrated in Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of impacts expected from climate change on key vulnerable sectors (NAPA, 2006)

Key Sectors	Climate change impacts
Water resources	<p>Surface water :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increase in flood frequency (up to 4-5 major floods per year) - Increase in the magnitude of major flooding events - Shift in run-off water regime <p>Groundwater:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduction in aquifer natural recharge - Reduction in groundwater resources (from 11 650 000 m³ to 9 880 000 m³/year in 2050) and increase in salinity of Djibouti's main aquifer
Agriculture and forestry	<p>Drought:</p> <p><i>Agriculture:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Depletion of ground water used for irrigation - Increase in salt content of soil and irrigation water - Reduced yields - Loss of agricultural land due to erosion or salinity

	<p><i>Forest landscapes</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Regression in forest cover – Gradual extinction of flagship endemic species – Overgrazing of shrinking rangelands – Intensified human pressures on forests for firewood and construction – Invasion of Prosopis sp. which is growing very rapidly under increasing aridity, encroaching on cultivated land and competing with other trees and local shrubs (esp. in coastal plain of Djibouti, Tadjourah, and Hanlé Gobaad) <p>Flooding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Destruction of farms located near the wadis – Silting of wells or destruction of crops and infrastructure – Multiplication of pests and insects (caterpillars, mushrooms, crickets ...)
Livestock production	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Rangeland degradation – Concentration of livestock around water points – Reduced livestock productivity – Low resistance to diseases of livestock
Coastal Zones	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Destruction of economic infrastructure (\$ 11.3 million during the 2004 flood) – Destruction of natural habitats and biotopes – Loss of human lives (80 dead or missing during the 2004 flood)
Marine ecosystems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Degradation of coral reefs – Regression of mangrove areas – Changes in fish stocks

19. As mentioned in the NAPA, the most severe and devastating impacts from emerging and future climate change impacts will reside in the greater impoverishment of pastoral and farming communities, families sometimes losing their complete herd or crop because of drought and thus having no other choice but migrating to the cities. Key drivers of growing climate change vulnerability of pastoral and farming communities include the following:

- The rural populations of Djibouti are particularly at risk from aridification and water shortage as they reside mainly in deserts or marginal lands (only 3% of the country's land is suitable for farming), often with highly erodible soils and limited water supply.
- The country does not have permanent rivers and surface water is characterized by a temporary wadi regime, with occasional, sudden and rather violent floods. The Djibouti population and rural communities in particular, therefore rely almost totally on groundwater, which is being depleted by unsustainable extraction. Water tables are lowering and suffering from increasing salinity and pollution. More than 49% of people in rural areas do not have access to a protected source of drinking water. Out of these, at least 30% resort to unprotected sources that do not conform to minimum sanitary requirements. Women are more vulnerable to water scarcity since they would have to travel longer distances to fetch fresh potable water.

- Rangelands and grasslands are being subject to increasing degradation due to overgrazing with livestock numbers exceeding rangeland capacity by an estimated 63% (notwithstanding the fact that only a portion of potential rangelands are exploited due to lack of water in more remote areas, forcing pastoralists to reside longer on one site). Many pastoral communities have settled in the past 3 decades, mostly concentrating around relatively reliable water sources exerting enormous pressures over the land and limited water resources. The semi-settlement of nomadic communities around water points and urban centres increases overgrazing problems and erosion, limiting rainwater penetration into soils and the regeneration potential of surrounding land. The consequences are (i) increased malnutrition of rural populations, (ii) the multiplication of diseases (tuberculosis and anaemia in particular), (iii) rural exodus, (iv) a decrease in groundwater levels, and (v) the degradation of pasture land, pushing herders to use the forest zones more intensively, which are subsequently degrading.
- Agricultural production is mainly located on wadi river banks and faces increasing water shortages, salinization of land and groundwater and damage from flooding and erosion. Technical skills and farming practices are poorly developed and not compatible with the limitations posed by climate change on local production systems.

20. Combination of the above climate and baseline pressures put the pastoral and agro-pastoral systems at jeopardy. There is a need for an exit strategy for pastoralists and farmers most vulnerable to climate change so as to provide them with alternative food production systems and livelihoods while giving them the opportunity to live on their lands. The obvious response to this immediate and long term adaptation need is to develop nascent agro-pastoral systems through establishment of irrigation based, multi-purpose oasis-type shade gardens that provide favourable micro-climatic conditions to support the integrated cultivation of drought and salt tolerant plants, such as improved variety of date palm trees, with local forage species, while offering opportunities to diversify livelihoods both on and off-farm, as a way to spread the risks associated with more frequent climate anomalies. Date-palm-based shade gardens have been traditional in many parts of the region (e.g. Tunisia – date palm shade gardens, Sudan – gum shade gardens, Yemen, Oman etc) and can considerably improve living conditions by allowing to grow forage, vegetables, cereals and some other local varieties resistant to more stringent conditions of aridity and increased soil salinity. Clearly, these multi-purpose agro-pastoral systems will offer the most viable and cost-effective solutions for many rural residents to survive the food insecurity threats posed by climate change. However, there are number of barriers towards this adaptation option that the project is designed to address. These barriers are:

- There is shortage of knowledge or technical capacity to apply advanced groundwater extraction and recharge methods in Djibouti. Despite maximizing rainwater percolation through various technological innovations (such as infiltration dams or gravel packing in special injection wells) is lacking to help rejuvenate groundwater table and save precious water source. The Water Department of the Ministry of Agriculture has already conducted some technical studies about the possibilities to use dams inside wadis in order to create artificial water reservoirs to capture and infiltrate wadis' periodic flows. These country-led studies also recognized the need to explore and introduce other technical solutions for more robust conjunctive surface and ground water management that show strong potential for success and replication in Djibouti. These include techniques such as percolation wells which were partially tested already a few years ago in the context of a geothermal development project. However, the practical knowledge and capacity to

adjust these methods to Djibouti's bio-physical context and requirements of shade-garden development is still missing and will need to be further strengthened with help of the Adaptation Fund.

- As a result of poor surface and groundwater management practice, agro-pastoral practices are underdeveloped in the context of emerging climate pressures, often characterised by poor productivity, offering limited options for excess forage growth for storage or diversification of produce necessary for spreading the risks across seasons or absorb shocks during the severe drought periods;
- Largely pastoral and farming communities lack skills and knowledge for pursuing climate resilient practices such as soil conservation, shade gardens and other essential agronomic measures to improve current productivity levels, an essential first step to build up long term resilience to climatic shocks;
- Even though pastoral communities have been settling in past decades in response to reoccurring droughts that demand greater flexibility and diversification in productive systems they still face shortages in the knowledge, financial capacity, and self-organization needed to adopt more sustainable and risk-spreading livelihood options, including diversification and commercialization of climate smart dryland products.
- Technical capacity necessary to make the extra step from improvement of date palm tree cultivation to widespread development of oasis-type shade garden is still lacking.
- Current set up of micro-finance does not favour pastoral communities that are considered a high risk group and cannot access financing to improve their livelihood, for investing in inputs, productivity and diversification. This poorest and most vulnerable segment of society largely relies on humanitarian and food aid and is offered limited options to build up assets and spread climate risks.


21. The proposed project is designed to address the above barriers. It is also a direct response to NAPA priorities in that it targets rural/pastoral populations and aims to improve and diversify agro-pastoralist production system and thus makes it more climate resilient. The proposed intervention with AF funding has been designed by Government, with the support of UNDP, along three main components: (1) sustainable access to secured water resources in the face of climate change, (2) Shade gardens to support diversified and climate-resilient agro-pastoral production system, and (3) Access to secured finance for climate resilient agro-pastoral enterprise.

Project regions

22. The adverse effects of drought and the increased vulnerability to climate change are high in all rural areas of Djibouti. However, the two large, flat and semi-desertic plains of Petit Bara and Grand Bara, which are important cross-roads for transhumance movements (from the eastern part of the country to the south-west), are particularly exposed to drought and have been prioritized by the NAPA as priority areas that require urgent adaptation interventions to secure water resources and conserve soil related ecosystems on which local communities depend. The targeted area is located in the district of Ali Sabieh (South of the country) and ranges over nearly 30 km long and 12 km wide (see Figure 1 below). The plains are crossed by National Road 1 which is experiencing heavy traffic to and from Ethiopia. The local geomorphologic setting is dominated by closed endorheic depressions that correspond to the bed of

ancient dried lakes and that are primarily made up of silty and sandy clay deposits. The population of the area is composed of predominantly pastoralists living exclusively from livestock production, together with some small agro-pastoral and farming communities. Similar to other regions within the country, rainfall occurs predominantly during the Karma (July-August) season which provides an annual average of 150 mm rainfall. Temperature remains usually high throughout the year which, associated with heavy wind regimes, results in a potential evapotranspiration rate of about 2000 mm / year. The plains are not crossed by any significant wadi but collect surface water from the mountains all around, most of it evaporates. The vegetative cover is located at the margins of the desert depressions and is made up of fragile steppes habitats used as grazing grounds by local pastoralists. Food security and sustainability of livelihoods in the two plains rely heavily on the efficient use of water and the conservation of soil and vegetation. However, local water resources are becoming increasingly scarce as a result of the combined effect of reduced precipitation and over extraction of groundwater that has caused a dramatic drop in aquifer levels. Surrounding rural families frequently need to walk for many hours to reach a single insanitary water point with their animals. Similarly, steppe systems have shown clear signs of degradation over recent years due to increasing aridity and overgrazing, leading to a steep decline in the productivity of natural pastures.

Figure 1: Location map of project's targeted regions



23. Recurrent droughts, disadvantageous hydrological conditions, high evapotranspiration rates, limited availability of water supply and unsustainable landscape and rangeland management are perceived as the main causes of climate change vulnerability in these areas. These factors require the strengthening of alternative agro-pastoral systems which can help alleviate pressures on steppe habitats through integrated crop and livestock farming and maximize water productivity by enhancing mobilization and sustainable management of surface and ground water resources.

24. Because of the nature of their soil (flat surface, sandy clay with few stones), the two plains demonstrate a relatively good agronomic potential, and have been prioritized by the Djibouti government as two of the most promising regions for agro-pastoral development. Very large areas can be easily transformed into agricultural plots, as this has been demonstrated already on a 5 hectare site in Grand Bara (a date palm plantation trial plot). However, current water scarcity has not permitted local populations to develop agro-pastoral plots at a larger scale. The secured access to water is therefore a prerequisite for the sustainable development of viable agro-pastoral systems highly resilient to climate variability and change. This notably means interrupting the seasonal water run-off with land/stone dikes

and creating large temporary water ponds to the benefit of surrounding populations and animals. Upon drying, these ponds also permit the development of new large, fertile pasture areas which improve the forage availability for cattle. The multiplication of such water ponds associated with the sustainable use of groundwater resources, can offer an important vehicle for integrated farming and livestock management. A number of hydro-geological studies conducted recently confirm the favourable conditions of the targeted areas for the development of a sustainable model of surface water harvesting, groundwater efficient extraction and artificial recharge. Surviving pastoral populations would therefore largely benefit from the advanced hydrological infrastructures and improved groundwater management practices that will help in the development of agro-pastoral activities as a risk-spreading strategy for long-term adaptation.

25. The two locations are also easily accessible by road (both are crossed by the Djibouti-Ethiopia main road), which provides a strong advantage for project success and sustainability (in terms of access to markets). In addition, the existing populations have benefited little from previous development initiatives (except for recent grazing management interventions in Grand Bara) while surrounding populations are strongly in need of support for adaptation.

■ PROJECT / PROGRAMME OBJECTIVES:

Overall objective:

26. The objective of the project is to diversify and promote climate resilient agro-pastoral practices in rural Djibouti.

27. This will be achieved through three outcomes:

- Capacities to mobilize and secure sustainable water resources to agro-pastoral communities developed in the face of climate change;
- Sustainable agro-pastoral systems developed, providing greater forage production capacities, diversifying agricultural productions and creating capacities for replication;
- Microfinance products developed to facilitate and promote diversified and climate resilient agro-pastoral production systems.

■ PROJECT / PROGRAMME COMPONENTS AND FINANCING:

PROJECT COMPONENTS	EXPECTED CONCRETE OUTPUTS	EXPECTED OUTCOMES	AMOUNT (US\$)
1. Sustainable access to secured water resources in the face of climate change	<p>1.1. Rainfall-runoff and groundwater models developed and institutionalized within the Study and Research Center of Djibouti (Centre d'Etudes et de la Recherche de Djibouti, CERD) and the Water Department of the Ministry of Agriculture to project likely climate change impacts on the water availability in the areas of Petit Bara and Grand Bara (US\$ 139,000).</p> <p>1.2. Based on model outputs, controlled groundwater extraction, artificial recharge and climate "smart" management plans that take into account seasonal changes in precipitation as well as long term mean amounts, projections, developed, and benefiting 30,000 people (US\$ 922,000).</p> <p>1.3. Community-based surface water harvesting infrastructures, such as earth dams, percolation basins and subsurface dams which increase surface supply and groundwater tables in support of shade-garden pilot schemes (see 2.2) introduced and related local management rules and</p>	Capacities to mobilise and secure sustainable water resources in the face of climate change to agro-pastoral communities developed	1,910,000

	<p>structures established (US\$ 743,000).</p> <p>1.4. Good practice guidelines based on knowledge sharing for integrated groundwater and surface water maintenance and use developed through stakeholder-led and participatory processes including community water management teams, government water regulators, Ministry's technical staff, and agriculture extension services (US\$ 106,000).</p>		
<p>2. Shade gardens to support diversified and climate-resilient agro-pastoral production system.</p>	<p>2.1. Six sets of 38 pilot community-managed agro-pastoral shade garden plots (1 ha per family) established that includes date palms, multi-purpose fence trees, local and regional varieties of climate resilient forage, vegetables and fruits (henna, dates, jujube, and mango) benefiting 228 agro-pastoral families - approximately 2,800 people (US\$ 1,146,000).</p> <p>2.2. Improved extension service for shade gardening benefiting 228 agro-pastoral families - approximately 2,800 people (targeted training for extension service personnel and agro-pastoralists designed and delivered on the issues grazing, forage management, cultivation techniques, crop protection, water efficiency, composting methods, etc, in the context of increasing climate change pressures) (US\$ 170,000).</p> <p>2.3. Well-sized feed/forage stocking facilities created in both project locations to allow better management of forage availability over repeated drought periods (US\$ 182,000).</p>	<p>Climate resilient agro-pastoral systems developed, providing greater forage production capacities, diversifying agricultural productions and creating capacities for replication</p>	<p>1,498,000</p>
<p>3. Access to secured finance for climate resilient agro-pastoral enterprise development.</p>	<p>3.1. A three-tiered adaptation-oriented micro-finance scheme that supports climate-resilient shade gardening practices in the Grand and Petit Bara plains developed through partnership with</p>	<p>Micro-credit products developed to facilitate and promote</p>	<p>477,800</p>

	<p>the Djiboutian Agency for Social Development (Agence Djiboutienne de Developpement Sociale, ADDS) which generates a total value of US\$ 300,000 throughout the project (US\$ 219,800).</p> <p>3.2. At least 300 agro-pastoralists have been organised to form agro-pastoral cooperatives (including women cooperatives) to facilitate training on climate-resilient agro-pastoral practices and to support the development of financial literacy and the diversification of agricultural activities (US\$ 150,000).</p> <p>3.3. At least 4 established agro-pastoral cooperatives develop comprehensive climate adaptation plans incorporating lessons learned on best practices for shade gardens to be integrated into the National Programme for Food Security and the National MF Policy in order to facilitate the replication of shade-garden-based adaptation solutions (US\$ 108,000).</p>	diversified and climate resilient agro-pastoral production systems	
4. Project/Programme Execution cost			407,800
5. Total Project/Programme Cost			4,293,600
6. Project Cycle Management Fee charged by the Implementing Entity (if applicable)			364,956
Amount of Financing Requested			4,658,556

PROJECTED CALENDAR:

Indicate the dates of the following milestones for the proposed project/programme

MILESTONES	EXPECTED DATES
Start of Project/Programme Implementation	09/2012
Mid-term Review	09/2014
Project/Programme Closing	09/2017
Terminal Evaluation	08/2017

PART II: PROJECT / PROGRAMME JUSTIFICATION

A. Project components

28. The project is fully in line with the AF's portfolio level objective 1 that is to "reduce vulnerability to the adverse impacts of climate change, including variability at local and national levels". By enabling better management of and access to water resources, the project will directly decrease the impact of climate variability. Also, by increasing forage production and facilitating the development of diversification solutions to agro-pastoral communities through microfinance products, the project will directly contribute to the AF's corresponding outcome "reduced exposure at national level to climate related hazards and threats".

29. The project will support a three-pronged adaptation strategy to foster the transition from pastoralism to a new and more resilient multi-functional practice of agro-pastoral shade gardening. The three components of the project are closely linked together and should be viewed as three complementary pieces of the same approach. Water availability, being the main limiting factor of agricultural productivity and livelihood security in Djibouti, Component 1 will be geared towards improving and securing access to water as a key requisite for developing agro-pastoral systems highly resilient to increasing aridity. The water produced under Component 1 will then be used by Component 2 to develop family and community oasis-like shade gardens providing 1 ha plots for each family using date palm as tree cover to limit evaporation and create favourable microclimates for forage and vegetable growth, replicating the traditional practices imported by Yemeni populations in Djibouti centuries ago. These systems will be facilitated and stimulated during and beyond the project lifetime by developing adaptation micro-financing services under Component 3 that will provide suitable financial means and incentives for rural communities to accumulate capital in anticipation of climate shocks and diversify livelihoods with the water and agricultural resources provided by the first two components.

30. Under components 1 and 2, some of the activities will consist of tangible investments while others will be technical, scientific assessments dedicated to support these concrete investments. Without these accompanying assessments, the bigger investments will be undermined because evidenced-based policy decisions to guide the management of these investments will be absent. Within component 1, concrete activities will consist of construction of boreholes (1 recharge borehole) and associated solar-powered systems, construction of earth and subsurface dams, and training of community water management committees. Within component 2, concrete activities will consist of the development of irrigation networks for shade gardens, preparation of garden plots, planting of species such as date plants which are more conducive to being successful in the emerging Djibouti climate, provision of plants for fruit and vegetable cultivation, development of multipurpose plant nurseries by women organizations, provision of wind breakers for shade garden protection against the hot khamsin winds, and provision of small agriculture tools such as shovels, wheelbarrows, rakes and feeders. In preparation of those concrete activities a set of scientific, technical analysis and capacity building of pastoral communities will be undertaken, as described hereunder. For both components, the share of the resources will be 85% for tangible investments (i.e. concrete actions on-the-ground) and 15% for technical assistance and scientific

and technical analyses to ensure that sound technical knowledge underpins on-the-ground investments which are geared towards increasing community resilience.

31. Under component 3, activities will consist of capacity building and the development of MF products with assessments and quantitative indicators to monitor and evaluate the ability of the products to facilitate the diversification of agro-pastoral livelihoods. Capacity building within the MFI and training and organization of the agro-pastoral cooperatives require the largest amount of initial investment. Comprehensive product development and assessment will take place on a progressive basis throughout the project to ensure that the MF products are geared towards lending to activities which assist the beneficiaries in adapting to climate change by supporting livelihood diversification activities of shade gardening.

Component 1: Sustainable access to secured water resources in the face of climate change

32. Higher projected temperatures will further increase evapotranspiration rates and redouble water needs for both agricultural production and pasture lands. Given the severity of current and anticipated water shortages and the chronic dependence of populations on food aid, improved management of scarce surface and groundwater resources becomes a critical condition for long-term resilience of local food production systems and associated livelihoods. Over 51% of all extracted water in Djibouti is currently used for agriculture. However, the return value and generated productivity remain low. Moreover, while aquifer recharge in Djibouti does occur during seasonal wadi flow, it is estimated that, due to rapid run-off and high evaporation, only 5% of available water resources actually reaches the aquifers, representing a significant loss of water for both human and agricultural purposes. The same applies to rainfall of which 83% is lost to evaporation from the sun heated ground of the country's vast arid plains.

33. Due to the fact that water availability is the main limiting factor of agricultural productivity and livelihood security in Djibouti, improved and secured access to water is a key requisite for developing agro-pastoral systems highly resilient to increasing climate and rainfall variability. Component 1 will therefore foster sustainable climate resilient water mobilization and management– combined with more efficient and conjunctive use of surface and ground water – as the basis of an integrated approach to support diversified and productive agro-pastoral systems. This is considered by the Government to be a valid alternative response to the hydrological impacts of climatically-induced water scarcity on dwindling rain-fed cultivated and grazing lands and subsequent consequences on food security. The main objective of Component 1 is to provide local communities with the means to fulfill their drinking, livestock and cropping water needs and lay the foundation for the development of shade garden-based agro-pastoral systems under Component 2. This will specifically imply a need to implement a series of water adaptation measures to better capture and manage more erratic run-off water and wadi resources during the wet seasons while improving the use of aquifers as natural water storage infrastructures to secure water supply during dry periods. The additional water produced will be used to improve access to drinking water, to alleviate pressures on degraded pasturelands through the rehabilitation/creation of remote watering points in order to increase accessibility to rangelands currently not being exploited, as well as to support multi-purpose crop and fodder production under new integrated farming and livestock management systems.

34. AF resources will be used to tackle vulnerability to water constraints by implementing a set of no-regret, soft land and water management solutions aimed at maximizing water availability and quality in the context of increasing aridity under expected climate change. To do so, the project will (i) improve the information and knowledge base necessary for adaptation planning by building strong technical and intellectual capacity within the research division of Djibouti (CERD) for water resource assessments and planning, (ii) develop short and long term water resource adaptive management plans and associated portfolios of climate-justified water stress reduction strategies based on modelling outputs, (iii) support investments into controlled ground water extraction, combined with artificial recharge that offer integrated groundwater management solutions which are more resilient to projected water shortages, (iv) invest into more advanced technologies of surface water collection, water harvesting and water extraction using well-proven community-based and resource-friendly approaches and practices, and (v) establish through stakeholder-led and participatory approaches adequate management structures and technical guidelines to effectively implement and manage the climate ‘smart’ water delivery techniques and services introduced.

35. More specifically, AF funds will first be used to fill current knowledge and capacity gaps relating to the understanding of local hydrological resources (state of the resources, physical functioning and dynamics, etc) through an in-depth study of the water resource potential in the target regions and its actual and expected evolution under climatically induced pressures. This analytical work will provide a better comprehension of the water resource availability in the long term, with the view of climate change, and it will provide the necessary data and knowledge base to support calibration and development of climate impact modeling tools and risk scenarios. Indeed, water managers and users are presently lacking the technical skill-sets, data and systems to properly conduct climate change impact assessments of local surface and ground waters in order to generate the information required to make scientific evidence-based decisions as they relate to water and agriculture planning, infrastructure development and more generally, identification and design of cost-effective adaptive responses for water management. The project will therefore review the applicability of and introduce specific decision-making tools for adaptation planning, with particular emphasis on rainfall-run-off and groundwater models to promote use and management of scarce resources more consistent with emerging climate threats. These tools will be developed and introduced at the Djiboutian Centre for Studies and Research (CERD – *Centre d’Etudes et de Recherche de Djibouti*) and within the Ministry of Water (MWENR) to assist with the planning and design of water abstraction/storage infrastructure. They will build upon the current practice and systems available at the CERD and within the Ministry of Water, while taking advantage of the latest scientific advances in the use of Geographic Information Systems (GIS)-based hydrological models, such as WEAP 21, that now permit the modeling of physical processes and climate change vulnerability assessments at a high spatial resolution across landscapes. For Petit Bara water resources have been studied well, therefore input data on water potential and aquifer dynamics will be readily available for the models. As for Grand Bara, current data sets are still incomplete which will require the project to support supplementary baseline data collection and gap-filling hydrological assessments. The selected GIS-based risk assessment tools will be coupled with systematic, real-time monitoring tools of discharge, water table levels and water quality (salt content) so as to strengthen the adaptive capacity of local communities and managers in the face of growing uncertainty in the hydrological cycle. This monitoring network and function should enable the generation of first hand data and information on the quantitative and qualitative state of water flows and reserves that can then be analysed, interpreted and disseminated in a way that supports well-informed and

responsive water management decision making and help regional and district level officials deliver relevant early warnings to policy makers and local users. The project will support a feasibility study that will detail the technical design, needs for soft and hardware equipment, institutional arrangements, risk indicators as well as rules and protocols best suited for operating and maintaining the established monitoring mechanism in a cost-effective and sustainable manner.

36. Improvement in the modeling capacity of the CERD and within the Ministry of Water will also help develop short and long term impact scenarios on local water resources that in turn will inform the development and feasibility (both technical and economic) of appropriate climate ‘smart’ water management strategies, taking into consideration short term seasonal variability of rainfall as well as long term shifts in mean precipitation. On this basis, the project will support the design of climate-resilient management plans for Grand and Petit Bara’s hydraulic systems, which will incorporate a suite of demand and supply-side management policies aimed at restoring depleted groundwater levels and conserving water balance. In so doing, the project will review and identify good practices of community-based mechanisms for sustainable management of seasonal waters of wadis and groundwater. It will also upgrade local management rules among local communities and enforcement capacity of district level government staff for the application of more responsive and effective monitoring regulations with respect to water access and uses. Participatory approaches will be deployed to develop with pastoral and agro-pastoral communities viable co-management models stipulating the rights and obligations of local users viz-a-viz the resources as well as agreed control mechanisms over water extraction and use. For example, access should be limited to specific entry points, and water be delivered through controlled pumping, avoiding direct contamination from people and animals. This will help to avoid further degradation and pollution from animal manure.

37. The management plans will also clarify technical feasibility and guide implementation of a set of pilot community water harvesting schemes that will help remove some of the climate-driven water-related limitations to resilient agro-pastoral practices. The two sources of water that will be used for shade gardening activities are the water that will be mobilized from wadis’ surface run-off and the groundwater. Comprehensive designs will be required for the earth and subsurface dams. To accomplish this, the project will upgrade existing surface water and run-off collection practices and introduce new methods well suited to increasing water scarcity. In particular, it will consider measures supporting water capture, storage and aquifer recharge under growing seasonal and inter-annual variability. These measures will include small hydrological infrastructures such as earth dams, percolation basins and injection boreholes that will be installed in different locations of Petit Bara and Grand Bara with the aim of increasing water retention and penetration into soil, developing new large pastureland areas, providing new water points to livestock while supporting agricultural growth and fodder production in shade-gardens for subsequent seasonal storage. Earth dams will be designed to collect and retain run-off water from small watersheds and will serve as barriers that reduce erosion, allow sedimentation and increase aquifer recharge by lowering the speed of water flow during high rainfall events. Inadequate design of dams can increase the risk of flooding in the case of heavy rains. For instance, in Petit Bara an earth dam constructed in the eighties was ruptured by the 1994 flood causing extensive damage in the downstream Djibouti capital. With proper design of infrastructure, such calamities can be prevented and will strongly contribute to the sustainability of the project as well to its cost-effectiveness. In order to support proper designs, technical studies conducted by national institutions like CERD and the Department of Water of the Ministry of

Agriculture will assist in detailing optimal water resource and recharge locations. The technicians of the Ministry of Water will be assisted with the design of surface water harvesting and artificial recharge. International and regional good expertise and experience in building wadis dams from countries like Yemen, Morocco and Tunisia will also be brought to this project. Furthermore, dam construction will be monitored by both the Ministry of Water and the Ministry on the Environment to ensure robust construction and the prevention of any adverse environmental impacts.

38. Percolation and retention basins are useful for conservation and storage of supplement water or for recharging the aquifers. While progressively drying, these basins also permit the development of new large pasture areas which provide forage for livestock. Percolation basins will be constructed at appropriate sites selected on geological considerations and designed for supporting artificial recharge and soil moisture conservation. Injection bore wells with gravel packing can efficiently filter rainwater reducing salinity and can help water to infiltrate faster, thereby increasing the groundwater table. These structures will be devised taking full account of emerging and future changes in local hydrological conditions and will be guided by the use of the adaptation decision-making tools described here above.

39. AF resources will also be used to promote investments in modern extraction technologies, with a particular focus on solar-based pumping systems. Solar-powered pumping (SPP) technology was introduced in Djibouti more than 15 years ago and is now practiced at small to medium scale. Currently, there exist around 50 SPP facilities in operation in Djibouti which are used to extract surface and groundwater for both domestic and agricultural purposes. At present, the country's overall experience with SPP is relatively well developed as indicated by the existence of a national market that provides access to a variety of reliable SPP systems and by the availability of local expertise delivered by a growing number of reliable service providers. This technology needs to be further supported in the context of the proposed project on account of the multiple adaptation benefits it can offer for small-scale irrigation, livestock water supply and water supply of remote and water stressed communities such as those living in the project areas. Today, most of the pumping systems utilized locally are based on diesel motor pumps which show high recurrent costs and are often oversized, leading to overexploitation of aquifers and subsequent salinization of land and water. In contrast, solar-powered pumping systems provide several adaptation-related advantages in the context of this AF project including, *inter alia*,: a) reduced impact on local groundwater resources due to less aggressive pumping methods, b) low recurrent costs, (in contrast, with motor generators, the cost for fuel is often a financial barrier for ensuring access of the poorest households to reliable water supply), c) reliable and free power sources which are essential to alleviating the current constraints associated with the use of motor engines (i.e. availability of fuel, variation in purchasing power of households, possibility to transport fuel by road) that impede local communities' adaptive capacity and that limit the supply of water when and where it is needed, especially in critical cropping periods or in remote grazing areas; and d) flexibility and scalability, allowing for convenient expansion to respond to seasonal fluctuations in rainfall and water. The project will review the current national practice with SPP and help address the remaining gaps to make it truly work as an optimal and viable solution for building the climate resilience of pastoralists and agro-pastoralists. This will include training the end-user groups on the basic requirements and tools for the O&M of SPP systems, developing appropriate protocols to adequately design SPP facilities in relation to the amount of water available (through a scalable approach that allows for a gradual and reversible expansion of the systems without undermining the water resources) and establishing appropriate community-based

cost/recovery mechanisms to secure the financial resources necessary for the maintenance and upgrading of the system during and beyond the project lifetime (see Cost Effectiveness discussion, Section C).

40. In parallel, the project will help local users put in place adequate management structures that will provide and empower agro-pastoral communities with the organization and functional capacity required to effectively participate in the identification and implementation of adaptation solutions while ensuring long term sustainability of water resources and the new water harvesting services established. The project, through targeted community awareness activities, will concert with existing community-based organizations, such as EDDA (Ensemble pour le Développement du District d'Arta), Omar Jacah and PK51 associations for knowledge sharing, to facilitate community mobilization based on the best practices and experience available internationally and in Djibouti in the field of participatory community-based adaptation and sustainable management of land and water resources. These structures may take the form of community management committees or dedicated associations (such as farmers or water users associations) and will benefit from targeted training and support programmes that will be developed by the project using participatory action-learning approaches and delivered through local agricultural extension services. This community organization work will provide the opportunity to introduce more adaptive and robust scarcity management strategies and protocols for agricultural water management, including flexible water allocation rules to account for seasonal and inter-annual fluctuation in water availability, as well as appropriate and equitable cost-recovery mechanisms. Cost-recovery will entail collecting resources needed to ensure the future maintenance and expansion of the new water systems, including SPPs. The mechanisms to be considered include fee or tariff-based systems that will be designed with full consideration of the contributive capacity of local users. The project will also explore the potential for linking these fees/tariffs to the amount of water effectively used, in order to establish a price-signal, even modest, that could incentivize local households to use water more efficiently and thus contribute to reduced water demand. Through these decentralized water management arrangements, the project will strengthen the adaptive capacity and social resilience of the target groups to prepare and act on time to any changes in their water resources, thereby reducing the risks of drought-induced conflicts amongst local users while increasing the overall effectiveness, robustness and durability of the structural and non-structural risk reduction innovations introduced.

41. Overall, the following outputs and actions for Component 1 include:

Output 1.1: Rainfall-runoff and groundwater models developed and institutionalized within the CERD and the Water Department of the Ministry of Agriculture to project likely climate change impacts on the water availability in the areas of Petit Bara and Grand Bara.

Activity 1.1.1: Initial pedological, hydrological and hydrogeological modeling study including an analysis of current water resource availability and demand and projections of climate change scenarios for water availability in Petit Bara and Grand Bara watersheds

Activity 1.1.2: Detailed Environmental Impact Assessment on the design of dams and the irrigation networks including water quality analyses in accordance with Djiboutian regulations

Activity 1.1.3: Identification of suitable sites for retention basins, subsurface dams and boreholes based on group consensus amongst beneficiaries and Ministries

Output 1.2: Based on model outputs, controlled groundwater extraction, artificial recharge and climate “smart” management plans that take into account seasonal changes in precipitation as well as long term mean amounts projections, developed, and benefiting 30,000 people.

Activity 1.2.1: Training for the technical staff of the Ministry of Water on surface water harvesting, artificial recharge and sustainable water resources management

Activity 1.2.2: Design of artificial recharge and generation of O&M manuals for solar-powered boreholes pumping systems

Activity 1.2.3: Construction of 6 extraction boreholes (4 Grand Bara, 2 Petit Bara) and rehabilitation of 1 borehole for injection

Output 1.3: Community-based surface water harvesting infrastructures, such as earth dams, percolation basins and subsurface dams which increase surface supply and groundwater tables in support of shade-garden pilot schemes (see 2.1) introduced and related local management rules and structures established.

Activity 1.3.1: Design of earth dams, percolation and retention basins and subsurface dams with O&M manuals

Activity 1.3.2: Construction of 6 earth dams with either retention or percolation basins

Activity 1.3.3: Construction of 8 subsurface dams

Activity 1.3.4: Monitoring of dam infrastructure works to ensure robust construction and mitigation of any potential adverse social or environmental impacts

Output 1.4: Good practice guidelines based on knowledge sharing for integrated groundwater and surface water maintenance and use developed through stakeholder-led and participatory processes including community water management teams, government water regulators, Ministry’s technical staff, and agriculture extension services.

Activity 1.4.1: Creation and training of community-based water infrastructure management committees to development local cost-recovery mechanisms, management plans and good practice guidelines

Activity 1.4.2: Design of a socially-sensitive water permit and tariff structure to be implemented into a national legal framework for water resource management to raise water efficiency and improve and water infrastructure maintenance

Activity 1.4.3: Development of a standardized system for capturing lessons learned on community mobilization tactics, water management strategies and cost recovery mechanisms to be continually incorporated into good water practice guidelines

Component 2: Shade gardens to support diversified and climate-resilient agro-pastoral production system

42. Although agriculture accounts for only a small part of rural livelihoods, agricultural plots, generally situated around wadis, play an important role in diversifying sources of revenue, improving the health status of vulnerable groups and livestock as well as improving food security of agro-pastoralists.

However, traditionally pastoral communities lack sufficient farming skills to maximize benefits of hybrid, agro-pastoral productive systems. Indeed, many pastoral families intend to develop small agricultural plots to diversify their food and forage sources, as well as to create new income sources, as a means to increase their resilience to climate variability. However, those initiatives are frequently failing or remain very limited due to irregular water availability and inappropriate farming systems in the face of increasing aridity as a result of climate change. In a few, limited cases, successful agro-pastoral practices have been demonstrated, such as in Atar and Mouloud (see public consultation information, Section H and Annex C). Based on the success of these projects, former pastoralists that have become sedentary (due to the lack of water for livestock) desire to have the same means to live off the land and lead sustainable, resilient lifestyles. In effect, it is the Government's aim to assist pastoral communities in developing family and community oasis-like shade gardens with 1 ha plots. Based on a technical report by a national agronomist, the 1 ha plots are required for efficient crop rotation where 0.5 ha is recommended for the cultivation of forage and the remaining 0.5 ha is recommended for fruit trees and market produce cultivation.⁵ This quantity of land is also deemed necessary according to consultations with current agro-pastoralists (see Section H). In light of these assessments, this project will award 1 ha plots to 228 families selected based on the criteria aforementioned in Paragraph 46. The plots will consist of date palm and tree plantations to be supported by locally relevant water harvesting systems (Component 1) so as to create favourable microclimates for forage, fruit, and vegetable growth, replicating the traditional practices imported by Yemeni populations in Djibouti centuries ago. Very well adapted to hot desert conditions, well managed date palms and oasis-type agro-pastoral systems demonstrate excellent robustness to drought and relatively good tolerance to salinity. Particular forage species such as Moringa Oleifera and Tamarix Africana will serve as multi-purpose plants by providing shade, wind protection and attracting bees for pollination. Similarly, date palm trees have multi-functionalities, including production of dates for food and trade, date stones for feed, palms for feed and handicraft, and activities which together can help spread the risks from drought hazards through a larger portfolio of food production and economic activities. Specific date palm tree production capacities have already been successfully developed in Djibouti and implementation knowledge is readily available at the CERD to technically support rural families for plantation, irrigation techniques, and overall management practices for the development of the shade gardens. Under the Presidential Fund, the CERD started the in-vitro multiplication of date palms in 2005 with technical support from Saudi Arabia, French IRD (Institute de la Recherche pour le Développement) and INRA (Institut National pour la Recherche Agronomique). In 5 years, CERD has already reached a great success rates, has experimented first fields trials and is now ready to start disseminating its young date palm trees and provide the necessary technical support for plantation and management. The research has been particularly focused towards highest value dates (e.g., Majhool, bouffagous, phenix, barhi) that have demonstrated an excellent resilience to drought and soil salinity, critical in the context of climate change.

43. Capitalizing and expanding on the research outcomes and technical capacity available at the CERD, the project will therefore revitalise and improve the national shade gardening practice in the zones of Grand and Petit Bara. It will be preceded by (i) creating a set of 228 date-palm-based agro-pastoral shade

5 Sougal, Aden Atteyeh (National Agronomist Expert) _Projet de développement des périmètres agro-pastoraux comme une stratégie d'adaptation aux changements climatiques des communautés rurales pauvres de la république_, UNDP Technical Report, October 2011.

garden plots (1 ha each) that can provide more favourable microclimatic and ecological conditions for the development of alternative and productive agriculture-based livelihoods as an exit strategy for the most vulnerable pastoralists, (ii) bringing the knowledge and intellectual capital to the local extension services and target beneficiary groups on the methods and good practices for shade-gardening development, maintenance and expansion, and (iii) creating feed/forage stocking facilities to allow better management of forage especially during actual and anticipated prolonged drought periods.

44. The most vulnerable beneficiaries have been identified through numerous consultations already conducted in the project region. Selection criteria, chosen based on consultations with the Petit and Grand Bara community groups and other successful agro-pastoralists in Mouloud and Atar, have further targeted the most vulnerable families that are willing and capable of leading the development of shade gardens. The targeted families are based on the following selection criteria:⁶

- i. The most vulnerable families that have lost the entirety of their livestock due to drought
- ii. Families that have no other social net assistance in terms of extended family support
- iii. Families that are eager and willing to develop shade gardens
- iv. Family heads that are communicative and show strong leadership skills to be able to guide others in sustainable shade garden practices in the future
- v. Families that have sufficient means of mobilizing manpower to help cultivate
- vi. Families that have their children in schools or are about to school their children

45. Through application of these criteria, supplemented with stakeholder participation, discussion and agreement, the project has identified the most vulnerable pastoralists that will reap the economic and social benefits in the Grand Bara and Petit Bara regions. Also, the application of specific criterion (outlined above) will ensure that best shade gardening practices will be transferred through good communication and demonstrations of leadership with the rest of the communities. Additionally, more opportunities will be created for non-targeted vulnerable pastoralists to benefit from the shade garden product value chain.

46. While the consultations used to choose the targeted families have been intrinsic to the design of the project, it is critical that stakeholder consultations are not restricted only for the design phase, but continue well into the implementation phase. This is necessary to ensure full participation of communities at each step of the project cycle. Therefore, during implementation, funding for this project planned under Activity 2.1.1 will be used for consultations to inform the population of the various ways the community members can work with the targeted families to build resilience to climate change based on the year-round outputs and the value chain of products from shade gardens. Moreover, the leveraging of additional resources for scaling up project interventions will also entail mobilization of the community to keep them fully involved and engaged as the project evolves.

6 Sougal, Aden Atteyeh (National Agronomist Expert) 'Projet de développement des périmètres agro-pastoraux comme une stratégie d'adaptation aux changements climatiques des communautés rurales pauvres de la république', UNDP Technical Report, October 2011.

47. Initial shade garden preparation will include the development of a water irrigation network. See Annex C for an example irrigation scheme. The agro-pastoralists will then seed the land for fodder and fast-growing crops. Subsequently, high quality date palms resilient to current and future climate conditions will be introduced through the provision of a relevant proportion of female species (the only ones producing dates). The project will also assess the water requirements of the shade garden systems to be restored / developed and will cover the additional water needs through a suite of water harvesting and control measures to be established under Component 1. In this regard, enabling biophysical conditions in the two different locations, such as soil quality, salinity and moisture will be accurately characterised and mapped so as to identify the most suitable zones for the development of the pilot shade garden plots. Temporary locations have already been marked during the project development phase but more detailed field studies and tests are required. Similarly, factors such as ecosystem characteristics, water regimes, microclimatic conditions, wind exposure, access to roads, and local population distributions will be duly considered. Other criteria for selecting the most viable sites in the broader territory of Grand and Petit Bara will be the following: (i) the agronomic potential of soils; (ii) possible access to surface and ground water either existing or through new water harvesting techniques under component 1; (iii) protection from floods; (iv) already existing agricultural areas, if any; (v) knowledge of local population and experience with farming practices; (vi) distance from villages, schools and important facilities and (vii) net impact and interaction with traditional grazing areas and transhumance corridors.

48. Plantations and main field works will be ensured by the technical teams of the Ministry of Agriculture, in close collaboration with the mobilized agro-pastoralists. Besides date palms, the gardens will also include (i) fruit and other high value (e.g. henna, jujube, etc.) trees; (ii) forages and vegetables; and (iii) agro-forestry with multipurpose local varieties, enclosing each 1 ha plot to contribute to the creation of microclimate to offer wind protection and provide multiple potential benefits for drought and water scarcity alleviation. The variety of crops to be planted will enable a profit year round as demonstrated in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Possible year-round cultivation of market produce

	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Guava												
Jujube												
Citrus												
Papaya												
Dates												

49. Capacity building of rural families in farming techniques will be a key element to the success of this project, and AF resources will be used to propose improved extension services for shade garden development. Rural populations in Djibouti are traditionally herders, not farmers. As a consequence, they do not have the knowledge and experience of farming, and will need regular training including permanent technical support from the project team. The project plans to identify 6 lead farmers in each shade garden region to work along with the specialized United Nations volunteers in order to demonstrate good practice

and strengthen the capacity of the local farmers with workshops. These training sessions will allow for seed exchange and possible collaborations across agro-pastoralists. Criteria for the selection of the champion farmers will include (i) good motivation and openness to new and alternative production systems, (ii) strong traditional legitimacy and (iii) well-proven leadership and personal capacities to influence other community members. Regular community meetings, action learning and training sessions, will be organized with support from the trained personnel and lead farmers group, reaching out to at least 228 families, representing approximately 2,800 people, in order to monitor progress, provide assistance and mentoring while maintaining a strong collaborative strategy towards the achievement of project results. Adoption of drought tolerant species and varieties as well as composting and mulching practices, regular break of soil/salt crust and the development of conservation agricultural methods will also be necessary to both reduce the demand for water and increase the natural water storage potential of the soil. These are among the adaptation methodologies / technologies that will be introduced and are hoped to help agro-pastoral communities embark on more climate resilient practices and development pathways.

50. Additionally, the March 2010 Rapid Assessment of drought impacts in rural areas recommends as a medium term adaptation measure, the creation of feed and forage stocks. Such stocks are seen as critical as they will permit rural families to ensure feed/forage self-sufficiency during drought periods. In addition to the necessary infrastructure development (based on small community units), creation of such stocks requires excess forage production during good growing periods. With expected climate change, this desirable condition will be less and less probable. AF resources will therefore be used to increase forage production through two main means: First, forage trees will be planted along the dikes of water ponds constructed under Component 1 due to higher soil moisture levels in these regions. Secondly, the project will support ecologically intensive forage production within the irrigated oasis-type shade gardens. As a result of this combined fodder cultivation, it is expected that excess forage production periods will occur and permit the constitution of stocks. Appropriate capacity building of rural families on forage conservation and stocking techniques will also be delivered, and the AF funds will be used for the construction of community forage stocking facilities, based on local traditional good practice, knowledge and experience in the broader region.

51. The following outputs and actions for Component 2 include:

Output 2.1: Six sets of 38 pilot community-managed agro-pastoral shade garden plots (1 ha per family) established that includes date palms, multi-purpose fence trees, vegetable and forage climate resilient local and regional varieties (henna, dates, jujube, mango, etc...) benefiting 228 agro-pastoral families - approximately 2,800 people.

Activity 2.1.1: Selection of agro-pastoral beneficiaries based on criteria through community meetings with project representatives (see Paragraph 44.)

Activity 2.1.2: Construction of planned enclosure with robust fencing materials in addition to natural trees

Activity 2.1.3: Design and construction of water reservoirs (cisterns) for six 38 ha plots including cost of concrete basin and irrigation network

Activity 2.1.4: Preparation of 6 sites (38 ha each)

Activity 2.1.5: Seeding of plots for fodder cultivation

Activity 2.1.6: Purchase of fruit and vegetable plants/trees including date trees

Activity 2.1.7: Establishment of new tree seedling nurseries for women's organizations

Activity 2.1.8: Supplementary reforestation of climate resilient species (including various Acacia species and other species such as *Salvadora persica* and *Rigozum somalensis*⁷) to reduce evapotranspiration, stabilize soil, and mitigate the loss of vegetation by grazing

Output 2.2: Improved extension service for shade gardening benefit 228 agro-pastoral families - approximately 2,800 people (targeted training for extension service personnel and agro-pastoralists designed and delivered on the issues grazing, forage management, cultivation techniques, crop protection, water efficiency, composting methods, etc, in the context of increasing climate change pressures).

Activity 2.2.1: Training for the technical staff of the Ministry of Agriculture in drought tolerant agricultural practices

Activity 2.2.2: Training of all agro-pastoralist households by specialists in extension services to help them develop sustainable farming production methods, climate resilient farming techniques

Output 2.3: Well-sized feed/forage stocking facilities created in both project locations to allow better management of forage availability over repeated drought periods.

Activity 2.3.1: Sizing and construction of fodder, crop and milk storage facilities (21 m x 9 m) with scale weighing equipment

Component 3: Access to local finance for climate resilient agro-pastoral enterprise development.

52. As explained in the problem and barrier analysis presented in Part I, there is a critical need for pastoral and agro-pastoral populations to enjoy better protection against more frequent and prolonged climate-change induced droughts by spreading the climate risks across more diverse on- and off-farm livelihood activities, including climate-resilient agro-pastoral small enterprises and income generating activities related to shade gardening. It will be equally important to provide a mechanism whereby target groups will be incentivized to move away from risky and maladaptive activities and supported in making the transition towards more resilient sources of income while escaping the spiral of extreme poverty and migration. The project will address these needs by (i) designing and establishing a local adaptation micro-financing scheme which will be geared towards the nomadic population in terms of establishing and maintaining climate-proof shade gardening practices (ii) delivering microfinance services through partnerships with MFIs to at least 300 beneficiaries to support diversified Income Generating Activities (IGAs) compatible with changing climatic conditions, (iii) developing at least 6 cooperatives, including women groups, to facilitate the training of sustainable shade-gardening practices and the development of financial literacy within the rural population, and (iv) creating community-driven adaptation plans to prioritize the needs of agro-pastoralists so that these measures can be incorporated into national strategies to facilitate the use of MF products in future agro-pastoralist projects.

⁷ Republic of Djibouti, Ministry of Habitat, Environment and Urbanism. Summary Report on the Implementation of the Great Green Wall Initiative in Djibouti, Djibouti, June 2010.

53. There is now a growing recognition of the role of microfinance for adaptation. In an influential paper on the subject, OECD (2010)⁸ pointed out the need for efficient delivery mechanisms to direct adaptation financing at the sub-national level, particularly to target the poor who are also often the most vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. The microfinance sector is increasingly acknowledged as one important vehicle in this regard and there is a growing call among the adaptation and development community to use microfinance as an effective additional delivery channel to facilitate adaptation among the poor and the vulnerable, such as those living in the proposed project areas. As such, the OECD review and other recent analyses clearly show that, if properly designed, microfinance can make a valuable contribution to more targeted climate risk reduction and adaptation, to build adaptive capacity for climate change, and to reduce incentives for *mal*-adaptation. This project will address such a need and will support adaptation activities that can be implemented through microfinance, while providing at the same time immediate relief to the targeted populations of Grand and Petit Bara. The adaptation micro-financing scheme proposed for Djibouti will pursue a dovetail objective: (i) setting the incentives through delivery of micro-credit and loans to help the most vulnerable pastoralists and agro-pastoralists build alternative assets and livelihoods more resilient to climate change (the so called ‘vulnerability exit strategy’) while (ii) establishing robust microfinance products which are earmarked for adaptation to climate change and which provide a suite of well-tested and successful agro-pastoral and water management practices that can be offered to all rural populations in poverty beyond the project lifetime. The AF support is needed to meet the additional costs and start-up funding that are associated with the design, training and deployment of such a MF instrument which remains above and beyond the current capacities of the exiting MFI and public sector in Djibouti. AF funding is requested to create essential enabling environment (skills, financial products and legal conditions) that will stimulate priority adaptation solution in Djibouti. That is diversified agro-pastoral livelihood development by means of up-scaling of climate resilient shade gardening.

54. Currently, there are no specific credit schemes geared towards pastoralists for adaptation in Djibouti. The main barrier for this relates to pastoral mobility. Pastoralists (as nomads) move to different areas depending on season and rainfall. Pastoral communities, as a result, have no real possibilities to build up assets and increase income to better absorb shocks and cope with sudden and slow-onset disasters, or with more complex emergencies that combine multiple *inter*-related disasters (food crises, malnutrition, disease outbreaks and human losses). The strongest case for employing microfinance for adaptation is its ability to help low income households to build and diversify assets and thereby expand their range of coping strategies. The project will work towards this solution by offering pastoralists an exit strategy whereby nomadic livestock practices that are now severely impacted and compromised by climate change will be gradually complemented, or even replaced, by semi-sedentary and sedentary agro-pastoral shade-garden-based production systems. The role of microfinance in this project is to facilitate the success of shade gardening by increasing the financial literacy and responsibility of beneficiaries while promoting climate-resilient and diversified farming practices geared towards adaptation.

⁸ Agrawala, S. and M. Carraro and OECD Environment Directorate, *Assessing the Role of Microfinance in Fostering Adaptation to Climate Change*, OECD, February 2010.

55. The timing is right for the project to invest in this area as the microfinance sector in Djibouti has recently been restructured to strengthen the rural sector and to reduce poverty in rural environments by supporting agricultural development through the revised National Microfinance Policy 2012-2016 (see Section D). This strategy supports the implementation of more regional MFI offices, additional funds for MF guarantees and risk insurance and the diversification of loan products adapted to the needs of agricultural clients. Such initiatives will promote the use, sustainability and dissemination of MF products for agriculture. Most importantly, the Policy provides a platform for the MF products of this project to be developed in a comprehensive manner so that they can be easily transferred to future agro-pastoral projects and ensure the successful financial support of subsequent adaptation-oriented shade gardening activities.

56. This Policy builds on the experience and capacity of ADDS, the governmental body responsible for social development, (Agence Djiboutienne de Developpement Sociale). ADDS has managed the micro-credit sector for over 10 years and established a microfinance sector in 2009. ADDS provides administrative and credit advice to its operational arm, CPEC. Three credit and savings offices exist in Djibouti: one in Ali-Sabieh which covers the regions of Ali-Sabieh and Dikhil, one in Tadjourah which covers the regions of Tadjourah and Obock and an office in the Djibouti capital which covers the city and the Arta region. CPEC has 12,000 members including individual and group loans and acts as an autonomous unit under surveillance by ADDS. ADDS provides credit lines to CPEC at a rate of three percent interest to finance independent, start-up MF offices throughout Djibouti. To date, CPEC has distributed 4 M USD worth of loans and has 780,000 USD in savings for all members combined. The sector is regulated by the Cooperative Law and is closely overseen by the Central Bank of Djibouti similar to all other banking institutions.

57. ADDS has also over 10 years of experience in promoting microcredit for agriculture. In 2000, 50 female farmers from Douda and Damerjog were organized into cooperatives to buy grains and fuel, to enlarge agricultural plots and to dig shallow wells with the assistance of the former MFI, FSD. More recently, CPEC organized a group of 12 women in Douda into cooperatives of 3 to 4 people to assist them in buying seeds. Each group received yearly loans of 1,100 USD. Two of the groups had very successful cultivation and were able to receive loans for 1,700 USD the following year. ADDS has also aided 400 farmers by providing them agricultural kits consisting of tools such as hand plows and watering cans to enhance their productivity. From such experiences, ADDS has created a series of 8 training documents on the cultivation of market produce (see an example in Annex D). In addition, ADDS currently provides flexible loan products for Djiboutian farmers who have proven to be well-organized, reliable and credit-worthy. For example, currently ADDS waits 6 months after the first harvest before demanding repayment from some Djiboutian farmers. Clearly, some essential elements are already in place to enable the integration of climate risk considerations into loan packages (through loan eligibility and repayment conditions). These elements will help ADDS, CPEC and other financial service providers to develop loan products that stimulate climate resilient agro-pastoral livelihood development at a larger scale.

58. The credit line for the microfinance system in Djibouti was originally provided to ADDS by the Government of Djibouti. Additionally, numerous lenders provide credit lines to ADDS including the African Development Bank (AfDB), the International Fund for Agriculture Development (IFAD) and the Islamic Development Bank. For example, the Islamic Development Bank is in the process of preparing a credit line for poor households in the region of Balbala. Currently, due to the urgency to reduce the poverty and vulnerability of rural populations, the Government has established credit lines to support agriculture-related

projects. These Government supported credit lines are crucial to develop necessary skills and financial products that will support greater climate resilience in the agricultural sector in the context of this project. Such credit lines in future are guaranteed by the National Microfinance Policy 2012-2016 which has mandated that the Ministry of Agriculture provide financial assistance to support rural microfinance. Funding mechanisms have been outlined in the National Microfinance Policy as indicated in Section D and Annex E.

59. The goal of ADDS is to organize its members (particularly in women groups) and provide them tools so that they are more reliable in the repayment of their loans. Cooperatives are preferred clients for ADDS because individuals within the group act as collateral for one another. In fact, guarantees are not required for cooperatives in Djibouti due to the high likelihood of repayment from the group. ADDS aims to accumulate savings accounts for their clients because as clients reimburse their loans, 10% is reclaimed and put into their savings. (CPEC itself transforms 70% of member's savings into loans.) ADDS is also trying to prevent the rural exodus of villagers to the city by putting financial services within proximity of their rural clients and supporting Income Generating Activities (IGAs) associated with agriculture and milk product production.

60. ADDS is currently innovative and flexible in the range of loans and savings accounts it can offer and has expressed sincere interest in creating new products that stimulates adaptation and reduces climate related risks to the target communities. It recognizes that MF is limited within Djibouti because it can typically only be geared towards people who already have resources and lucrative activities, such as to promote better health or education of children. However, in the context of adaptation needs, the target groups are in poverty and cannot assure their daily living needs. Therefore, ADDS suggests to apply a three-tiered plan for this project due to its success in implementing elements of this scheme in other projects (See Figure 3).

i. First, ADDS will provide safety net loans to cooperatives of between 20 and 50 people who provide labour for projects (such as community members assisting with dam construction) similar to the Food for Work concept. These loans will be quite small (such as 25 cents per week), because they are based on the well-established Myrada concept, where a group will help others within the group due to their values of mutual support, but must pay some fee to secure the loan process.⁹ ADDS has experience in implementing the Myrada concept with other projects. For instance, it has created a safety net programme in the Damerjog area where they have organized a cooperative consisting of twenty female farmers. Each member of this group contributes 5.6 cents per week. To date, the cooperative has saved a total of 500 USD.

ii. ADDS will then offer a second stage of loans categorized as nanofinance which is typically provided after some months (typically 3 to 4) of good performance under the safety net programme. ADDS encourages women, in particular, to initiate their own cooperative. Nanofinance offers very low interest payments and a small management fee which can be repaid after an extended time-frame such as half a year. CPEC (the operational branch of ADDS) provide a nanofinance credit in the amount of 200,000 FD (equivalent to 1,130 \$ US) to a group of 20 female farmers who have demonstrated credit-worthiness as a cooperative.

iii. The third and final stage is the standard microfinance loan for groups or individuals as per business as usual. Current interest rates are 1.5% for groups and 2% for individuals. CPEC offers two types of loans; individual loans ranging between 300,000 and 500,000 FD (1,700 to 2,000 USD) and group loans ranging between 50,000 and 200,000 FD (300 to 1,200 USD) per person for 4 to 6 people. Guarantees are only

⁹ Fernandez, A. *Myrada's Organisational Values (In the Context of PAPRO)*, Bangalore, India, November 1997.

required for individuals receiving loans. Therefore, the three-tiered MF product plan is geared to organize cooperatives from the start and to transition the beneficiaries gradually to increase their loan amounts with increasing income and experience.




Figure 3: Three-tiered microfinance scheme

61. To develop this three-tiered product, this project will engage a group of experts, including international that has previously developed loans oriented towards adaptation. It will develop a MF product team including national agronomist experts to define loan conditions for activities which support adaptation to climate change which will promote shade garden-based agro-pastoral livelihoods (see adaptation activity list below the following paragraph). Activities acceptable for loans on adaptation will be documented in training materials in order to ensure that the loan conditions are binding and are easily understood by CPEC and their clients. Documentation of the loan conditions and acceptable activities will facilitate the use of the MF products for other agro-pastoral projects.

62. Loans will be provided for activities which will promote the resilience of the pastoralists / agro-pastoralists to climate change through the support of livelihood diversification for shade gardening practices. Principal categories of the loans for adaptation include the following:

- i. Purchasing date palm varieties to establish multi-tier shade garden for fodder and vegetable production;
- ii. Purchasing hybrid crop varieties which are resistant to salt and water stress;
- iii. Purchasing drip irrigation material after accruing agricultural experience over the course of the project so that significant water resources can be conserved;
- iv. Purchasing productive cows and goats which can withstand water stress so that a limited number of livestock will prevent land and vegetation degradation and;
- v. Developing a value chain of milk products to promote the diversification of livelihoods.

63. The most productive cattle breed in Djibouti is the Prim Holsteing breed which has demonstrated enhanced milk production since 1980. One such cow produces milk quantities equivalent to that of 3 commonly used cows (up to 12 litres of milk per day). Fewer cows needed to produce milk can significantly

decrease the environmental degradation of surrounding water, land and air. Due to the productivity and increased revenues associated with this breed, they are currently very sought after by pastoralists. Microfinance will aid the cooperatives to purchase these cows which are approximately 300 USD each or 1.25 times the price of less productive cows. With the financial support of the group, beneficiaries will be expected to repay the loans once profits are accrued from the sales of milk products and market produce. CPEC already promotes the diversification of milk products with its loans for small milk producers in the rural zones outside of Djibouti city. CPEC also provides loans to assist with the conservation of milk products and other activities which promote milk product sales.

64. By having the ability to purchase productive cows and goats, Component 3 will be used to train the (agro)-pastoralists on how to diversify their lifestyles sustainably. Productive goats will be emphasized for micro-credit financing because the milking of goats in Djibouti is the work of women. With climate-adapted productive goats, it will be possible for women to diversify their livelihoods with goat milk products that are highly valued in the Djiboutian market. In fact, Djibouti's markets currently consume 55 tons of milk per day and local milk is particularly appreciated in Djibouti city.

65. With acceptable adaptation-oriented activities outlined, the MF product team will detail how to pragmatically finance agricultural activities based on their intermittent returns. Flexible payment schedules will be detailed for loans based on the seasonal cultivation of forage and market produce as well as the cyclical production of milk products (e.g., different times for milk and cheese production based on the gestation periods for cows). As noted previously, ADDS has already implemented flexible repayment schedules for their agricultural clients.

66. AF funds for this project will not only facilitate the development of adaptation-oriented MF products, but they will also build capacity within the ADDS organization so that they can manage and provide training for the MF products. Training for ADDS personnel must include promoting awareness that the loans be earmarked for adaptation-oriented activities that helps improve productivity and communities to repay the debt. (A similar caveat was reinforced by an IFAD donation to ADDS which demanded that loans be provided for sustainable agricultural tasks only.) Capacity building within ADDS will also ensure that they can effectively organize groups. By initiating stakeholder meetings during the development of the MF products, this will give ADDS a sense of the community's potential and willingness to diversify income-generating activities. Such consultations will then provide ADDS a means to effectively organize cooperatives after the development of the MF products.

67. ADDS will subsequently organize and train the cooperatives on their MF products. They will put an emphasis on creating women's cooperatives, a task in which they have significant experience. Success for the MF products will be supported by the continuous monitoring, evaluation and feedback of the loans throughout the lifetime of the project by ADDS. An independent national expert and UNDP will also monitor the use of funds to ensure that they are being used for activities which increase the resilience of the agro-pastoralists / pastoralists to the impacts of climate change. This component will be monitored through statistical indicators to determine improvements such as increased coverage of land under shade-garden productive systems and a rise in productivity, credit volumes and repayment rates.

68. To assist in teaching agro-pastoralists, Activity 3.1.3 includes the preparation of technical guides for activities geared towards climate resilient agro-pastoral practices. By preparing guides, they will serve as standard manuals for the CPEC staff. The guides will be produced for each category of adaptation activities for which it is possible to obtain a loan (e.g., seed purchase, drip irrigation). These guides will also explain interest and repayment schedules of loans. By being explicit about the activity and providing guidance on how to successfully perform the activity and finance the loan, CPEC can better ensure repayment. These guides will be revisited throughout the project in order to clarify any confusion from clients and to include guidance on any additional adaptation-related activities. It is envisioned that a guide similar yet more comprehensive to that in Annex D will be created. These guides can be easily applied to other agro-pastoral projects which will effectively yield adaptation elements in these other projects.

69. Furthermore, ADDS will implement mobile banking, a relatively new MF concept used to reduce the costs (Activity 3.1.4). This concept entails using trucks to provide financial services to rural clients who do not have the ability to travel to local offices. This initiative will increase the access of beneficiaries to MF services geared towards adaptation-oriented activities. The idea has significantly reduced MF costs in Brazil by eliminating the need for numerous MFI branch offices.¹⁰ The concept has been noted as one of the most promising methods to reduce the costs of rural banking and has had a great impact on spreading MF throughout rural communities.

70. New agricultural products from shade gardens will offer various possibilities for the development of income-generating activities and community enterprises (e.g. henna jujube products and date palm products of high market value). Djibouti imports 85% of the fruits and vegetables needs, mainly from Ethiopia. Dates are imported mainly from Arab countries. The annual quantity of dates imported is around 3000 tons while the country production is around 200 tons. The gap between demand and supply is particularly high which clearly indicates that there is high market potential for dates and other fruits and vegetables that will be produced as a result of the project. This makes the date and agricultural production approach supported by the project highly relevant and feasible. In addition, the project region is close to an important national road and is situated less than one hour drive from the capital which is the main market outlet. Storage facilities for the market produce will not be necessary given the high demand for the products, the close proximity of the market, and the easy accessibility of the market due to the national road; the produce can effectively be sold in the local market as soon as it is cultivated (the current practice throughout Djibouti).

71. In contrast, storage facilities will be provided for storing forage for use in years when potential drought may hinder grass production (Activity 2.3.1). The storage facility will contain scales to weigh fruits and vegetables for local sales. Also, the chain of milk products (from milk to butter and cheese) will need to be stored and conserved in the storage facilities. The plan of the storage facilities is also to provide a multi-purpose space for the community which will include a group meeting location and potentially a place to read at night (if solar panels are installed).

72. In order to improve access to microfinance, at least 300 agro-pastoralists and potentially 300 pastoralists will be organized in community groups or organizations that will allow pooling of their resources for a

10 Meyer, R.L. *Subsidies as an Instrument in Agriculture Finance: A Review*, Joint Discussion Paper, The World Bank, BMZ, FAO, GIZ, IFAD and UNCDF, June 2011.

stronger asset / property base and chances to qualify for loans. A target of this project is to organize at least 6 cooperatives to facilitate training of the group to provide them with value chain skills which will enable them to perform climate-resilient income-generating products from beginning to end, such as sustainable cultivation practices and diversified food and fodder production in the established shade gardens. These as noted above create more conducive agro-climatic conditions (reducing evapotranspiration and help maintaining soil moisture, compared to open fields and pastures).

73. In order to ensure the sustainability of the MF products, at least 4 established agro-pastoral cooperatives will progressively develop comprehensive climate adaptation plans to outline the priority measures for the establishment of the multi-tier and multifunctional shade gardens. Through biannual workshops, members of the cooperatives will provide their recommendations for each stage of shade gardening development process to ADDS. ADDS technical staff and experts will be part of this planning exercise. The community workshops will focus on how to improve the microfinance products, loan process and training materials to guide the rural population towards climate resilient, income-generating agro-pastoral activities. This can be achieved by elaborating how credit lines should be directed towards stimulating climate resilient practices, including what quantity of financial resources are necessary at specific stages of the shade garden establishment, what kind of flexible payment programmes are required and what loan conditions are feasible. The workshops will also enable the community to promote their views on how to best provide easy-access to local finance which is understandable for the general agro-pastoral population. The main goal of the planning meetings will be to identify the range of measures necessary which can reinforce the resilience of the local rural population and natural resource base to risks from climate change on the agro-pastoral activities. Identified priority measures will be formalized in detailed adaptation plans which fully reflect the communities' priorities for shade garden development that can subsequently be integrated into the National Programme for Food Security and the National MF Policy (see Section D). Both national documents are aligned with recommending the use of microfinance with agriculture to reduce poverty in rural regions, yet neither detail how microfinance for adaptation-oriented activities can be successfully implemented. These community-driven adaptation plans will provide the requirements for the successful design and implementation of microfinance products earmarked for adaptation as recommended by the experiences of the project beneficiaries so that they can be successfully utilized with future agro-pastoral projects and programs.

74. Overall, the following outputs and actions for Component 3 include:

Output 3.1: A three-tiered adaptation-oriented micro-finance scheme that supports climate-resilient shade gardening practices in the Grand and Petit Bara plains developed through partnership with ADDS which generates a total value of US\$ 300,000 throughout the project.

Activity 3.1.1: Development of three-stage MF product including a safety net programme for cooperatives, nanofinance for small, flexible loans and microfinance loans for diversified, revenue-generating activities with the assistance of an international and national experts

Activity 3.1.2: Targeted training for ADDS and CPEC to give them expertise in teaching MF principals for adaptation-oriented products to project beneficiaries

Activity 3.1.3: Preparation of technical guides detailing microfinance principles and sustainable agricultural activities

Activity 3.1.4: Mobile banking development to provide microfinance services to beneficiaries with no means of travel

Activity 3.1.5: Long-term and periodic monitoring and evaluation of adaptation-oriented microfinance

Output 3.2. At least 300 agro-pastoralists have been organised to form agro-pastoral cooperatives (including women cooperatives) to facilitate training on climate-resilient agro-pastoral practices and to support the development of financial literacy and the diversification of agricultural activities.

Activity 3.2.1: Organization of agro-pastoralists and pastoralists in cooperatives and training for cooperatives in terms of loan repayment programmes, savings accounts, sustainable farming practices and the diversification of agricultural products

Activity 3.2.2: Development of diversified women's microfinance groups with emphasis on women empowerment

Output 3.3. At least 4 established agro-pastoral cooperatives develop comprehensive climate adaptation plans incorporating lessons learned on best practices for shade gardens to be integrated into the National Programme for Food Security and the National MF Policy in order to facilitate the replication of shade-garden-based adaptation solutions.

Activity 3.3.1: Organization of agro-pastoralists into cooperatives which will provide recommendations on measures to improve the adaptation-oriented MF products

Activity 3.3.2: Bi-annual workshops organized by ADDS to facilitate the collection and documentation of ideas to promote sustainable MF products for each stage of shade garden development

Activity 3.3.3: Formalization of the community-driven adaptation plans so that they can be integrated into the National Programme for Food Security and the National MF Policy

Activity 3.3.4: Organization, centralization and promotion of lessons learned on best shade gardening practices via written and video reports, workshops and study tours

B. Economic, social and environmental benefits of the project

75. The proposed intervention follows from the latest scientific studies that show a steep and long-term trend of aridification in Djibouti (see Part I). These changes in Djibouti's climate system and water cycle are predicted to seriously, if not irreversibly, compromise pastoral lifestyle across the country. Under these new climatic conditions, availability and productivity of vegetative cover, land and water resources may become insufficient to satisfy the growing food and economic needs of the dominant pastoral population of the Bara region.

76. Indeed, the long term trend of aridification in Djibouti resulting from climate change is expected to become an irreversible process that makes it urgent to implement an 'exit strategy' for pastoralists in areas where extensive rain-fed nomadic livestock breeding will become no longer viable. The AF project will therefore yield significant economic, social and environmental benefits by addressing the long-term

needs of the most vulnerable pastoral populations of Grand and Petit Bara by offering them the opportunity to engage into semi-sedentary integrated water management and agro-pastoral shade garden systems that will increase climate resilience for 30,000 direct and indirect beneficiaries. Better water management and 228 ha multifunctional shade-gardens will directly benefit approximately 2,800 former herders (228 families in total) and will have indirect positive effects on roughly 27,000 other people including the remaining nomad communities that transit in the region and nearby urban populations. These two categories will either benefit from improved water points and less degraded pastures and/or high quality agricultural products on the local markets, leading overall to improved animal health and productivity, greater sources of income and better food security and diet conditions.

77. The most vulnerable populations (as determined by the selection criteria) have been targeted to receive significant economic and social benefits from this project. They will receive the land from the government and will be trained to develop sustainable shade gardening practices which will facilitate their resilience to drought and promote their adaptation to climate change. With proper training they will be able to become self-sustaining in their capacity to produce crops year round. Additionally, by having access to the safety net or bottom tier of the MF products, they will also receive financial support to increase the profitability of their gardens. Furthermore, by targeting the most vulnerable and forming cooperatives among them, they will also develop a social support network which can aid their access to the adaptation measures of this project.

78. Downstream uses of water captured under Component 1 and the conceptualization of the shade-garden multi-functional system are based on existing studies and proven experiences. Several studies demonstrated that surface water mobilization is of utmost importance for Djibouti and will build the resilience of the country to climate change either for rural or for urban areas. These assessments include notably:

- The sectoral reports for the GGWI demonstrated that surface water mobilized by earth dams have been used several months (after rainfall) by pastoralists for livestock. Small scale forage production plots have also been developed near the water ponds.
- The report “Master Plan” for agriculture stresses the need to develop water mobilization projects.
- The evaluation report of the African development Bank for the project “Mobilization des eaux à usage domestique et agricole” reported the development of agricultural activities after water mobilization in Djibouti
- Scientific studies on the Djibouti aquifers stressed the unbalance between the current natural recharge and the pumping demand and the need for more water capture and storage for uses such as agriculture.

79. The aforementioned reports also emphasize the need to produce more water for agro-pastoral production and on-farm livelihood diversification such as date palm cultivation, forage production or fruit trees and agro-forestry. Also, it is worth mentioning that, although very nascent in Djibouti, the practice of shade gardening based on date palm production is not a new practice in the country because the Yemeni populations brought this ancient tradition to Djibouti centuries ago and there have been some shade gardens developed already, notably an example in the Tadjourah area which proved very successful. The project will therefore build on these existing initiatives and will expand them further by reviving and enhancing the traditional practice and knowledge of agro-pastoral shade-gardening.

80. The most vulnerable pastoral and agricultural groups targeted by the project are prepared to move out of their traditional activities because a significant portion of them has already started to migrate towards the nearby urban centers or has settled along the National Road 1 that crosses the Bara region to work as daily workers, notably in the quarrying sector. The project is positively perceived by these populations on account of the environmental, social and economic benefits it will offer them to maintain a rural lifestyle. Amongst those benefits is the prospect of living a dignified life on their land and to have access to a decent job, food and income conditions in a way that can meet their basic human development needs and reduce their environmental and economic vulnerability. Furthermore, date-palm cultivation that underpins the shade-garden approach is not a new practice in Djibouti, and the Bara populations are aware of other successful projects (such as in Tadjourah) and the advantages that can be expected from this kind of agricultural system. Local interest, buy in and motivation to engage in this alternative practice is therefore very strong, as confirmed by the stakeholder consultation process undertaken for the project.

81. In terms of economic benefits, the development of 1 ha agro-pastoral shade gardens shall produce sufficient revenues per family after the first 3 months with the cultivation of melons, onions, gumbo, peppers and other fast-growing fruit and vegetables year-round as indicated in Figure 2. For instance, significant profits can be made by selling onions during the winter and melons during the summer. With the production of 2 tons/year of onions and 4 tons/year of melon per shade garden, profits can total approximately 5,300 USD/year. After six months to a year, the introduction of cows to the shade gardens has the potential to provide up to 3,500 USD/year in milk sales. Subsequently, after a few years of date tree cultivation, as much as 1.3 tons/year of dates can be produced per shade garden. Dates sales in the local market have the potential to generate revenues approaching USD 3,500/year¹¹. Furthermore, the demand for quality dates is high in Djibouti (the few tests conducted so far have shown a great selling success¹²), yet have only been able to be satisfied by imports thus far.

82. Other socio-economic benefits will come from multipurpose trees and plants which can be used to reinforce fencing, block winds, provide shade to zero grazing livestock, and provide a source of firewood. Women and children will be less burdened with fetching water or wood due to the close proximity of the new water points and trees. The resulting gain in time will empower women and give them more time to establish sustainable livelihoods.

83. In terms of benefits from MF, the social net programme will help organize the community and instil confidence and support to those in groups. The MF products will enable the beneficiaries to become

11 It is estimated that a well-managed shade garden can produce an average of 50 kg of dates per date tree, 2,000 kg of onions and 4,000 kg of melon per year. The project plans 228 shade gardens with 25 date palm trees each. Date production per shade garden is 50 kg * 25 trees = 1.3 tons/yr. The average price of dates in Djibouti is estimated at a minimum of 500 DJF/kg, which results in an annual income reaching 3,500 USD per shade garden per year. Onions and melons are sold in the Djibouti market for 70 DJF/kg and 0.5 DJF/kg respectively.

12 Since 2005, CERD has developed first shade gardens nearby the research station in collaboration with local farmers. The first harvests have been successfully sold in the streets of Djibouti within a few hours which shows that the local demand for such commodity is high. Consumption of dates, through import from the Arabic Peninsula, is also widespread in Djibouti.

financially literate and to facilitate the diversification of their livelihoods through its 3-tiered scheme (see Section A). Such products will also be created to support activities which prevent degradation of the environment and which will promote the sustainable use of resources over the long-term. The loans will be offered solely on the condition of usage for activities which enable adaptation to climate change.

84. The Government of Djibouti understands this project to be a unique opportunity for ensuring climate-resilient development pathways in rural areas. Therefore the project will also work at a more systemic level, developing and testing new approaches that will break the desertification/poverty increase trend currently occurring in most Djibouti rural areas towards pro-active reinforcement of resilience and capital accumulation. By setting the base for a large scale replication in other rural regions, the AF project will provide social, economic and environment benefits to a large number of rural communities.

85. This project will ensure that the most vulnerable pastoralists are trained so that they can become independent and self-supporting through i) the creation of community water management committees, ii) the training of lead farmers capable of sharing best agro-pastoral practices and iii) the creation of microfinance cooperatives. By instilling social support systems, the most vulnerable will have a secure means to develop their shade gardens. At the same time, the provision of easy-access MF products to cooperatives will provide significant economic incentives for the vulnerable populations. Furthermore, the provision of loans for adaptation activities will ensure that this project provides environmental benefits by assisting the rural populations in developing shade gardens that will not deplete natural resources and contribute to maladaptation.

86. Currently, the most vulnerable beneficiaries are currently receiving relief aid from the Djiboutian government through the Ministry of the Interior. Food assistance is planned throughout the development stages of this project until crops are first cultivated. During the community level consultations in the lead up to the project, communities discussed the likely need to rely on safety net measures such as the relief aid during the period of design and production of the gardens. This was not considered an issue given the long-term benefits of self-reliance that the AF finance would provide. Also, they are well-informed of successful agro-pastoral initiatives in the Mouloud and Atar regions (see project Consultations in Section H and Annex C) which have made pastoralists in the same situation become more resilient and productive. As a result of these two factors, there is little if any risk of the existing communities within Petit and Grand Bara to not buy into the project. In fact, they acknowledge they have no other viable options for a sustainable livelihood because unemployment in the city is high, government aid is intermittent and the severe shortage of water and its detrimental impact on livestock has already led them to lead sedentary lifestyles.

87. The agro-pastoral projects in Mouloud and Atar have already demonstrated positive results in terms of an increase in productivity in current climatic conditions in spite of the fact that these initiatives were not focused on long-term climate resilience. However, these pilot projects have lacked financial support and policy mechanisms for large-scale replication. In contrast, this project builds off the success of the productive agro-pastoral initiatives and facilitates the proliferation of the shade garden concept where sustainability and scaling up the concept are emphasized.

88. Sustainability and scaling up of this project are made possible as follows: Through capacity development within CERD and the Ministry of Water, Component 1 of this project will transfer sustainable water resource planning and design knowledge to future water projects (e.g., artificial recharge, subsurface dams). With proper design of water resources, subsequent agro-pastoral projects will have the opportunity to irrigate more hectares of land to increase the rural population's resilience to climate change.

89. Component 2 of this project will also contribute valuable skills to planned agro-pastoral projects such as proper date tree cultivation and crop rotation techniques. This Component will also demonstrate the best means to diversify income-generating crops year-round. Furthermore, the 6 sites for shade garden development will be strategically located so that enough families are in the same region to support one another while the sites will be separated geographically to facilitate the future proliferation of shade gardening within the vicinity of existing plots. With this shade garden design and by securing water resources under Component 1 and planning for future water demands, this project will provide the framework for shade gardening development in Petit Bara and Grand Bara.

90. Component 3 of the project will set a precedent on how microfinance can succeed in supporting sustainable, adaptation-oriented agro-pastoral activities for other projects. Through the MF products that will be developed with AF funds, flexible payment rules will be created and other conditions to stimulate adaptation. The workshops planned under Component 3 of this project will be used to develop capacity within ADDS and CPEC so that they can effectively organize and train the rural populations through their 3-tiered MF product strategy. Furthermore, the safeguards placed on the loans will ensure money is provided for adaptation-oriented activities by first defining required standards for loans and subsequently through monitoring and evaluation. By pre-defining loan structures and requirements through this pilot project and creating community-driven adaptation plans, the National Programme for Food Security can absorb the MF credit structure and products so that they can continue to be used for other agro-pastoralist projects.

91. It should be noted that there is little risk that the rural population will be unable to understand or unwilling to use the microcredit schemes. ADDS has a long history of providing micro-loans and has experience in providing flexible loans to rural farmers. As evidenced by their illustrative farming training guides in Annex D, they have proven capability in effectively relaying profitable farming knowledge to illiterate rural populations. Furthermore, due to the presence of a regional CPEC office in Ali Sabieh, the Petit and Grand Bara populations are aware there is an existing Djiboutian micro-credit loan system. However, these populations have had no financial means to take out loans. The purpose of this project will be to tailor novel MF products so that the most vulnerable will have access to safety net loans. Rural populations unable to travel to the regional office will be assisted by the mobile banking system to be implemented.

92. Furthermore, due to the efficacy of community consultations, beneficiaries are well aware of the development period required for water mobilization and initial land preparation and the success of the agro-pastoral initiatives in the Mouloud and Atar regions. As a result of these two factors, there is little if any risk of the existing communities within Petit and Grand Bara to not buy into the project. In fact, they acknowledge they have no other viable options for a sustainable livelihood because unemployment in the

city is high, government aid is intermittent and the severe shortage of water makes pastoralism no longer viable.

93. Funding is ensured for Components 1 and 2 under the National Programme for Food Security which guarantees 10% government funding as a prerequisite for Djibouti to be involved with the Detailed Programme for the Development of African Agriculture (PDDAA). For Component 3, the Government has opened credit lines for ADDS to provide microfinance services for agriculture due to the current drought crisis in rural regions. The National Microfinance Policy 2012-2016 also stipulates that government funding is available to ADDS for future credit lines to be used for agriculture-related projects. In addition, the Policy establishes funds for guarantees and risk insurance and a financial mechanism to support the most vulnerable populations in rural regions.

94. Component 3 of this project has a great potential to be self-sustaining because after cooperatives are formed and crops are first cultivated, financial support and scaling up of the MF scheme will naturally occur with the addition of beneficiaries to loan portfolios. For example, as the loans are recycled it is conceivable that outside beneficiaries take advantage of some part of the value chain with shade garden products such as the transformation of goat milk into cheese. As such, although these loans will be provided for the restricted use of sustainable activities, the breadth of activities associated with agricultural and livestock products can extend well beyond the beneficiaries actually cultivating. This is in fact the reason why ADDS sees that pastoralists can be beneficiaries to their products as well. The goal of ADDS and this project are aligned in adding beneficiaries to the project; ADDS inherently wants more clients with IGAs added to their portfolios while this project wants more beneficiaries to have access to not only the water component of this project but also to the products of shade gardens for the diversification of their livelihoods.

95. Using the loan pyramid structure, community feedback on loan provisions, and integrating the adaptation measures into the national policies will mitigate risks associated with the sustainability and scaling up of Component 3. The progressive loan structure will serve to provide loans to the most vulnerable while enabling them to become more profitable as they become more experienced with shade gardening. Up until this point, the vulnerable populations could not take out loans due to their lack of financial means or were considered too high risk to repay loans. Through this project, with the loan structure and the formation of cooperatives, Component 3 of this project will increase the resilience of the beneficiaries through safety net structures. In effect, profitable shade gardeners that can sustain themselves in times of climate shock will ensure that the loans are recycled and the MF products are sustained throughout the life of the project and for future shade gardening projects. The focus on profitability in Component 3 of this project will thereby guarantee more long-term uses of the loans for other beneficiaries and will give more confidence in the loan and shade gardening practices for others to use and replicate. Furthermore, regular lessons learned and recommendations from the community will be integrated into the products on how to improve the facilitation of adaptation activities so that they assist rather than hinder shade garden profitability (Activities 3.3.2. and 3.3.4). These activities will minimize the risk that the products lack practicality and utility for shade gardening practices throughout Djibouti. Finally, the National Programme for Food Security which will integrate the adaptation plans is financially secured due to recent national budget allocations for agriculture; in April 2012, the government of Djibouti signed the CAADP (Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Program) agreement and

thus the government is committed to allocate 10% of the National Annual Budget to agricultural development. Considering the National Budget of 2011 as a baseline, this 10% target is equivalent to 42 Million USD per year. Projects that will be funded are those defined within the National Programme for Food Security which fully integrates the development of new shade gardens. By formalizing the adaptation plans into secured national policies, scaling up of Component 3 of this project will be much more feasible on a large scale.

C. Analysis of the cost-effectiveness of the project

96. Cost effectiveness is firstly assessed by comparing the shade garden concept with other possible climate change adaptation solutions. In the rural regions targeted by this initiative, no alternative livelihood options (such as industry or mining) exist at the moment. Tourism demand is scarce. It could be developed at a national scale, including some tours in the semi-desert with nomadic populations, but this would be a national effort and requires heavier investment including promotion. In addition, it is not a climate change resilient strategy as many studies demonstrate that increasing temperatures will impact tourism flows from Europe, and decrease the attractiveness of warmer places. For those rural populations, when conditions for living become too harsh, the usual adaptation option is migration to Djibouti-Ville in order to benefit from the current economic growth of the port and related services. However, the unemployment rate in Djibouti is high (around 60%). For the rural poor, many of them illiterate, no long-term opportunities are apparent. Furthermore, strong demographic growth also calls for alternative solutions in rural regions, as well as an urgent need to improve the country's food security.

97. In rural areas, the population is therefore more or less constrained to primary sector activities. The adaptation alternative supported by the proposed project is therefore seen as a much more cost/effective strategy than migration and resettlement of pastoralists in urban and peri-urban areas. Such alternative solutions would require high level of investments in the development of urban infrastructures and services, especially water supply, whose additional costs are clearly beyond the financing capacity of an LDC country like Djibouti. Similarly, relying almost exclusively on food aid and international assistance programmes to address the impacts of droughts and water scarcity on food production is unlikely to be a cost/effective solution in the near term, given that the extreme drought events underwritten by such international/national solidarity mechanisms are likely to become regular risks under a climate change regime. The most cost effective way to improve the living conditions, food security and climate resilience of the traditional pastoralist communities lies therefore in the enhancement of the arid ecosystem production potential in terms of quantity and value of agricultural products as well as in the diversification of employment and income opportunities for rural dwellers at risk by means of spreading economic incentives for them to remain on their land while accumulating the necessary capital to better cope and recover from more frequent drought. As described above, the restoration/development of oasis-type shade gardens will provide micro-climatic conditions that will enable the optimization of productivity of both soil and water resources in a way that is more resilient to further aridification than the current pastoral practices which rely only on precipitation and are therefore highly sensitive to the vagaries of climate. In combination with improved groundwater use and recharge practice these multi-purpose agro-pastoral systems will provide the most viable and cost-effective solutions for many rural residents to survive the food insecurity threats posed by climate change.

98. In order to ensure that this project is comprised of the most cost-effective options for each specific component and their respective activities, a number of alternative options were assessed during the development of the full proposal. Relative to component one, although costly, the comprehensive hydro-geological and pedological technical studies to be conducted in the Petit and Grand Bara watersheds will ensure the choice of appropriate locations for water sources with the view of climate change impacts. In fact, an essential output of these studies will be models which predict future climate change conditions. Investment in long-lived infrastructure such as dams or irrigation networks must consider the effect of future climate conditions because these impacts will most likely become increasingly relevant over the planned useful life of such infrastructure¹³.

99. With sustainable locations for securing water, different technical options were considered to secure water resources; integrated watershed development was used as the project concept in securing water resources cost-effectively and sustainably. As such, the goal of water resource planning is to achieve higher sustainable production with a number of different options to promote optimal water capture and storage with a combination of surface and subsurface mechanisms. Surface water capture and storage upstream of dams after rain events is the simplest option in Djibouti. Due to the force of runoff during rain periods attributed to the steep surrounding topography, a concrete dam was considered in project conception; however, the cost was approximated to be USD 23.5 million, i.e., more than a hundred times the price of an earth dam. Furthermore, construction materials for concrete dams like reinforced concrete structures, rolled compacted concretes, still structures etc, require sophisticated machineries, international expertise and high capital investment for their design and construction including operation and maintenance.

100. In contrast, earth dams are relatively low-cost with straight-forward designs and required maintenance. To prevent failure of earth dams, small earth barriers will be placed upstream of the large earth dams to reduce runoff forces during flooding, dissipate energy and prevent damage to earth dams from the boulders and large rocks which are transported with the high flows. During detailed design, engineers will weigh the option of using gabion or a relatively inexpensive, wire lattice to reinforce the dam. A new component for a select few of the earth dams will be to have percolation basins upstream when permeable land exists (typically soils composed of fractured and weathered rock) so that surface water can recharge to the groundwater naturally. From field visits it was also apparent that vegetation grows along the perimeter of basins upstream of existing earth dams which provide shade, reduce evaporation and strengthen the soil with the fixation of plant roots. Earth dams with upstream basins (with or without percolation) are the most cost-effective option because the national engineers have experience with these engineering techniques, the designs require predominantly native materials, and local manpower can be used for construction, thereby creating employment in the affected region for numerous beneficiaries. Representatives from the Ministry of Water and the Ministry on the Environment will also have the role of monitoring the construction of the earth dams to ensure that the construction is robust and sustainable.

13 *Climate Change : Helping Poor Countries to Adapt*, Development Co-operation Report 2010, OECD 2010

101. Desalination of water near the coast and piping to the sites was also considered. However, due to the salinity content of the bay (approximately 35 ppt) and the inherent high water production costs (approximately 0.8 USD/kl or at least 3 times higher than conventional treatment plants considering the high energy costs in Djibouti), neither a multi-stage flash nor reverse osmosis membrane desalination plant will be cost-effective. In addition, the long pipe length necessary for water delivery is also not cost-effective (880,000 USD for 22 km of pipeline at 40 USD/m).

102. Solely surface storage systems are affected by increased evaporation (particularly in this region), increased salinity from evaporation and high turbidity from the entrainment of silty clay particles during water mixing. Implementing complementary groundwater recharge is preferred in this project because there are negligible evaporation losses, the water is not vulnerable to secondary contamination by animals or humans recharge offers seasonal and long-term storage, and recharge can reduce pumping costs by minimizing the required pumping head. The groundwater recharge options considered were recharge basins, vadose injection wells and deep well injection wells. Recharge basins are the most common minimal technology method. This option was rejected because the evaporation losses will be significant in this region. Moreover, this option by itself duplicates the percolation basin concept to be constructed upstream of select earth dams (discussed previously). Vadose injection wells were also considered, however, the vadose zone in this region is quickly depleted due to the high evaporation rate. This has been demonstrated by the numerous shallow wells that have been constructed in the region which at the time of full proposal development in winter 2011 were dry and not functioning. Although shallow wells are inexpensive (3,000 USD each), groundwater at this depth is neither a sustainable water resource nor a good source of recharge because even if water tables rise with the aquifer recharge options proposed, current water demands will also increase with increasing irrigation and projected population growth. As such, it is recommended to use deep well injection and re-convert an inefficient borehole in Aour Aoussa 2 for recharge. Although, there are pumping costs with this option, the solar powered boreholes have had much success in the region and the same pumping technology can be used to reverse the process at times of heavy rainfall. The clear benefit of this option is that water will be recharged to the deep aquifer which will ensure the sustainability of the groundwater resources. Also, as Djibouti relies predominantly on their groundwater supplies (9 boreholes currently exist in the project region), recharging this zone is crucial for the beneficiaries to have a long-term supply which will enable them to adapt to any subsequent droughts. Overall, deep well systems such as proposed in this project allow for the storage of excess surface water during periods of high surface water flow combined with the recovery of stored surface water during periods of drought.

103. A sub-surface dam option was also evaluated as a reliable option because sub-surface storage of water is appropriate under conditions of increasing evapotranspiration, thereby cost-effective in the medium to longer term. This option is also highly sustainable due to the short-lived nature of the seasonal wadi flows which remain on the surface (with the exception of the basins upstream of earth dams). Furthermore, multiple subsurface dams can be built in the various wadis because the cost per dam is relatively inexpensive (approximately 10,000 USD each). In order to ensure that subsurface dam construction is robust, representatives from the Ministry of Water and the Ministry on the Environment will monitor dam development activities.

104. Due to the success of solar-powered boreholes and the great experience of the Ministry of Water and Energy in their design and construction, boreholes will continue to be a viable option for groundwater supply. Boreholes are considered a secure water resource that can sustain the agro-pastoral systems and the surrounding communities who will also benefit from the development of water points. In fact, 2 boreholes will be dedicated to the nomad population.

105. For Component 2, reforestation was originally considered to maintain the pastoral lifestyle such as under the PROMES project. However, pastoral systems will not be viable in the context of future drought or flooding when livelihoods are not diversified. Also, this option becomes expensive when considering that fencing and security is required around the entire reforestation area, as evidenced by Kourtimalay where a reforestation project failed due to weak fencing materials and no guards on site. The cost to place fencing and security around the region would be USD 200,000 per 200 ha and would thereby be too expensive for such a large region. Nonetheless, this project recognizes that surrounding communities must also benefit from this project. Other than secured water resources, the low cost of complementary reforestation will greatly aid the surrounding pastoralists and improve the surrounding environment for the part-time pastoral activities of the shade garden owners. As this is a low-cost initiative which will increase the number of beneficiaries in the region, it has been included as a cost-effective activity under Component 2.

106. Two agro-pastoral zones were considered as an alternative to reduce the cost of required water retention and irrigation infrastructure. However, a dispersion of sites containing approximately 30 families (the approximate number of families in previous successful shade garden sites such as in Mouloud) will reduce soil degradation due to the prevention of livestock over-grazing in one area and is more likely to enable easier scaling up in the future when communities place shade gardens around the established sites and garner experience from the established agro-pastoralists. Also, with water resources spread throughout the region more surrounding families will also be able to benefit from this project.

107. Another alternative considered for Component 2 was to use basic, natural fencing materials such as trees. However, forbidden animal grazing in the gardens is a main cause of failure for current shade gardens. Only wire fencing or other strong materials is considered robust enough to protect the land from animal degradation with the addition of trees to block winds.

108. In terms of the irrigation schemes selected for Component 2, flood and surface irrigation application methods are considered the most manageable, provide flexibility on the type of traditional cropping pattern practiced, have a low energy requirement, and are not capital intensive. These techniques therefore remain affordable to communities and provide opportunity for regular leaching to prevent salinity - a common problem in this semi-arid region. Drip irrigation was also considered as an alternative because it is the more efficient irrigation technology in terms of water conservation. However, drip irrigation is more capital intensive and emitters are usually permanently spaced, which will not give flexibility on cropping patterns for the novice agro-pastoralists. In addition maintenance support for irrigation equipment, replacement parts, repair service, and skilled labour for system operation is minimal in the region at the moment. However, within the lifetime of this project, it can be reasonably assumed that the farmers will have accrued significant knowledge and expertise in growing crops as well as an asset-base. As such, this project supports the purchase of drip irrigation technologies through the MF

scheme developed. From field visits to the CERD agricultural plot sponsored by a joint Djiboutian/Moroccan initiative, drip irrigation has been proven to significantly decrease water usage and to boost production based on its more natural percolation rate in the project region. The budget for MF training includes teaching agro-pastoralists drip irrigation techniques so that water can be conserved in the project regions.

109. To facilitate adaptation-minded behaviour and promote climate-resilient agro-pastoral practices, specific microfinance loan products will be developed by ADDS under Component 3. An obvious alternative is to only maintain components 1 and 2 in the project, however as previously stated, the do-nothing approach makes it difficult for the agro-pastoralists to be guided into revenue-building activities which will help them diversify their lifestyles and become more risk averse to climate change.

110. An alternative to Component 3 is to allot the government AF funds to support subsidy and grant programmes for agro-pastoralists. Subsidies / grants are one-time donations that are classically managed by government institutions. By their nature, they are neither long-term nor sustainable since they must be replenished. Agricultural subsidies, in particular, can in fact contribute to mal-adaptation. Common examples in developing African countries include reducing the costs of inorganic fertilizers and using agricultural subsidies to improve the production of a staple crop.¹⁴ The former pollutes land and water resources when used in excessive quantities while the latter reduces the incentive for farmers to diversify their crops. ‘Smart subsidies’ are a more recent option which can include increasing the availability of more productive seeds. However, similar to all grants, smart subsidies are also subject to political implementation challenges.¹¹ In fact, receipt of any grant or subsidy by those in poverty is not ensured due to government failure. The lack of responsibility associated with access to government hand-outs is also detrimental to the success of sustainable shade gardens.

111. In contrast, AF funds will be used to help create an enabling environment, including skill sets and knowledge sharing through targeted training of MFIs who will then provide significant training to cooperatives. The MFI is already familiar with mobilizing experts to teach clients with specific skills such as product diversification and sustainable agriculture. It is also in the self-interest of the MFI to promote diversification amongst its investors to reduce competition among them and guarantee more client profitability. Furthermore, in the context of this project, it will be possible to implement conditions on the small loans so that the money is ear-marked for shade garden based agro-pastoral activities and clients are provided with the financial incentives to move away from climate change maladaptive and threatened pastoral activities. There is little risk that CPEC will resort to business as usual activities since the products will be new and designed specifically to support the shade garden practice. Finally, in order to facilitate the application of these MF products to other agro-pastoral projects, community-driven adaptation plans will be formalized so that they can be integrated into national plans and strategies in order to ensure the success of using MF effectively in promoting sustainable shade garden development and in diversifying livelihoods to increase the resilience of the rural population to climate change.

14 Dorward, A. *Rethinking Agricultural Input Subsidy Programmes in a Changing World*, Trade and Markets Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, April 2009.

112. Moreover, one should keep in mind that pastoralists have a business mind-set already engrained in their culture as they were good animal traders before the recent climate change driven drought disasters devastated their livestock. At least four times per year, pastoralists used to come to the capital (main market of the country) and sell their animals with a minimum of 60 USD \$ for an adult animal. The average number of animals sold by pastoralists at the market was around 10, providing significant revenue to the pastoral communities. They would also sell milk, butter and other pastoral products. Currently, they can do this only once a year or not at all since a large number of pastoralists have completely lost their livestock. Even if pastoralists have become extremely poor as a result of the recent prolonged drought period, they still have a good understanding of finance and trade and are very likely to ensure profitability of their activities as well as financial sustainability of the communal infrastructures and systems put in place with the assistance of MF.

113. Several intrinsic characteristics further provide strong justification for the costs-effectiveness of the proposed solution in general. The project will put an important focus on promotion of adaptation measures based on climate-compatible and environmental-friendly agricultural and pastoral practices that will maintain the resource base and ensure conservation, restoration, sustainable management and maximization of arid agro-ecosystems. Inducing a shift from reactive management of climate-change impacts towards preventive planning and development of arid soil, water and pastoral resources, through improved adaptation modelling and planning capacity and support tools, will significantly reduce the cost of dealing with climate change and its effects and will strengthen the sustainability of the management systems put in place. On a technological level, the project will promote cheap and simple local solutions/techniques, and avoids to the highest extent any dependence from outside inputs in order to ensure sustainability (for example the dependence on costly fossil fuels will be limited through the systematic investment into renewable energy water pumps).

114. Furthermore, given the level of revenues expected from agro-pastoral gardens for each farmer, the project is seen as highly cost-effective with regards to initial investment from AF resources. Based on the available literature regarding yield rates and economic return potential of date-palm production¹⁵, market produce agriculture and milk product production with shade-gardens in Djibouti¹⁶, the project shall directly yield between 1.2 and 1.8 million USD a year of income for the local populations taking into account the necessary growing time for fruit trees and the necessary gestation period for milking cows. Compared to the approximately 5 million USD investment over 5 years, this means that 1 million USD will be invested every year for 5 years while at least 1.2 million USD can be earned as annual income over the next 20 years during the potential, viable life of the agricultural plots.

115. Based on the productivity of shade gardens in Djibouti, one can reasonably expect a revenue generation between 100 USD to 300 USD per month for the seasonal market products of each shade

15 G. Peyron, *Cultiver le palmier-dattier*, La librairie du CIRAD, France

A. Daher, *Détermination du sexe chez le palmier dattier : approches histo-cytologiques et moléculaires*, Ph.D Thesis, University of Montpellier 2, December 2010

16 A. A. Sougal, *Les pépinières ombragées en Djibouti (Shade gardens in Djibouti)*, Report for UNDP November 2011.

garden (such as onions during the winter and melon or gumbo during the summer). Date sales can provide an additional 300 USD per month (over an average of 7 months). Revenues can increase with productive cows which can provide between 4 and 11 litres of extra milk per day leading to additional income of approximately 350 USD per month during lactation periods (typically 6 months). Based on the price data and experience available from other projects in Djibouti, each household would pay 3 dollars per month (which is still an affordable contribution for the households as compared to their anticipated monthly revenue flow) and the collected money will serve to maintain the electro-mechanical equipment as well as contribute to the sustainability of the project. This kind of cost recovery mechanism has been used already in several locations in Djibouti, such as the village of Kalaf, whereby a community-based common account (community fund) was created to cover all the costs of the maintenance of a solar-powered water pumping system. The community organization leader is the chief of the tribal group installed in this village and the community fund is considered well-managed and used transparently and efficiently. This experience has been showcased as a good practice on several occasions in Djibouti and will be supported in the context of the proposed project with the assistance of the technical experts in the field.

116. Minimal maintenance and other costs will be paid with the community funds and with MF loans (based on the three-tiered MF product to be created in this project). With both sources, the rural population will have the means to sustain themselves with these cost recovery mechanisms. With either the safety net programme, nano-finance or microfinance loans, the local populations will be able to recycle their capital with loans for resilience-building activities. For example, if the irrigation system needs minor repairs, the cooperatives will have access to MF loans because these repairs can be categorized as a sustainable activity which enables the farmers to continue to adapt to climate change. Moreover, to facilitate good usage of community funds and minimize required MF loan amounts, technical experts in the field will train the communities on ‘self-help’ repair methodologies such as basic repair of irrigation canals or cleaning of the solar panels.

117. With large-scale water infrastructure, there will be some costs required at the later stages and beyond the project life. The proposed infrastructure consists of earth dams, percolation basins, subsurface dams, a re-injection well and boreholes. For additional investment required to maintain and sustain infrastructures (like major repair of the percolation basins or dredging upstream of the earth dam), it will be the responsibility (mandate) of the Ministry of Water and Energy to support the communities both financially and technically to maintain and make it operational. This is the current practice and is expected to continue in the future.

118. Programme implementation was also evaluated to determine the most cost-effective institutional arrangement. Implementation will be almost exclusively undertaken by existing Government structures. This approach is believed to be particularly cost-effective, as it reduces the need for higher costs that would need to be spent on consultant-driven implementation, and it builds the capacity of the government system for ongoing and more widespread implementation of similar climate-sensitive development. The size of the programme management unit (PMU) has been carefully considered, in order to keep costs down while still ensuring effective management of the programme. It is likely that staff for the PMU will be largely selected from existing government staff, thus ensuring that governmental capacity is sufficiently developed through the course of the programme. Alternative implementation arrangements were considered; including having an international Programme Manager in the design, but this

implementation option was not further elaborated as it carries higher short-term costs and will generate less long-term sustainability.

119. The cost effectiveness of these programme components is further elucidated and summarised in Table 3 below:

Programme Component	Programme Cost (USD)	Number of Beneficiaries	Losses Averted/ Benefits Generated	Alternatives to Programme Approach and Cost (USD)
Output 1.1 Rainfall-runoff and groundwater models developed and institutionalized within the Study and Research Center of Djibouti (Centre d'Etudes et de la Recherche de Djibouti, CERD) and the Water Department of the Ministry of Agriculture to project likely climate change impacts on the water availability in the areas of Petit Bara and Grand Bara	139,000	30,000	Comprehensive capacity calculations will prevent water shortages with future growth and good water management for potable and irrigation uses. Adverse impacts on downstream water users will be avoided based on the EIA. Good water quality will be ensured for the baseline case and will provide a means for comparison with future scenarios due to climate change and population growth.	Alternative 1: If nothing is done (Cost = 0 USD), it is quite likely that poor water source locations will be chosen in terms of insufficient capacity and/or poor water quality. Also, by not completing an Environmental Impact Assessment for large water diversions such as with the earth dams proposed, adverse impacts on downstream users are likely. Finally, if water quality samples are not taken, a baseline of water quality in the regions cannot be established.
Output 1.2. Based on model outputs, controlled groundwater extraction, artificial recharge and climate “smart” management plans that take into account seasonal changes in precipitation as well as long term mean amounts, projections, developed, and benefiting 30,000 people.	922,000	30,000	Properly sized groundwater pumps and borehole depths will prevent redundant borehole development and the risk that demand will be greater than supply. Re-injection into 1 well with solar-powered pumps will enable storage of water during rain periods which aids not only in preventing evaporation, but also prevents surface contamination of the water and the increase of long-term storage. Currently, only 5% of Djibouti's water is recharged and this project will set a precedent with this technology's utility.	Alternative 1: Build shallow wells at the cost of 3000 USD each. However, droughts have made the shallow wells unproductive and useless. Alternative 2: Vadose injection wells (10,000 USD each) are likely to dry up due to their shallow depth. Alternative 3: Recharge basins (15,000 USD each) although inexpensive, are not viable options due to their high evaporation rates
Output 1.3. Community-based surface water harvesting infrastructures, such as earth dams, percolation basins and subsurface dams which increase surface supply and groundwater tables in support of shade-garden pilot schemes (see 2.1) introduced and related local	743,000	30,000	Use of existing design knowledge including knowledge from previous bad designs will promote best design. The earth and subsurface dams require local materials (stones and clay) and the manpower can be provided by the local community. Also, by using subsurface dams, the water table in the vicinity will rise thereby providing more sustainable boreholes in terms of	Alternative 1: A concrete dam was approximated to be 23.5 M USD. The high cost, local inexperience with the design and non-native materials make this an infeasible option. Alternative 2: Desalination of water near the coast (approximately 0.8 USD/kl) and piping (88,000 USD) to the sites was also considered but this

management rules and structures established.			better long-term capacity.	option was deemed very expensive.
Output 1.4. Good practice guidelines based on knowledge sharing for integrated groundwater and surface water maintenance and use developed through stakeholder-led and participatory processes including community water management teams, government water regulators, Ministry's technical staff, and agriculture extension services.	106,000	30,000	If the wells are placed in agreed locations, the community is more likely to take responsibility for its maintenance. Also, by consulting women who typically fetch the water, the project will ensure that the distance is feasible for all women in the community, thereby providing women's empowerment to focus on other tasks during the day.	Alternative 1: Do not consider community in the design process (cost is 0 USD) and for maintenance. Previous pilot projects have wells now under capacity due to the unforeseen population growth. Some boreholes are not functioning due to the high cost of diesel fuel and lack of knowledge on proper management practices.
Output 2.1. Six sets of 38 pilot community-managed agro-pastoral shade garden plots (1 ha per family) established that includes date palms, multi-purpose fence trees, local and regional varieties of climate resilient forage, vegetables and fruits (henna, dates, jujube, and mango) benefiting 228 agro-pastoral families - approximately 2,800 people.	1,146,000	2,800 and up to 30,000	A diversified lifestyle is possible with this concept which will generate much more revenue per family (350 USD / month). Although sturdy fencing is more expensive, it will prevent the failure of the shade garden sites where fencing costs 15% of the investment in a shade garden. Supplementary reforestation is a low cost option that will aid the surrounding environment and the surrounding pastoralists. Drip irrigation saves considerable water. It will be promoted with MF during the final years of the project when farmers gain experience.	Alternative 1: Reforestation (12,000 USD), however, pastoral systems will not be viable in the context of future drought or flooding when livelihoods are not diversified. Also, this option becomes expensive when considering that fencing and security is required around the entire reforestation area. Alternative 2: Use two zones of sites (0 USD additional cost), one in Grand Bara and one in Petit Bara. However, a dispersion of sites containing approximately 38 families each (the approximate number for previous successful shade gardens) will reduce soil degradation due to livestock grazing in one area and is more likely to enable easier scaling up in the future when communities place shade gardens around the established sites. Alternative 3: Use basic, natural fencing materials with local manpower (0 USD), however, a main cause of failure for previous shade gardens has

				been primarily due to forbidden animal grazing in the gardens.
Output 2.2. Improved extension service for shade gardening benefiting 228 agro-pastoral families - approximately 2,800 people (targeted training for extension service personnel and agro-pastoralists designed and delivered on the issues grazing, forage management, cultivation techniques, crop protection, water efficiency, composting methods, etc, in the context of increasing climate change pressures).	170,000	2,800	Proper training throughout the first 6 months of the project before the livestock are provided will ensure that the farmers learn the ways of farming. They will also have the time to see the first cultivation after the first 3 months. With the help of specialized technicians, their gardens will be monitored daily in order to see immediate progress. Herders will then be trained on sustainable livestock practices once the livestock are introduced. Training will also include extension services such as sustainable production techniques and good hygiene.	Alternative 1: No training (Cost is 0 USD). However, farming inexperience and lack of mentorship in Kourtimaley has demonstrated a low profitability with the shade garden concept.
Output 2.3. Well-sized feed/forage stocking facilities created in both project locations to allow better management of forage availability over repeated drought periods.	182,000	2,800	Storage will enable the animals and families to be fed in wintertime and during periods of drought. Also, as milk production increases, it will be possible to refrigerate the milk products for personal use or sale in the village with sufficient supply.	Alternative 1: Existing case is having no storage (0 USD). Farmers will not be able to protect their products from animals. Farmers will not have the ability to save food for their families and livestock past one season. Farmers will not have a selling point nor an administration building for town meetings. They will also have no storage for animal feed and fertilizers (input), a place to mount solar panels or a centralized place to have electricity for reading.
Output 3.1. A three-tiered adaptation-oriented micro-finance scheme that supports climate-resilient shade gardening practices in the Grand and Petit Bara plains developed through partnership with the Djiboutian Agency for Social Development (Agence Djiboutienne de Developpement Sociale, ADDS) which generates a total value of	219,800	300, potentially up to 600	Creation of a specific microfinance scheme which will enable the development of a product earmarked towards adaptation to climate change focusing initially on those in poverty with no initial resources and later on those with seasonal harvest profits.	Alternative 1: Grants and subsidies (Additional cost 0 USD) can be offered by the government; however the risks of government mis-management or use of funds for unsustainable activities which contribute to mal-adaptation are too high. Alternative 2: 'Smart subsidies' (Additional cost 0 USD) for sustainable uses still have been subject to political implementation challenges.

US\$ 300,000 throughout the project				
Output 3.2. At least 300 agro-pastoralists have been organised to form agro-pastoral cooperatives (including women cooperatives) to facilitate training on climate-resilient agro-pastoral practices and to support the development of financial literacy and the diversification of agricultural activities	171,000	300, potentially up to 600	Loan products will be developed that facilitate adaptation-minded behavior and flexible payment plans. Cooperatives will be emphasized to instill group responsibility and to facilitate training. Training by the MFI through technical guides will promote financial literacy and climate resilient agro-pastoral practices that diversify livelihoods.	Alternative 1: Offering classical MF (Additional cost 0 USD) rather than the 3 tiered strategy will not include the rural population at poverty level who do not have assets or farming skills to repay their loans. Also, as they are new to financial concepts, individual loans will not provide a necessary safety net to enable group training. Furthermore, if products are not developed with strict conditions to promote adaptation, the loans can be used for mal-adaptation practices.
Output 3.3. At least 4 established agro-pastoral cooperatives develop comprehensive climate adaptation plans incorporating lessons learned on best practices for shade gardens to be integrated into National Programme for Food Security and the National Microfinance Policy in order to facilitate the replication of shade-garden-based adaptation solutions	87,000	300, potentially up to 30,00	Adaptation plans will be developed to recommend the best measures to improve the adaptation-oriented MF products in accordance with community views. Workshops will provide agro-pastoralists with a means to effectively guide rural populations toward climate-resilient shade gardening practices. Identified priority measures will be formalized into the National Programme for Food Security and the National MF Policy so that the MF products can be replicated in future agro-pastoral projects.	Alternative 1: Existing case is not developing adaptation plans (0 USD) which will not provide a means to integrate the MF products into subsequent agro-pastoral projects by formalizing them in national plans and strategies. Alternative 2: Eliminating the feedback of agro-pastoralists and having ADDS draft the plans is a cheaper option in the short-term (25,000 USD). However, without integration of the recommendations from experienced agro-pastoralists, there will be a much greater chance that the MF products cannot be successfully used in future agro-pastoral projects. The net loss equivalent to the cost of the third component will be much greater than the relatively small investment required to include the communities' priorities.

D. Consistence with national or sub-national sustainable development strategies

120. The project idea has emerged as the logical continuation of the cumulated recommendations made in the Initial National Communication to UNFCCC, the Climate change vulnerability study funded by the GEF, the Proposal for funding for the preparation of a National Adaptation Programme of Action and the NAPA itself, as well as the more recent studies implemented within the framework of the Great Green Wall initiative. The AF project is fully in line with the National Adaptation Programme of Action (NAPA) document, which is based on a large consultative process on all levels from governmental authorities to vulnerable communities, including priority stakeholders and the most vulnerable segments of the population. Among other activities, the NAPA formally recommends capacity building activities for agro-pastoralists, the dissemination of performing forage species, the strengthening of cooperative organizations, the introduction of clean water pumping technologies and the protection of agricultural zones from erosion and floods. Activities in this project respond to multiple priorities expressed by vulnerable populations in the NAPA, including the implementation of better water mobilization and management practices, improved forage production and ecosystem regeneration, the development of integrated, oasis-type agro-pastoral production systems, and the structuring of local initiatives within cooperatives and community organizations with access to appropriate capacity building possibilities and financial tools.

121. This region is one of the top priority areas identified in Djibouti's NAPA. NAPA studies were based on a climate change vulnerability assessment and those regions with highly vulnerable people or vulnerable ecosystems were prioritized over others, including urban, rural and coastal regions. The Bara region has been categorized amongst those areas that deserve urgent and immediate adaptation interventions on account of the severity of impacts from climate change-driven drought on local steppe ecosystems and pastoral livelihoods, on the risks associated with food insecurity, including malnourishment and starvation, and massive rural out-migration as well as in light of the agronomic potential of the two plains of Petit and Grand Bara for the development of climate resilient shade garden systems. NAPA and other studies highlighted that the project region has an important concentration of pastoralists and highlighted the fact that the pasture is completely damaged by climate change-induced heat waves and drought. Further, NAPA identified the development of integrated agriculture and pastoral activities as a viable strategy for adaptation to climate change.

122. Some of the top priority interventions and areas flagged in the NAPA have already received funding support such as for example, NAPA's priority number 1 which was to reduce impacts and vulnerability of coastal productive systems. The implementation of this priority has been funded by the LDCF fund. Similarly, within the PROMES-GDT project, a set of activities on surface water mobilization will be undertaken and this completely falls within priorities 2 and 3 of the NAPA. The policy of the government is to implement projects identified in NAPA gradually as soon as funds become available. The development of agro-pastoral shade gardens is one of the next priority projects for which international assistance is sought. Funds are needed to address the long-term and crucial development needs of the pastoral communities which make up about 80 % of the rural population of the country and because financial resources will respond to a national development policy already in place that calls for the

acceleration and scaling up of shade gardening agricultural practices as a long term adaptation strategy for pastoral groups who are most at risk from chronic drought and water scarcity.

123. The fight against desertification and agricultural development are key elements of Djibouti's government development strategy. This is illustrated by previous attempts to diversify the rural economy towards agricultural production, by the development of the Centre of studies and Research of Djibouti (Centre d'étude et de recherche de Djibouti – CERD) date palm reproduction laboratory (with the objective to propose drought and salt tolerant plants and develop shade gardens in Djibouti), as well as by the Great Green Wall Initiative (GGWI) in which Djibouti is strongly involved. The GGWI is a pan-African initiative spearheaded by Heads of State of eleven African countries along the southern border of the Sahara desert and aimed to employ a mosaic of approaches to combat desertification, soil degradation and limit Sahara desert expansion over a 15 km wide and 7,775 km long ecological buffer zone stretching from Senegal in the west to Djibouti in the east. This collaborative action will aim to ensure the planting, natural regeneration and integrated development of economically interesting drought-tolerant plant species, water retention ponds, agricultural production systems and other income-generating activities, as well as basic social infrastructures with a view to achieving protection of natural resources, rural development and poverty alleviation. The GGWI is expected to lead to the sustainable management of land, water and vegetation on up to 2 million hectares of croplands, rangelands, and dry land forest ecosystems per country, protection of threatened arid biodiversity, and the sequestering of 0.5 to 3.1 million tons of carbon per year. The project is receiving increasing support from the international community and has been endorsed by the participating countries and potential bilateral and multilateral donors and international financial institutions in a Ministerial Meeting held in Bonn in February 2011 and co-hosted by the UNCCD and the GEF. The Global Environment Facility expressed interest in supporting the GGWI with what could be up to US\$115 million. Other development partners also made pledges in support of the proposed investments which could ultimately reach US\$3 billion.

124. In this context, Djibouti has conducted detailed studies to identify the most suitable areas to implement the green wall actions, identifying the constraints, risks and key elements of tree plantations and promoting agro-pastoral development. Recently, a National Green Wall Implementation Strategy has been released, setting out the main strategic and operational ingredients of the Djibouti's GGW component. This strategy formally recognizes and retains the development of date palm-based shade gardens as an environmentally and economically-sound approach to overcoming the barriers to agro-pastoral development and achieve the green wall objectives. As such, Petit Bara and Grand Bara have been selected as one of the 5 landscape units retained for the implementation of the GGWI in Djibouti. The proposed project is closely aligned with this national and supra national initiative in that it will pursue the similar objectives of (i) conserving, restoring and enhancing biodiversity and soils, (ii) diversifying production systems, (iii) meeting basic food needs and increase revenues through the promotion of income generating activities (iv) improving / installing basic social infrastructures, in particular in the area of rural water delivery services.

125. Given the limited water availability, the development of more efficient agro-pastoral systems, is a key national priority for the government, as illustrated by many national development programmes and plans that have underlined the necessity of integrated rural development initiatives based on improved water management and agro-pastoral development. As such, the 2003 Strategy to fight poverty aims to

stop rural decline in the country through the rationalization of the exploitation of natural resources and the transformation of the agricultural system to offer a decent exit strategy to drought-prone pastoral communities while improving agricultural yields and food production. The National Programme of Action for the Environment (PANE) and National Plan to Combat Desertification (PAN) also underline the urgent need to protect the environment and better manage soil, water and pastoral resources. The PANE and PAN three-year Action Plans started in 2006 from the Ministry of Agriculture underscore the challenges posed by drought and water scarcity of pastoral communities and the need to counteract current trends of ecosystem overexploitation in the most fragile arid regions through appropriate investments technological and non-technological solutions. All the actions proposed in this plan enter the economic development law 2001-2010 and the Poverty reduction strategy. The proposed project is deeply embedded in the priorities and objectives pursued by these plans which both support the fight against drought and desertification through community-based water harvesting and management schemes, protective soil and water conservation measures, integration of crop and animal husbandry and agro-forestry using drought and salt tolerant varieties such as date palms with the overall aim to build more favourable microclimatic and ecological conditions for the development of alternative and productive agro-pastoral livelihood systems.

126. The project is also fully consistent with CAADP (the Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Programme) – to which Djibouti adheres – that calls for urgent action in areas related to:

- Investment in water and land management;
- Investment in rural infrastructures, in particular roads and food products storage facilities;
- Direct incentives for agricultural production and productivity, and implementation of safety nets for most vulnerable populations;
- Support to science and technology development for long-term productivity.

127. The Framework Law for Environment of the Republic of Djibouti is currently being updated. The natural resources chapter does insist on the protection of soil and sub-soil resources as well as animal and plant resources preservation.

128. Also, the proposed AF project perfectly resonates with a number of additional national development frameworks, such as the poverty reduction strategy, the economic development law 2001-2010 as well as the Strategic document to fight against poverty of the country. These policies set out priorities for the primary sector that consists in the growth of agricultural outputs and increase in the revenues of farmer communities up to an annual minimum of 2 million FD (USD 11,000) from the exploitation of an average 1 ha of land. The agricultural systems developed by the project, especially the production of fruit and milk products will enable revenue generations for agro-pastoralists that fully satisfy the above targets¹⁷. The project will therefore constitute a key instrument in support of the national poverty reduction policy. Furthermore, this project is also in line with the National Initiative for Social Development (l'Initiative

17 1 ha plots will be planted with 25 date palm trees, yielding 1250 kg of dates per year, at a market price of 3,500 USD/year. Sales from melons and onions can be up to 5,000 USD/yr while sales from milk products can be up to 3,500 USD/year. This will be completed by the sales of other vegetable and fruit products as well as increased meat production, etc. which are also expected to generate additional revenue streams.

Nationale de Développement Sociale, INDS), 2008 – 2016, which has three strategic goals: fighting against i) social exclusion, ii) vulnerability and iii) regional inequalities.

129. More recently, Djibouti endorsed in 2009 a National Food Security Strategy, backed by the creation the same year of the National Food Security Agency in charge of its implementation and monitoring. These policy innovations marked a major breakthrough in the way Djibouti approaches its food insecurity situation and outlined a comprehensive way forward to more thoroughly address the main root-causes behind it. Acknowledging the mounting evidence on the role of climate change in shaping both structural and episodic food security crises in Djibouti, the Strategy's main purpose is to reduce the dependence of the country on food importations, reduce the cost of food purchase for rural households, ensure self-sufficiency of most households for their basic food needs, reduce the vulnerability of the poorest to external shocks, improve water management practices and mainstream food security concerns into national poverty reduction frameworks. To do so, the strategy specifically supports the increasing of the agricultural production through greater integration of agricultural and livestock production practices, improvement of the productive potential of dryland ecosystems and restoration maximization of food and water regulation ecosystem functions. It also recognizes the criticality of promoting more diversified and robust economic activities and livelihoods outside the agriculture sector as ‘safety nets’ for the rural poor against climate and economic crises. The AF project fully fits with these orientations and priorities and will offer a strategic opportunity to further operationalize and localise them in the project regions.

130. A recent programme relevant to Components 1 and 2 is the Djibouti National Programme for Food Security (Programme National de Sécurité Alimentaire, PNSS). It is through this Programme where this project will be able to have access to finance beyond completion. As part of this Programme, 39% of the total EUR 130 million budget will be used to provide assistance to vulnerable rural groups and 38% will be utilized to assure food security. The plan includes 8 complementary projects in surrounding regions and other parts of the country which will secure water resources for pastoral and agro-pastoral activities between 2012 and 2017 with the ultimate goal of eradicating food insecurity by 2020/2025. The general aims of the projects included in the National Programme for Food Security are to provide the resources to have more efficient food production cultivation for self consumption and the national market. Overall, the idea of the National Programme for Food Security is aligned with that of this project: to promote and diversify the agricultural livelihood in rural regions by providing them secured water and supporting the use of renewable energies in the context of climate change. It is exactly in the context of this Programme that the government seeks for the additional funding from the AF as to generate on the ground experience and evidence for climate resilient measures to tackle food security in the long term in the face of climate change.

131. The specific aims of the relevant projects in the National Programme for Food Security include the following:

1. To exploit dependable water resources in rural regions throughout Djibouti by constructing deep boreholes powered by solar systems in addition to surface reservoirs, EUR 2,500, 10% government and 90% donor and NGO
2. To rehabilitate existing shallow wells and construct reservoirs in southern rural areas to assist with irrigation needs, EUR 10,000, 10% government, 85% donors and NGOs, 5% private sector

3. To create 50 agricultural cooperatives and water management committees in southern rural regions along with promoting solar energy and the rehabilitation of shallow and deep wells, 10,800 Euro, 10% government, 85% donors and NGOs, 5% private sector
4. To conduct an in-depth feasibility study on building a water conveyance system connecting to Lake Afambo which can benefit regional agriculture (36 ha) and households (2000), EUR 370,000, 10% government, 85% donors and NGOs, 5% private sector
5. To perform an in-depth study on the potential of surface water resources and a feasibility analysis on small and large water infrastructure works geared to assist in irrigation and environment protection in 11 watersheds, EUR 7.5 million, 10% government and 90% donor and NGO
6. To develop and support agro-pastoral activities by bringing secured water resources (10 micro-dams, 30 shallow wells, 10 reservoirs, 15 cisterns) to new and existing agro-pastoralists and farmers, and to reinforce their capacities to have diversified activities which reduce their vulnerability to climate change with the aid of cooperatives, 200 agro-pastoralist households and 1,000 small-scale farmers, USD 18 million.

132. Financing for these projects is approximately 10 % government and between 85 and 90 % donor grants with some private support. As Djibouti is part of the Detailed Programme for the Development of African Agriculture (Programme Détaillée pour le Développement de l'Agriculture Africaine, PDDAA), it must allocate at least 10% of its national budget to the agricultural sector. Overall, financing is assured by the following mechanisms:

- i) Through the beneficiaries themselves, directly by providing manpower for projects or indirectly with the assistance of ADDS.
- ii) Through the national finance plan, either through the normal budget or by borrowing from traditional lenders
- iii) Through external grants which will support all technical studies
- iv) With aid from the Global Fund for Agriculture and Food Security (Fonds Global pour l'Agriculture et la Sécurité Alimentaire (GAFSP)

133. Most recently, the National Microfinance Policy 2012-2016 has been finalized which stipulates the plan of action and strategic goals for the microfinance sector in Djibouti. The context of the plan is to effectively finance projects which reduce poverty in rural, urban and semi-urban environments. The mission of the Policy is to assure the access of poor populations to financial lending services, to coordinate the development of the MFI, to improve management tools and operational capacity of the MFI and to ensure effective control and supervision of MFI activities. The Policy has been developed by incorporating lessons learned from the previous National Microfinance Policy 2006-2010 including why specific actions could not be implemented, weaknesses and strengths in addition to previous audit information and concepts agreed upon during a workshop and consultations with all principal MF actors.

134. To support and develop existing microfinance practices, the National Microfinance Policy plans to implement activities on 4 strategic axes:

- i. Improving the legal, regulatory, institutional and fiscal environment for a secure and harmonious development of the MF sector;

- ii. Development and structuring of the MFI to have a viable and sustainable range of products and diversified services which are innovative and adapted into the needs and the development of entrepreneurial culture;
- iii. Mobilizing sufficient resources adapted to the specific needs of the MF sector and;
- iv. Developing an institutional framework enabling articulated and concerted management of the MF sector and development of the National MF Policy.

135. Relevant to this project, the MF Policy clearly stipulates the need to support agriculture development and the lack of training for proper irrigation and cultivation techniques. It also recognizes the precarious situation of pastoralists who are adversely impacted by the increasing frequency of droughts and progressive desertification. Furthermore, it highlights current climate constraints on agricultural production with given unsustainable farming and irrigation practices which enable only 10% of the national nutritional needs to be met by domestic agriculture production.

136. Specific actions to promote sustainable agriculture under the National Microfinance Policy have a strong synergy with the goals of the Microfinance Component 3 of this project, particularly those associated with Strategic Axis 2. (The action plan for Strategic Axis 2 is included in Annex E.) MFIs will be promoted in the rural regions of Djibouti including with the creation of more MFI regional offices. Specific types of products including savings accounts will be created and adapted to finance the needs of rural populations. Risks to the promotion of MFI services for all levels of the population will be formulated and addressed. Also, funds for guarantees and risk insurance will be established. Similarly, particular attention will be given to developing a financial mechanism to further support the most vulnerable populations with the organization of a round-table of lenders. Under Strategic Axis 3, negotiations with lenders will include finding the best means to mobilize resources for those in need. All of these actions will be monitored and evaluated based on indicators and means of verification as shown in Annex E and with the assistance of expertise groups which will externally audit the MFIs. Coherence on the role of MF between the Ministries (such as the Ministry of Women and Ministry of Agriculture) and the acting MF parties will also be ensured by seminars and workshops to be developed under Strategic Axis 4.

137. The National Programme for Food Security provides an overarching programmatic framework for the proposed project, results of which will be fully embedded into it and corresponding budgets secured for future upscale. Plans for the complementary projects are detailed in Annex F. The planned water mobilization projects are integral to future shade garden development.

E. Describe how the project / programme meets relevant national technical standards, where applicable.

138. The proposed project will comply with all relevant laws, regulations and existing technical standards relating to hydrological resources mobilization, agricultural and pastoral development. On the socio-economic aspect, the project will duly respect norms and laws applying to labour, and public procurement procedures for investments and works. On the environmental side, the project will obviously

respect all current regulations relating to water, biodiversity and soil protection, and work for a better management of natural resources. The strong involvement of the Ministry of Water and the Ministry of Agriculture into the project will ensure that all procedures regarding water mobilization, infrastructure development and shade gardens creation duly respect existing procedures and comply with all regulations in place. The concerned Ministry services, as well as CERD scientific team, have indeed a great experience in water investments and are well-versed with the rules and generally accepted practices in this matter. Currently, the water legislation in Djibouti does not require any particular groundwater abstraction permits or rights to be granted for government-led investments in favour of agro-pastoral development. Similarly, the current water code does not yet include quantitative norms or standards to regulate water extraction and use in the project zones. This project will attempt to facilitate the strengthening of the national legal framework for water resource management as indicated in Activity 1.4.2. The project will seek to create a Water Code to bring in a progressive tariff structure. It will build capacity within the Ministry of Water, Energy and Natural Resources to design appropriate water tariffs that are socially sensitive and consider return value optimization. Well designed water tariffs can yield improvements in water availability to the beneficiaries by raising the levels of water efficiency and freeing essential resources for better maintenance and improved water service delivery to the poor. A technician from the Ministry of Water will work along with the National Programme Manager in gathering ideas on how to implement a framework for legal and policy reform. The general framework should include the following key aspects:

- a fair and functioning system of water abstraction permits with specific requirements on amount, timing, purposes and period of water abstraction
- effective implementation of water laws and policies through institutional capacity building
- decentralised approaches to water sharing, emphasizing regional to local management units
- stakeholder participation through water users associations
- emphasis on scientific studies to quantitatively determine water abstraction impacts for current and future scenarios including climate change effects

139. Furthermore, under Component 1, the project will make a valuable contribution towards the improvement of the national water regulations by demonstrating appropriate water management targets, allocation principles and enforcement protocols that will be established with the local user groups and aimed at securing a controlled and climate-resilient exploitation of groundwater resources. The water resource monitoring procedures and systems implemented in the project will provide knowledge on groundwater 'reaction' to shade-gardens development, and provide lessons to be learned, assisting in the further development of (legal or not) protocols for other projects of this type. This will be further supported by the creation of local water management committees for which Djibouti has very positive track-records and experiences. For example, the villages of Gallamo located in the region of Dikhil have developed promising participatory water allocation rules whereby water is alternatively shared on a daily basis between agricultural and domestic purposes according to a sophisticated community monitoring and decision-making system. Best practices of this kind will be systematically reviewed and fed into the community-based management standards to be developed by the project. Such participatory mechanisms are fully consistent with the social standards prevailing within pastoral and agro-pastoral communities who are already subject to traditional and customary rules as it relates, for example, to the resolution of conflict over land and water resources or community development decision-making.

140. This project will also abide by the National Environmental Impact Assessment Procedures and Guidelines during the feasibility study of this project. The Environment Code was adopted in 1992 and is the basis for mitigation of adverse impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environment in Djibouti. The specific Law on Environmental Assessment No. 51/AN/09/6th L was revised in July 2009 to redefine the applicability and mode of execution of an EIA. Any direct or indirect consequences from investments with environmental resources must be subject to an EIA. The goal of an EIA under Djiboutian law is to assure the integrity of the environment under the constraints of the best available technologies and acceptable economic costs. The Ministry on Habitat, Environment and Urbanism (MHEU) is responsible for approving and evaluating the EIAs and Terms of Reference (TORs) for a project. A group of experts concerned with the project's development tasks is mandated by the MHEU to verify the TORs and information contained in the EIA by validating project impacts in the field. The MHEU is also responsible for the project categorization which defines the type of EIA required, i.e., a summary or detailed EIA.

141. In the case of this project, Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is required for Project Components 1 and 2. Within Component 1, the construction of subsurface and earth dams in addition to boreholes requires a detailed EIA. Similarly, for Component 2, the use of irrigation on more than 10 ha for an agricultural project larger than 100 ha in total mandates a detailed EIA. Included in the EIA for this project must be a social and environmental management plan (Plan de Gestion Environmental et Social, PGES) which defines the development programme, monitoring and evaluation procedures and a detailed budget. The EIA must also include public participation by consulting the concerned parties affected by this project such as downstream communities of the earth dams. After completion and acceptance of the EIA, the full feasibility study and EIA must be made publicly available. In accordance with the Law on Environmental Assessment, the MHEU is allowed a maximum of 1 month to validate a project's TOR and 1.5 months to validate and accept a detailed EIA.

142. From a practical standpoint, no existing boreholes or earth dams in the project region have required EIAs due to the country's dire need for water harvesting. Other types of projects which have required EIAs include a 500 MW geothermal project and an oil port project. Validation and acceptance of the detailed EIAs by the MHEU for these projects took 1 week and 1 month respectively. Taking into consideration all recent projects which have required a detailed EIA, it can be safely assumed that the 6 month timeframe will be more than sufficient to prepare the TOR, the EIA and allow the MHEU time for validation and acceptance of both documents (if they are in fact deemed necessary). In order to facilitate the EIA process, the technical studies in Activity 1.1.1 and the EIA development in Activity 1.1.2 will be undertaken in tandem so that the technical studies can provide the necessary details for EIA preparation. Such an approach will provide savings in time and reduce the EIA preparation costs because environmental findings will be immediately streamlined into the EIA document. With a considerable safety margin, the proposed project timeline takes into account a conservative estimate for detailed EIA preparation. The total cost and total expected timeline are listed in the Gantt chart in Annex A.

143. The Government of Djibouti fully recognizes that issues of land rights and land tenures, especially under Component 2 is a critical aspect when undertaking agricultural development activities and confirms that that this question has been given due consideration when identifying and consulting with the

concerned stakeholders on the proposed project concept. In Djibouti officially the rural land is owned by the government in the whole country. However, traditionally several communities live in different parts of the country and these communities use different sets of customary law to deal with issues and disputes related to the use of land and water. In the northern part of the country which is occupied by the AFAR community, the land issue can be very critical as land is traditionally owned by tribes and sub-tribes and the local land tenure situation is still to be clarified and settled with the government in several parts of this region. In addition, there are clear distinctions and systems of access and use rights between the AFAR communities. If a community were to come to the land of another one for herding or access to water, it would have to pay a sort of ‘access fee’, such as one sheep for example.

144. In the southern part of the country, where the Petit and Grand Bara are located, the customary situation is different and land issues are much less critical. The southern region is mainly occupied by the ISSA community whose customary law grants equal access to the land. But, once a project has been developed by an individual or a community, this land is the property of the project developer(s). This *de facto* possession principle is deeply entrenched within the ISSA traditions and widely obeyed by its community members. However, if land can be appropriated, access to water cannot be prohibited to the rest of the community. This is why the project investments made in water harvesting and mobilization schemes are likely to benefit a portion of the ISSA community that is larger than the sole group of families who will be directly engaged in shade gardening. Also, if water access and use cannot be prohibited, this does not mean either that it cannot be regulated. In a context of increasing water shortages, the potential direct and indirect ISSA beneficiaries are fully aware of the needs to control water withdrawals and uses and are strongly inclined to engage into a mix of customary and modern community water management systems and arrangements that will ensure that water extraction will be sustainable both environmentally and financially and that water allocation amongst the various community uses will be well balanced and efficient, with irrigation of shade garden being widely acknowledged as a top priority use. In addition, the development of the shade-gardens will be led by the government and will fall under a specific land tenure regime which is called ‘perimètres paysans’ that strictly governs and regulates the status of the land while allowing customary rights, such as those mentioned above, to apply. Therefore, land tenure will not be an issue and will be fully secured for the purpose of the project.

145. All UNDP supported donor funded projects are required to follow the mandatory requirements outlined in the UNDP Programme and Operational Policies and Procedures (UNDP POPP). This includes the requirement that all UNDP development solutions must always reflect local circumstances and aspirations and draw upon national actors and capabilities. In addition, all UNDP supported donor funded projects are appraised before approval. During appraisal, appropriate UNDP representatives and stakeholders ensure that the project has been designed with a clear focus on agreed results. The appraisal is conducted through the formal meeting of the Project Appraisal Committee (PAC) established by the UNDP Resident Representative. The PAC representatives are independent in that they should not have participated in the formulation of the project and should have no vested interest in the approval of the project. Appraisal is based on a detailed quality programming checklist which ensures, amongst other issues, that necessary safeguards have been addressed and incorporated into the project design.

F. Describe if there is duplication of project / programme with other funding sources, if any.

146. The proposed project for AF funding takes an alternative agro-pastoral development and livelihood diversification approach to adaptation that considers more reliable control and productive utilization of surface and ground water as a fundamental mechanism to respond to the impact of more irregular rainfall on the productivity of traditional rain-fed pastoral and farming systems. Increasing the control of water resources available for agriculture and alternative livelihoods, either through artificial (water ponds, basins) or natural storage (soil moisture and aquifer) reduces vulnerability to climate variability and related water shortage and leads to greater agricultural resilience. Whereas most rural development projects focus on animal husbandry or vegetable production only, this project brings back the concept of integrated agro-pastoral development, based on a long lasting oasis tradition that needs to be protected and revitalised. It consistently links investments in water resource mobilization and management to agro-pastoral development through shade-gardens and improved animal rearing thanks to increased access to water, increased forage production and storage facilities. No duplication with other funding sources has therefore been identified. Instead, potential actions on surface water harvesting and grazing land management from other initiatives in the region may positively complement the AF project.

147. Until now, very limited agro-pastoral development activities have been implemented so far in Petit and Grand Bara (if we except a recent palm tree cultivation trial in Grand Bara). As previously described in Section D, the National Programme for Food Security will complement Components 1 and 2 of this project with their proposed projects involving securing water resources and creating / promoting agro-pastoral activities in surrounding rural regions of Djibouti. The Programme for Food Security to be put into effect between 2012 and 2017 will provide additional funds, both internal and external which will assist with scaling up of this project concept in surrounding areas. The lessons of this pilot project will be incorporated into the Programme for Food Security initiatives. No redundancies are seen with this programme as the project considers different regional areas. Nonetheless, some of the activities of these projects will be implemented in regions within the same watersheds which will facilitate future shade garden growth.

148. Other projects in regions outside of the proposed sites complement the first and second components of this project. Currently, JICA (the Japan International Cooperation) and the government of China have provided grants to Djibouti to capture more groundwater via borehole construction. The government of Saudi Arabia has offered a grant to build a dam in the northern part of Djibouti. Other potential donors who have already contributed to related projects include the Saudi Fund, the Kuwaitian Fund, the Africa Water Facility, UN partners, the French Agency for Development and IFAD (International Fund for Agriculture development). Between March 2012 and February 2013, the Japanese government is also funding a 1 Million USD agro-pastoral project in the Beyaa-adde and Dhourreh regions of Djibouti (outside of the project locations in Ali Sabieh). This project involves securing access to water and the development of 124 agro-pastoral farms for pastoralists who have lost their livestock.

149. Additionally, an on-going initiative related to rural and agricultural development includes the PROMES-GDT (Surface water mobilization and sustainable land management programme) programme

that is focused entirely on pastoralism development. This programme aims to improve grazing land management and animal rearing practices by regenerating and increasing productivity of natural pastures and improving livestock production conditions so as to augment animal nutritional intake and income of pastoral communities. This intervention does not address the long-term adaptation needs of pastoral and agro-pastoral communities, including possible exit strategies for pastoralists in areas where extensive livestock breeding could become no longer viable as the result of climate change driven degradation of natural pasturelands in both quantity and quality. There will therefore be no duplication between the two projects since the AF project's activities address adaptation strategies geared towards increasing forage stock and agro-pastoral diversification through agro-pastoral shade-gardens. In the very short term, the needs for 'no-regret' grazing land management improvement around the AF project sites must be tackled given the large population concerned (only part of it will directly benefit from the AF project) and the very large areas supposed to be covered by the PROMES-GDT programme. In the near to medium term, it is urgent for the country to devise innovative and rural resilience solutions that would allow rural populations to gradually depart from problematic and less effective migration 'solutions' to urban centres and to more effectively cope with more intense and chronic aridity. Coordination between the two initiatives will therefore enhance the region's overall adaptation capacity in the face of multi-faceted and gradually emerging climate change threats.

150. Another related initiative is the GGWI that identifies the Bara area as one of its priority landscape units. As explained in paragraph 97, the aim of the GGWI is to counter-act the progress of the desert in Africa across eleven African countries, including Djibouti. Djibouti has already launched its strategic document on GGWI based on sectoral studies conducted by national scientists. The GGWI document of Djibouti has defined three main strategic axes:

- i) surface water mobilization and groundwater resources management for supporting the GGWI activities
- ii) rehabilitation of degraded land and forest cover by tree planting
- iii) implementation of development activities within the poorest villages situated inside the GGW including agriculture and small scale economic activities

151. The strategic axes 1 and 2 include activities that are close to the range of solutions promoted by the AF proposal. However GGWI does not explicitly include the anticipated climate changes in the country and does not plan to conduct climate change risks assessments to guide the definition of appropriate and additional adaptation activities. In addition, the GGWI will cover an area 309 km long from the eastern part to the western part of Djibouti in which the AF project region is only a small part. Also, the set of projects identified in the GGWI are not yet specified clearly at this stage in any particular targeted landscapes. For example, development of agriculture is identified in the strategic document of the GGWI as a key economic activity to be developed within the programme, but it does not focus particularly on a specific region of the GGWI. The concept was to develop agriculture in each region within the GGW area that has good potential for agriculture. Furthermore, the GGWI is at the moment still at the conceptual stage as no funding has been allocated yet by the government or the international community to start implementation on-the-ground. Hence the two programmes will be complementary in that the AF project will help localize and operationalize the GGWI main strategic priorities by adding a climate layer to the GGWI through supplementary analytical works on the consequences of climate change on local agriculture and by demonstrating how risk from global warming could be better fed into local agricultural

strategies and how shade-gardening can prove to be a beneficial long-term rural development strategy both to contain desert expansion and ensure climate adaptation for the most vulnerable populations. Through its broader scope and institutional leverage, the GGWI, once operational, is expected to contribute to promoting and scaling up the knowledge and most successful achievements of the AF project and will generate an important multiplier effect throughout Djibouti and possibly within its partner countries.

152. Other projects under implementation in the country rural areas are listed below. The results of those different projects/programmes will of course be taken into due consideration for the AF project implementation (e.g. using the various water studies results):

Table 4: Complementary Projects in Djibouti

Project name	Source	Estimated budget	Synergy with Project	Status
National Programme for Food Security (NPFS)	Djibouti PDDAA fund and an assortment of other donors, private and public	130 million EUR	The AF project implementation timeline is exactly aligned with the duration of the five year National Programme for Food Security (NPFS). Therefore strong synergies on agricultural development and water resource mobilization are expected. Lessons learned will be shared among the different teams within the core ministries involved in the AF project and the NPFS.	Implementation between 2012 and 2017
Ambouli wadi development	EU	19 million EUR	During the third year of this project, experiences gained during the implementation of the AF project will support the wadi development project in terms of effective surface water mobilization practices.	Procurement process launched
Marsaki (Tadjourah) and Behidleh (Ali Sabieh) watershed development	BID-ADDS	300,000 USD	This project mainly involves engineering studies. Knowledge on best design practices and best available technologies gained by the Water Department will be useful for the implementation of the AF project.	Under finalization
Support to water resource mobilization for households and	African development Bank	1,937,000 EUR	Water resource design and construction knowledge gained by the Water Department engineers during the implementation of this	Under implementation since June 2008

agriculture			project will be used in the implementation of this project.	
Surface water mobilization and sustainable land management programme (PROMES-GDT)	IFAD and others	3.6 million USD	A strong synergy between the AF project and the PROMES-GDT project is expected. Knowledge obtained from rainwater harvesting and community mobilisation activities will be valuable for the design teams within the Ministry of Agriculture and Ministry of Water.	Under implementation in Day forest since December 2008
Drinking water catchments in rural areas	Saudi Fund	3 million USD	This on-going project involves the development of deep boreholes. Through this initiative, Water Department engineers have gained experience regarding locating, drilling and operating boreholes. This knowledge will be useful in developing the six boreholes of this project.	Phase 1 : completed since 2006 Phase 2: under implementation since December 2009 In 2012, the project is closed.
Drinking water catchments in rural areas	Abu Dhabi	800,000 USD	This project also involves the development of deep boreholes. Practical borehole development experience will be incorporated into the AF project.	Under finalization
Fight against thirst	EU / UNICEF	2.06 million EUR	This project involves the distribution of water reservoirs, the repair of shallow wells and the construction of subsurface reservoirs for rainwater harvesting. Engineering skills and lessons learned gained through these activities will be utilized in the AF project.	Phase 1 (EU): completed since December 2009. Phase 2 (UNICEF) implemented since January 2010
PSSA project: water management	BID FAO Djibouti	800,000 USD	Engineering skills on the management of water resources acquired through this project will be useful to the AF project.	Under finalization
Mapping, assessment and management of	IGAD	2.5 million USD	Immediate synergy is not expected.	Phase 1 completed since October 2009

transborder water resources within IGAD sub-region				Phase 2 launched
JICA project	JICA	-	This project involves groundwater extraction by the development of deep boreholes. Practical borehole development experience acquired by the Ministry of Water will be incorporated into the AF project.	Started in February 2010
Second National Communication	GEF-UNEP	-	Synergy is not expected.	Since 2009
Identification of saline water: Djibouti watershed	UNESCO	200,000 USD	CERD was the implementing entity of this UNESCO funded project. CERD will use lessons learned from this project for the planning of well locations in Component 1 of the AF project.	2010-2011
Technical assistance for the analysis and monitoring of natural disaster risks	World Bank GFDRR	2.5 million USD	Immediate synergy is not expected. However, flood risk assessment knowledge will be useful in the design activities of Component 1 of this project.	2010-2012
Geophysical study of 44 sites and water management	African development Bank	5 million USD	Geotechnical results will complement the technical studies of Component 1	2008-2010

Source: Etude sectorielle eau, Projet GMV, 2010

153. The partnering and coordination strategy with the above projects, particularly the National Programme for Food Security, PROMES-GDT and the GGWI will occur by using the technical committee of the inter-ministerial “National Commission for Sustainable Development” as a platform to bring together all the line ministries and agencies concerned with the main topics and issues covered by the project. This committee has the official mandate to serve as an institutional platform and mechanism for regular consultation, coordination and joint programming to reduce duplication and maximize synergies between the project and the other related initiatives.

G. Learning and knowledge management component to capture and disseminate lessons learned

154. First, the project will launch a large awareness campaign and population mobilization initiative on climate change, organising the participatory design of adaptation strategies around the project's main components. Current knowledge on climate variability and future trends of change is limited in those rural areas, and there is a strong need to mobilize people around concerted actions which improve their resilience.

155. The project integrates strong capacity building, technical support and technical monitoring (of water resource and agro-pastoral development) components. All related activities will contribute to knowledge management along the project implementation for the different categories of stakeholders: agro-pastors, their organizations (cooperatives, associations), technical support staff, and governmental organizations. The project will systematically document key lessons, good practices and challenges experienced in establishing sustainable water resources management plans and subsequent agro-pastoral oasis gardens development as adaptation measures for rural community resilience.

156. The Government of Djibouti understands this project as a pilot experience that will generate foundational capacities and that will develop basic tools and information to replicate the project water resource mobilization and agro-pastoral development concepts in other regions of the country to increase resilience of rural populations to climate change and food security. A particular effort will therefore consist in close monitoring of a large number of technical and socio-economic parameters, both concerning the water mobilization component and the agro-pastoral development (with particular focus on oasis ecosystem management and date palm development), as well as the capitalization of lessons learned from structuring community organizations and developing income-generating activities through the use of microfinance tools. This will enable knowledge and capacity building among project stakeholders at local and central levels for dissemination and replication.

157. Specifically under Component 1, the main knowledge value to be captured and disseminated is related to the design, applicability and effectiveness of water-related adaptation and resilience building solutions including risks assessment and management tools, climate smart water harvesting infrastructures and technologies as well robust communal management models. Knowledge sharing under Activity 1.4.3 involves the development of a standardized system to document lessons learned from the water component of this project. Knowledge from the experiences of existing community groups in community mobilization tactics will be centralized. Also, the system will include the documentation of effective water management strategies for variable water usage throughout the year. On a local level, it will identify proven cost recovery mechanisms which instill community responsibility and awareness of efficient water usage methods. It is planned that periodic consolidation of local knowledge sharing ideas on cost recovery mechanisms into the standardized system will aid in the design of a water permit and tariff structure to be implemented into the national legal framework.

158. Within Component 2, the project will look into knowledge issues related to approaches and practices for participatory technological development in the context of date-palm based shade-gardening, livelihood transition as well as tools and methods for local adaptation planning. The activities of Component 2 are generally ground-based in terms of necessary actions and steps to build shade gardens. Lessons learned from the development of shade gardens in Component 2 will be formalized in Component 3 under

Activity 3.3.4 by ADDS. ADDS will be responsible for documenting the best practices of shade gardening and associated income-generating activities which contribute to adaptation. Knowledge will be accrued from the training sessions with the lead farmers and will detail the best drought tolerant crops, the best use of soils, and the best crop rotation practices. By centralizing lessons learned from the experiences of the shade gardeners in this project, future agro-pastoralists can immediately develop their shade gardens with the best available proven practices for profitable and sustainable year-round cultivation of crops in order to build a resilient lifestyle.

159. Additionally, under Component 3, during project development, ADDS will learn how to best implement its 3 tiered strategy to assist rural populations in poverty (i.e., safety net, nanofinance and microfinance). By strengthening the capacity of ADDS, opening different CPEC branches regionally (with 6 shade garden areas) and/or using mobile banking, this MF scheme will be able to be easily shared and promoted. Through the development of community-driven adaptation plans, the MF products will be progressively revised according to the experience of agro-pastoralists to ensure their success in promoting adaptation-oriented shade gardening activities. The adaptation plans will be integrated within relevant national policies in order to document and formalize the optimal use of MF products for future agro-pastoral projects.

160. Funds for knowledge sharing under Components 1 and 3 will support written and video reports, radio broadcasts and the creation of a country website to centralize all knowledge sharing from each component of the project. The website will enable a coordination mechanism between relevant departments for sharing lessons. The video and radio projects will promote a public awareness raising campaign on the shade garden concept and its utility in adapting the rural populations to climate change. The knowledge management funds will also be used to conduct workshops and study tours inside the country to promote awareness of the project to government officials and the rural population who did not directly benefit from this project. Study tours are necessary to facilitate sharing between program stakeholders and the regional communities. They are expected to enhance the capacity of the ministries to plan and implement successful shade garden projects in the future. Also, workshops and study tours will provide a feedback loop to facilitate the uptake of lessons learned into policy.

161. The centralization of lessons learned will additionally incorporate the most successful training methods for shade gardening based on the experience of this project. The knowledge sharing mechanisms will have the potential to direct research initiatives in drought tolerant crop varieties and soil and water conservation measures which were successful under this project. From a practical on-the-ground standpoint, the lessons captured through the centralization of knowledge management will be relayed to agro-pastoralists by updating the technical guides prepared by ADDS throughout the project.

162. The main mechanisms and tools to capture and disseminate the knowledge and experience from the project components will be based on the UNDP well-established knowledge management approaches and strategies. This will include a series of activities such as the organization of regular technical and training meetings with farmers' groups, agricultural technicians, professional contractors and representatives of CBOs and NGOs. This will be supported by the delivery of a series of KM products including technical guidance notes and lessons learned papers on climate risks management, water adaptation schemes, adaptation planning etc., and key features and processes supported by the initiative. This will be linked to

the organization of stakeholder round tables as part of regular participatory monitoring and evaluation exercises intended to document the main project achievements and to further adjustments and replication. An additional dedicated website will be set up as a virtual knowledge platform to reach out to a wider public. The Adaptation Learning Mechanism <http://www.adaptationlearning.net> and other relevant networks such as <http://www.weadapt.org> will also be used for knowledge dissemination and experience sharing. Finally, a number of high-level policy events and dialogues will be organized, under the National Commission for Sustainable Development to feed the project outcomes back to the policy level and inform current and future national policy-making processes in the areas of rural development, poverty and food security.

H. Consultative process and list of stakeholders consulted during project preparation

163. The overall consultative process has started years ago with the NAPA process. Various initiatives have enriched initial proposals for adaptation among stakeholders and the proposed strategy for agro-pastoral development based on the development of date palm-based shade gardens has emerged as the most adapted cost-efficient strategy for the project region. During project preparation, the stakeholders including local and governmental representatives, listed in Annex B have fully contributed to the technical/institutional/strategy choices made in developing the AF project.

164. In addition, the project's main components have been discussed at the highest administration levels and specific orientations have been given at these occasions. Other projects/other donors have also been consulted in order to collect information regarding their own activities and their opinions regarding the AF project components: AFD/FFEM, European Union, PROMES-GDT project team, and UNDP. Two field visits have also been used to assess project potential and feasibility in the selected locations.

165. During the first field visit, local pastoral communities were consulted through community workshops and several meetings with representatives of local municipalities, NGOs and CBOs were held. Discussions with communities took place and their problems and difficulties were mapped and recorded. The sense of urgency to move from vulnerable nomadism to semi-sedentary agro-pastoral systems is widely shared by the most vulnerable pastoral groups of the Bara region. These people are already exiting from pastoralism, and they perceive agro-pastoralism as a much more sustainable and decent alternative than migration to the capital or working in non-agricultural sectors such as quarrying. Also, the positive outcomes generated by date palm cultivation in other areas of Djibouti make the proposed oasis-based shade-garden very appealing for the targeted communities, despite the fact that they will have to acquire the necessary skill sets and knowledge to practice farming. Therefore, local interest and buy-in is high for engaging into small-scale integrated livestock and farming agriculture production systems. Furthermore, similar activities supporting the shift from pastoralism to agro-pastoralism have been already implemented in Djibouti. Expertise on how to fill the capacity gaps of pastoralists for them to successfully engage into agriculture and how to ensure a smooth and fruitful livelihood transition already exists within the Ministries of Environment, Agriculture and Water and Energy and their respective technical services.

166. During the second field visit in November and December 2011, existing communities which are the potential beneficiaries were interviewed as well as the populations which have been involved with related pilot projects. Current agro-pastoralists confirmed that 0.5 ha is not sufficient for both cultivation and raising zero-grazing livestock such as cattle. For cultivation, 0.5 ha is sufficient and can guarantee enough revenue per year if water is provided. Female agro-pastoralists recommended the development of MF and cooperatives for women so that they can be trained with effective cultivation techniques and raise revenue for fencing materials and to repair existing water works. In Omar Jagac, a potential project beneficiary site with 250 families, the population is very motivated to become agro-pastoralists since they have lost most of their livestock. Current water supply is insufficient and they hope the nearby earth dam can be rehabilitated. Discussion with the village chief and community members indicated that they have a system to nominate the chosen agro-pastoralists; those most in need or those with existing water infrastructure maintenance capacity will be given priority. Already one civil association exists in Omar Jagac and they plan on creating more associations such as for maintenance. Similarly, in Gabla Kalan, this potential project site with 200 families is very motivated to become agro-pastoralists. They recommend that any new groundwater pumping systems use solar power because their diesel-powered borehole is not reliable and diesel fuel cost is very high.

167. Meetings with the Ministries demonstrated that they have drafts of action plans which complement this project in terms of surface water and groundwater mobilization and promoting agro-pastoralist diversified lifestyles until 2017. Current and future water abstractions in these watersheds were discussed with the Ministry of Water. Engineers within the Ministry of Water demonstrated their significant technical capacity for the design, construction, operation and maintenance of the water mobilization techniques proposed in this project. The national engineers have not only experience but new equipment to construct the water infrastructure. Most importantly, discussion of funding for these long-term action plans revealed that some funds are guaranteed so that scaling up for Components 1 and 2 of the project will transition naturally. Finally, the institutional arrangements were discussed with all the involved ministries.

168. A lengthy, detailed meeting was also held with the Director of Microfinance (MF) and an international MF consultant for ADDS who will be two of the responsible parties in developing the MF products. These meeting clarified the capacity of ADDS and CPEC, the workshops and training needed as well as their flexibility and willingness to create new MF products for the project beneficiaries. Details of this discussion are provided in the Component 3 discussion.

169. During more detailed project preparation, the targeted communities will be consulted further and closely involved in the identification and refinement of the project's activities and implementation strategy for all three project components. The preparatory phase will mobilize a set of community-based and participatory methodologies (such as the MARP or IUCN's CRISTAL approach) to ensure the concerns and voices of the local communities are properly heard and fed into the design of the full-fledged project proposal. To accomplish this, the project will make use of the traditional pastoral structures and governance system. The ISSA communities of the Bara area are organized into tribes and sub-tribes. In a case of conflict or for any major decision, an assembly of sub-tribes chiefs is convened to discuss and decide upon the issue. There are also strong traditional laws called XEER ISSA (‘law of ISSAs’) which regulate conflicts between local communities. Under this customary system, the chiefs of

sub-tribes have strong legitimacy and are vested with large authority and decision-making power. Nonetheless, their decisions are based on a consultative process with their sub-tribes, particularly with the oldest people of their groups. In effect, there exists a functional and permanent local community structure that the project will utilize to organise the consultations with the beneficiaries, using both their traditional governance and decision-making systems along with more direct participatory discussions through appropriate community mobilization tools and events, notably to ensure that female empowerment is also well factored into the proposal approach. Indeed, ISSA women have important workloads and responsibilities in assuring household maintenance in the pastoral communities but generally they do not participate into the decision making process. The project will use adequate gender sensitive consultation methods (such as the UNDP Gender and Climate Change Guidebook) that will not antagonize the traditional pastoral perspective on the decision-making role of women yet at the same time will support women inclusion in all aspects of the project's development and implementation.

I. Justification for funding requested, focusing on the full cost of adaptation reasoning

170. Djibouti's status as a least developed country (LDC), located in the sahelo-soudanian strip where desertification and increasingly arid conditions occur, makes its fragile ecosystems and the population highly vulnerable to climate variability and long term change. The national budget alone cannot cope with the urgent adaptation needs. New robust water capture and storage mechanisms as well as diversified agro-pastoral lifestyles need to be developed along with a microfinance scheme to facilitate these developments in order to build resilience of these rural populations to their climate sensitive environment.

Component 1: Sustainable access to secured water resources in the face of climate change

171. Baseline (without AF Resources): Knowledge of water resources has been globally improved and a set of solutions to growing needs has been defined, from expensive desalinization options to improved uses of rainwater and sustainable exploitations of groundwater resources. However, within the rural regions of Djibouti, the intensification of droughts and climate variability, associated with growing water needs and population pressures has resulted in the salinization of many water points, the drying up and silting of shallow wells, and the destruction of water points by erosion and floods. These adverse impacts have been accelerated by climate change and have resulted in a dramatically unsecured access to drinking and irrigation water for the rural poor. In the project area, rural populations must sometimes walk for 4 hours to get access to surface water collected by small earth dams. The time and energy spent walking to often poor quality water sources with insufficient capacity represents a considerable risk and generates a high vulnerability for rural populations. No agro-pastoral development can be envisaged without secured water resources. Furthermore, surrounding pastoralists have very limited adaptation choices due to the lack of water for their livestock and personal use. Without an exit strategy for people to continue working with the land in the rural regions, a significant part of the population are now leaving their land for industrial jobs or jobs in the capital city where unemployment is 60 percent.

172. Additionality (with AF Resources): AF resources will permit to tackle vulnerability to water by (i) in-depth technical studies of the water resource potential in the target regions in the face of climate

change and increasing water demand, (ii) investments into more advanced technologies of surface water collection (iii) investments into controlled groundwater extraction, combined with artificial recharge that offers integrated ground water management solutions that are more resilient to projected water stresses, and (iv) strong capacity development with long-term water resource management plans and associated real-time monitoring plans to track resource management dynamics and needs for adjustments in response to climate change impacts. Detailed guidelines for good practice in surface and ground water management for the communities in the context of sustainable use will also be produced. Moreover, capacity development within the Ministry of Water will enable them to gain expertise in sustainable water management practices and sustainable designs for surface water harvesting and artificial recharge.

Component 2: Shade gardens to support diversified and climate-resilient agro-pastoral production system

173. Baseline (without AF Resources): The country has invested in drought management within a number of donor funded projects in the past, but the approach was mainly dedicated to the multiplication of wells and drillings, which has certainly improved access to water in a first instance, but has also played a role in nomadic population settlement, resulting locally in increased pressure on the ecosystem and unsustainable water abstraction leading to the salinization of soil and water points. Today, most of the rural population still lives almost exclusively from animal breeding so that agriculture is very poorly developed, despite national efforts. In fact, previous rural development efforts have tended to focus either on animal husbandry or vegetable production only, and did not leverage the potential of agro-pastoral systems, based on agro-forestry and more integrated livestock/farming production, to diversify production, increase food security while releasing pressures on dwindling land and water resources. Baseline efforts have been further constrained by intensified climate change-driven heat and drought which is challenging agricultural development in general, including agro-pastoralism. New, innovative solutions therefore need to be introduced to adapt to these new arid conditions and to provide vulnerable rural populations alternate sources of revenue, increasing their resilience to climate change. Promoting the culture of date palm and using it as the cornerstone for the revitalization of climate-resilient oasis-type agro-pastoral systems is a critical strategy in this regard. However, there are important gaps to be addressed in Djibouti towards this solution: (i) plant species demonstrating a greater resilience to drought and salinity, and producing good value products, must be strongly promoted, (ii) agricultural systems must be adapted to more intense climate conditions, and there is a strong need for capacity building of agro-pastoralists, and (iii) tree plantation, being date palms, fruit trees or forest trees, need time to grow and generally do not respond to the most urgent needs. Well aware of those gaps, the government of Djibouti has, as a first step, started to create new capacities for the development of date palm tree with the successful launch of a date palm trees production laboratory in 2005. The country has now the capacity to produce young Djiboutian date palm trees and has developed internal expertise. However, currently there is very limited capacity to support the widespread development of agro-pastoral oasis gardens as proposed in this project.

174. Additionality (with AF Resources):, This project brings back the concept of integrated agro-pastoral development by introducing inter-related irrigated farming and livestock management as a cost-effective alternative to coping with increasing climate-induced drought and desertification. AF resources will be used to create local capacities to develop climate resilient agro-pastoral systems by rejuvenating and upgrading the traditional practice of oasis type shade garden that was imported centuries ago in Djibouti

by Yemeni populations. The traditional memory of this model has now been lost as a result of gradual rural exodus and devitalization of areas where it was originally present. Therefore, the project will help build the necessary capacities to restore and expand this practice amongst drought vulnerable rural communities within Grand and Petit Bara who have never been involved in this type of agriculture. These capacities will enable the development of multi-stage farming systems for growing forage, fruits, vegetables and other high value drought and salinity resistant varieties, such as henna and jojoba. The shade provided in such gardens improves moisture retention in the soil and creates multi-functional, micro agro-ecological systems with improved productivity and diverse benefits. This integrated agro-pastoral system is seen as a viable adaptation strategy for Djibouti, whereby animals can be raised without exacerbating pressures on degraded steppe ecosystems and more diversified agricultural and non-agricultural commodities can be produced through better control and productivity of soil and water resources, thus contributing to the reduction of risks from climate change across a broader portfolio of rural activities and livelihoods. The development of shade gardens is clearly additional as it stems directly from the climate change induced intensification of droughts and aridity across the project areas that render pastoral livelihoods no longer possible or viable for most vulnerable herders and breeders. Under baseline climate conditions, pastoral communities would not require the development of agro-pastoral shade gardens, but rather conventional interventions to improve their living conditions and resource base through targeted investments into pastoral development and integrated natural resources management, such as what the PROMES-GDT project is already doing. Without climate change, expansion of the shade-gardening practice within the Grand and Petit Bara regions would not be justified, which is the reason why these systems have remained so far quite limited and nascent locally and across the rural areas of the country. Now that the manifestations and evidence of climate change risks have gained depth and pace, there is a strong sense of urgency to make a paradigm shift and to offer the most fragile pastoral populations a sustainable and cost-effective ‘exit strategy’ that could help them build new assets and make the transition towards more resilient agricultural livelihoods and sources of income. While this transition process is of strategic importance for Djibouti, it is also a very painful process that cannot happen through autonomous adaptation only and that needs to be actively planned and supported by all forms of assistance (analytical, technical and financial).

Component 3: Access to secured finance for climate resilient agro-pastoral enterprise development

175. Baseline (without AF Resources): Existing MF in Djibouti is geared towards the economically-active poor, i.e., those that have some resources. In the case of the project beneficiaries, they have limited, if any resources and cannot take out loans with banks or MFIs because they do not have the collateral to secure them. Joining cooperatives for the poorest households is also often not an option due to membership contributions they cannot afford and credit conditions they cannot fulfil.¹⁸ Furthermore, being semi-nomads and poorly organised, they do not present a sufficiently reliable profile for microfinance institutions. In addition, no existing MF products are geared towards the irregular repayment schedules of newly formed agro-pastoralists in that they must consider starting with little to no assets followed by seasonal agricultural harvests. The current risk of not having an appropriate MF product for adapting to

18 Naess, L.O., and M. Sullivan and J. Khinmaung and P. Crahay and A. Otselberger. *Changing climates, Changing lives, Adaptation Strategies of Pastoral and Agro-pastoral communities in Ethiopia and Mali*, ACF International May 2010

climate change makes the people more vulnerable; if loans are used for risk reduction in the short-term such as with building repairs or in buying environmentally-toxic fertilizers, servicing these loans or debts may increase longer-term risks by encouraging individuals to inadvertently deplete livelihood assets or to use the loans for practices which do not enable them to be risk-averse in the long-term.

176. Additionality (with AF Resources): AF resources will enable the project (i) to structure involved agro-pastoralists into well established cooperatives and/or associations, with a physical location (concretely represented by the shade gardens) in Petit Bara and Grand Bara; (ii) to foster community responses to climate change impacts and subsequently launch and support adaptation initiatives through income-generating activities; (iii) to closely work with the microfinance institutions in place in order to define a loan offer adapted to agro-pastoralists needs; and (iv) to train and follow-up involved populations so that they learn how to use microfinance and what it can bring to them (particularly easily with the technical guides to be developed). This micro-financing strategy for adaptation is deemed fully additional as it goes over and beyond the current baseline-as-usual micro-credit practices of MFIs in Djibouti that do not pay sufficient attention to the risks posed by emerging and long term climate change on their loan portfolios and how their own support activities could even increase rural dwellers' vulnerability.

177. A key barrier for adaptation of climate change threatened pastoral groups resides in their difficulties to access the financial means required to move from no longer viable pastoralism towards more diversified and robust shade-garden based activities that would help them better spread and withstand the risks associated with more chronic arid conditions. This project addresses this barrier by using AF funds to properly develop microfinance products which have been proven to reduce the vulnerability of the poor to climate change by diversifying their assets and capabilities in a sustainable manner.¹⁹ For this project, ADDS, has experience developing MF products for agriculture (Annex D), organizing cooperatives, developing flexible and small loans, and creating women's groups. The core additionality of MF for this project comes from using AF funds to develop new MF products which are ear-marked for adaptation to climate change specifically for the type of pastoralist/agro-pastoralist who will benefit from this project. The novelty in this approach is supported by the fact that ADDS has the capacity, will and initiative to develop a three-tiered MF product which provides a progressive means for the beneficiaries to build supportive cooperative structures and gradually gain a diversified asset base which will increase their resilience to climate change impacts.

178. The product will consist of first organizing the beneficiaries in safety net cooperatives so that they can easily access small loans based on the Myrada concept.²⁰ Subsequently, with repayment experience, small cooperatives, particularly for women, will have the ability to access nanofinance for specific diversified tasks with additional training. The final tier will be MF loans which can be obtained once the beneficiaries have an asset base. The concept of the product to be created is therefore to provide credit to the poorest of the poor so that they can develop their asset base over time and increase their financial literacy to eventually access classic microfinance products. Most importantly, in terms of adaptation, conditions will be placed on the loans so that they can be secured for solely activities which enable the

19 Hammill, A. and R. Matthew and E. McCarter, *Microfinance and Climate Change Adaptation*, IDS Bulletin, Vol. 39, No. 4 Sep. 2008

20 Fernandez, A. *Myrada's Organisational Values (In the Context of PAPRO)*, Bangalore, India, November 1997.

beneficiaries to build resilience to climate change impacts such as buying salt and drought tolerant seeds or purchasing productive cows (the latter limits the number of livestock and the risks of overgrazing). In terms of AF funds, a large portion will support initial training and workshop programmes to build capacity within ADDS which will enable them to subsequently train the project beneficiaries on repayment and savings principles as well as non-financial issues such as sustainable farming practices. Through this project, ADDS will also have the financial means to find experts which can train the cooperatives with skills such as the value chain of milk products. Furthermore, the funds for ADDS will empower them to try, mobile banking, a relatively new MF concept which makes the branch offices mobile to bring financial services directly to the rural population who have no means of transportation and are spread throughout the project region. This idea has worked in other developing countries and remains one of the most promising methods to reduce the costs of rural banking.²¹

179. It should be stressed that the MF products will be transferable to other rural community members who do not have an asset base. For example, through MF services, pastoralists will be given the opportunity to develop milk products sustainably. Training of ADDS will enable them to offer products to the rural community in general and provide the same workshops. The technical guides to be developed to assist ADDS in teaching clients microfinance principals and sustainable agro-pastoral practices will be able to be distributed to rural populations throughout Djibouti.

180. The sustainability of the MF concept with the development of properly developed products for rural populations in poverty is ensured with not only building capacity with training but also with the MFI's will to create a literate, healthy client base that is able to manage financial assets and identify and pursue new livelihood opportunities. Such an approach poses fewer risks to MFIs and at the same time helps households reduce their own exposure to risks associated with climate change. Furthermore, the replication of the MF products into other agro-pastoral projects is ensured by the use of AF funds to integrate community-driven adaptation plans into the National Programme for Food Security and the National MF Policy. The adaptation plans will detail the necessary measures to facilitate the use of MF products for adaptation-oriented shade gardening practices from the perspective of the agro-pastoralists. Bi-annual workshops with agro-pastoral cooperatives will document the community's priorities for establishing climate-resilient lifestyles (e.g., loan conditions, training material, etc.) at progressive stages of the project's development so recommendations can be incorporated into the plans to benefit future agro-pastoral projects.

181. The MF products to be developed will not include micro-insurance schemes at the moment because there is no capacity or sufficient scale for the schemes to be created cost-effectively within Djibouti. In northern Africa alone, no micro-insurance programmes exist for this reason. High barriers to entry in this market include upfront research and development costs, too few weather stations and initial problems in getting access to international reinsurance. Therefore, in order for micro-insurance schemes to develop within Djibouti, investments must be made in meteorological stations and basic data collection and

21 Meyer, R.L. *Subsidies as an Instrument in Agriculture Finance: A Review*, Joint Discussion Paper, The World Bank, BMZ, FAO, GIZ, IFAD and UNCDF, June 2011.

analysis in order to create the conditions and infrastructure for robust insurance markets.²² Furthermore, micro-insurance is a viable climate risk transfer or management solution in countries and regions that are characterised by high inter and intra annual climate variability. Such conditions occur when extreme years are more common than average and the coefficient of rainfall variability is high. The coefficient of rainfall variability (CV, or the percentage deviation from the norm), measures the uncertainty of rainfall: the higher the CV percentage the more uncertain the rainfall. In such a case weather index-based insurance is a viable solution. However, this is not the case in Djibouti where an overall trend of aridification and decreasing rainfall is a predominant trend rather than high variability.

PART III: IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS

A. Arrangements for project implementation.

Institutional Context:

182. The Department of Land Management and Environment is the key institution on issues of climate change and the environment in Djibouti. This institution is a department of the Ministry of Habitat, Environment and Urbanism (MHEU). This department co-ordinates all climate-related issues in the country, and works in collaboration with various NGOs and other Government departments. A National steering committee for climate change had been established for the elaboration of Djibouti national communication under UNFCCC and within the NAPA.

183. The Ministry of Environment (MHEU) has a transversal mission in collaborating with and coordinating the work directed by the Ministry of Water, Energy and Natural Resources (MWENR) for project Component 1, the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries (MALF) for project Component 2, and the State Secretariat for National solidarity (ADDS) for project Component 3. The MWENR and MALF will work with the Centre of Studies and Research of Djibouti (Centre d'étude et de recherche de Djibouti – CERD) to conduct initial scientific studies.

Institutional Arrangements:

184. As a direct request by the Government of Djibouti, the project will be implemented through the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), in its capacity of accredited MIE to the AF. The project will be implemented in close coordination and collaboration with all relevant government institutions, local communities and NGOs, as well as other related projects in the region. UNDP-CO will support project implementation by, contracting project personnel, experts and subcontractors, undertaking procurement, and providing other assistance upon request of the National Executing Agency. UNDP-CO will also monitor the project's implementation and achievement of the outcomes and outputs and ensure

22 Meyer, R.L. *Subsidies as an Instrument in Agriculture Finance: A Review*, Joint Discussion Paper, The World Bank, BMZ, FAO, GIZ, IFAD and UNCDF, June 2011.

the proper use of Adaptation Fund resources. Financial transactions, reporting and annual auditing will be carried out in compliance with UNDP regulations for national project execution modality.

185. National Execution enables the project to exercise greater national ownership whereby, UNDP will only provide technical backstopping, quality assurance and compliance with fiduciary standards in its capacity of MIE.

186. The **Executing Agency** will be the Ministry of Habitat, Environment and Urbanism (MHEU). The Executing Agency/Implementing Partner will appoint a National Project Director from within its Ministry and choose a National Project Manager through a transparent, public tender process. A summary of the roles and responsibilities of the National Project Director is provided below.

187. **Project Board:** The Project Board will be responsible for steering the activities of the Project Management Unit (PMU). Chairing the project board will be the Ministry of Habitat, Environment and Urbanism (MHEU). Project Board members will include the Ministry of Finance (MoF), the MWENR, the MALF, ADDS, CERD, Prefect representatives from the two concerned regions (Arta and Ali Sabieh), Arta and Ali Sabieh Regional Council Representatives and a UNDP CO representative. Additional members, or occasionally invited members, will be decided during the project inception phase. The Project board will be responsible for ensuring overall political guidance of the project and delivery of its outputs and outcomes.

188. The **National Project Director** will be a high-level government official primarily responsible for overall implementation of the Project. This responsibility includes representing and supporting project objectives at high decision making levels within the Government of Djibouti. The National Project Director also takes the primary responsibility for ensuring that the required government support to reach the milestones of the Project is available.

189. **The Project Manager** will be a local management expert who will assume overall responsibility for the successful implementation of project activities and the achievement of planned project outputs. S/he will work closely with the national and international experts hired under the project, as well as the Project Assistant, and will report to the National Project Director and to the UNDP Country Office. The Administrative and Financial Assistant will provide assistance to the Project Manager in the implementation of day-to-day project activities. S/he is responsible for all administrative (contractual, organizational and logistical) and accounting (disbursements, record-keeping, cash management) matters related to the project. **Management Unit (PMU):** The day-to-day implementation and management of the project will be undertaken by the Project Management Unit, under the overall guidance of the Project Board. During project design, consulted parties have expressed their willingness to limit the costs of personnel under AF resources as much as possible, concentrating AF resources on concrete investments and actions. The PMU will therefore be limited in size, and mostly organise its activities in close coordination with the executing organizations. Furthermore, the PMU team will also include an administrative/financial assistant and two technical professionals: an experienced agricultural expert and a water resources expert transferred and supported financially by the MALF and the MWENR respectively. The team will also be reinforced by two UN volunteers contracted by UNDP-CO (one agro-pastoral/oasis systems expert, and one water resource management expert). The role of the PMU will be to: a) ensure overall project implementation, management and monitoring, b) facilitate communication and networking

among key stakeholders, c) organize the meetings of the Project Board, and d) communicate regularly with the local representatives from Grand and Petit Bara. The project will hire short-term national and international experts for specific project assignments. Project activities to be implemented by the private sector will be contracted out on a competitive basis through public procurement. The PMU must coordinate all public meetings and correspondences with the local representatives from Petit and Grand Bara.

190. **Local representatives from Petit and Grand Bara** will be responsible for meeting with the PMU and coordinating all communications and concerns with the Project Management Unit. They also must convey decisions to their respective communities.

B. Measures for financial and project risk management.

191. The following risks – and possible mitigation measures – have been identified:

Risk	Risk Rate	Risk mitigation measure
Reluctance of farmers or pastoralists to engage in agro-pastoralist practices of shade gardens	Low	The agro-pastoral development component will start gradually, with the objective to identify a limited number of <u>lead</u> farmers that will serve as examples and possible success stories to the others. Those lead farmers will also serve as a basis for the organization of technical group meetings with other farmers in order to maintain a strong cooperation between involved families and support their efforts in developing their oasis garden.
Repeated drought	High	Whereas the repeated occurrence of drought is a serious probability, the project has been designed so as to be sufficiently resilient thanks to a diversified and secured access to water resources, combining both surface and ground water, as well as the implementation of adapted cultivation techniques and forage and other crop varieties.
Initial studies reveal insufficient water availability and quality	Low	The risk concerns only the Grand Bara location, the Petit Bara water resources already being well known and defined as sufficient in quantity and excellent in quality. If the combination of surface and ground water uses in Grand Bara do not reveal sufficiently productive and secured, the project will limit agro-pastoral development in Grand Bara and extend activities in Petit Bara, the two locations being close to each other.
Low level of cooperation between executing institutions	Medium	The implementation arrangements have been decided at the State highest-level and accepted by all involved parties. The MHUEAT is strongly willing to coordinate activities with

		the different executing agencies, and UNDP CO will closely monitor the project's execution, so as to limit any deviation. All involved parties are strongly interested in the project activities and outcomes, and will benefit from capacity building from the project.
Delays in project implementation due to simultaneous construction works for complementary projects	Low	The respective Ministries have already analyzed their resources for implementation of all projects in the National Programme for Food Security. Within the detailed design on this project, any possible bottlenecks in implementation will be identified and dealt with before the commencement of construction works.
Insufficient interest and social cohesiveness amongst sedentary pastoral communities to adhere to the alternative production models proposed by the project	Low	Local populations have already partly settled and the need for technical cooperation will play a critical role in social relations. Consulting services for social mobilization will be appointed during the project course, starting with an initial social study and community mobilization activities and possibly complemented with other activities later in the project. Climate change response workshops will also serve as a mobilization and conflict resolution tool.
Theft of solar panels, pump parts or fencing materials in any of the shade garden zones	Low	Borehole costs include the construction of protective casings around the solar panels and pump infrastructure to deter theft and prevent point contamination from grazing animals. Fencing costs are quite high because robust materials will be installed to adequately protect the shade gardens and prevent easy theft of materials.

C. Monitoring and evaluation arrangements and budgeted M&E plan.

192. Programme monitoring and evaluation (M&E) will be in accordance with established UNDP procedures and will be carried out by the PMU and the UNDP Country Office. The Results Framework noted in Section D below defines the performance indicators for programme implementation at the output level. The means of verification for each of these indicators will involve independent examination of the policies, guidelines, regulations, training materials, technical project interventions, and knowledge management outputs that the project will produce. A Monitoring and Evaluation system for the programme will be established based on these indicators and the means of verification noted below, and will be the ultimate responsibility of UNDP.

Programme Start:

193. A Programme Initiation Workshop will be held within the first 2 months of programme start with those who have relevant roles as defined in the institutional arrangement, the UNDP country office

(UNDP CO), stakeholders and where appropriate/feasible, with regional technical policy and programme advisors. The Initiation Workshop is crucial to building ownership for the programme results and to plan the first annual work plan.

194. The Initiation Workshop should address a number of key issues including:

- a) Assist all partners to fully understand and take ownership of the programme;
- b) Detail the roles, support services and complementary responsibilities of UNDP CO and PMU staff vis à vis the programme team;
- c) Discuss the roles, functions, and responsibilities within the programme's decision-making structures, including reporting and communication lines and conflict resolution mechanisms. The Terms of Reference for programme staff will be discussed again as needed;
- d) Based on the programme results framework, finalize the first annual work plan. Review and agree on the indicators, targets and their means of verification and recheck assumptions and risks;
- e) Provide a detailed overview of reporting, monitoring and evaluation (M&E) requirements. The Monitoring and Evaluation work plan and budget should be agreed and scheduled;
- f) Discuss financial reporting procedures and obligations. Audits on the project will follow UNDP finance regulations and rules and applicable audit policies;
- g) Plan and schedule Project Board meetings. Roles and responsibilities of all programme organization structures should be clarified and meetings planned. The first Project Board meeting should be held within the first 12 months following inception workshop.

195. An Initiation Workshop report is a key reference document and must be prepared and shared with participants to formalize various agreements and plans decided during the meeting.

Quarterly

- Progress made shall be monitored in the UNDP Enhanced Results Based Management Platform.
- Based on the initial risk analysis submitted, the risk log shall be regularly updated in ATLAS. Risks become critical when the impact and probability are high.
- Based on the information recorded in ATLAS, a Programme Progress Report (PPR) can be generated in the Executive Snapshot.
- Other ATLAS logs can be used to monitor issues, lessons learned, etc. The use of these functions is a key indicator in the UNDP Executive Balanced Scorecard.

Annually

196. Annual Project Review/Project Implementation Reports (APR/PIR): This key report is prepared to monitor progress made since the project start and in particular for the previous reporting period (30 June to 1 July). The APR/PIR combines abides by UNDP reporting requirements.

197. The APR/PIR includes, but is not limited to, reporting on the following:

- Progress made toward programme outputs and outcomes – each with indicators, baseline data and end-of-programme targets (cumulative);
- Programme outputs delivered per programme outcome (annual);
- Lessons learned/good practice;
- AWP and other expenditure reports;
- Risk and adaptive management
- ATLAS QPR

Periodic Monitoring through Site Visits

198. UNDP CO will conduct visits to programme sites based on the agreed schedule in the programme's Inception Report/Annual Work Plan to assess first hand programme progress. Other members of the Project Board may also join these visits. A Field Visit Report/BTOR will be prepared by the UNDP CO and will be circulated no less than one month after the visit to the programme team and Project Board members.

Mid-term of programme cycle

199. The programme will undergo an independent Mid-Term Evaluation at the mid-point of programme implementation. The Mid-Term Evaluation will determine progress being made toward the achievement of outcomes and will identify course correction if needed. It will focus on the effectiveness, efficiency and timeliness of programme implementation; will highlight issues requiring decisions and actions; and will present initial lessons learned about programme design, implementation and management. Findings of this review will be incorporated as recommendations for enhanced implementation during the final half of the programme's term. The organization, Terms of Reference and timing of the mid-term evaluation will be decided after consultation between the parties to the programme document. The Terms of Reference for this Mid-term Evaluation will be prepared by the UNDP CO. The management response and the evaluation will be uploaded to UNDP corporate systems, in particular the UNDP Evaluation Office Evaluation Resource Center (ERC).

End of programme

200. An independent Final Evaluation will take place three months prior to the final Project Board meeting and will be undertaken in accordance with UNDP guidance. The final evaluation will focus on the delivery of the programme's results as initially planned (and as corrected after the Mid-Term Evaluation, if any such correction took place). The final evaluation will look at impact and sustainability of results, including the contribution to capacity development and the achievement of global environmental benefits/goals. The Terms of Reference for this evaluation will be prepared by the UNDP CO. The Terminal Evaluation should also provide recommendations for follow-up activities and requires a management response which should be uploaded to PIMS and to the UNDP Evaluation Office Evaluation Resource Center (ERC).

201. During the last three months, the PMU will prepare the Project Terminal Report. This comprehensive report will summarize the results achieved (objectives, outcomes, outputs), lessons learned, problems met

and areas where results may not have been achieved. It will also lay out recommendations for any further steps that may need to be taken to ensure sustainability and duplicability of the programme's results.

Learning and Knowledge Sharing

202. Results from the programme will be disseminated within and beyond the programme intervention zone through existing information sharing networks and forums. The programme will identify and participate, as relevant and appropriate, in scientific, policy-based and/or any other networks, which may be of benefit to programme implementation through lessons learned. The programme will identify, analyze, and share lessons learned that might be beneficial in the design and implementation of similar future programmes. Finally, there will be a two-way flow of information between this programme and other projects of a similar focus. See Section G for additional information on knowledge management.

Table 5 M&E workplan and budget

Type of M&E activity	Responsible Parties	Budget USD	Time frame
Inception Workshop and Report	PMU and UNDP CO	3,000	Within first two months of project start up
Measurement of Means of Verification of programme results	PMU and UNDP CO	tbd To be finalized in Inception Phase and Workshop	Start, mid- and end of programme (during evaluation cycle) and annually when required.
Measurement of Means of Verification for Programme Progress Reports on output and implementation	PMU and UNDP CO	tbd To be determined as part of the Annual Work Plan preparation	Annually prior to ARR/PIR and input to the annual work plans
ARR/PIR	PMU, UNDP CO	None	Annually
Periodic status / progress reports	PMU	None	Quarterly
Mid-term evaluation	PMU, UNDP CO and external consultants	25,000	At the mid-point of project Implementation.
Final evaluation	PMU, UNDP CO and external consultants	30,000	At least three months before the end of the project
Programme Terminal Report	PMU, UNDP CO, local consultant	2,500	At least three months before the end of the project
Audits	PMU, UNDP CO	15,000 (3,000 per	Yearly

		year)	
Field Visits	UNDP CO, Government representatives	Minimal	Yearly
TOTAL Indicative Cost (Excluding PMU staff time and UNDP staff and travel expenses)		USD 75,500 (+/- 2% of total budget)	

Note: The above costs relate to expenditures that need to be incurred by the project for monitoring and reporting on project deliverables. UNDP related costs are not included in the above. Such costs are covered by the fee to UNDP as a MIE for this project.

D. Table 6: Results framework for the programme proposal, including milestones, targets and indicators.

Objective: To diversify and promote climate resilient agro-pastoral practices in rural Djibouti			
Outcomes and Indicators	Targets and Milestones	Sources of Verification	Outputs and Indicators
<p>Outcome 1: Capacities to mobilize and secure sustainable water resources to agro-pastoral communities developed in the face of climate change</p> <p>Indicator 1.1: Number of planned boreholes, dams and water storage basins with associated solar pumping equipment in place and operational;</p> <p>Indicator 1.2: Water resources secured for shade garden irrigation to cover 228 ha and serve 30,000 people;</p>	<p>Completion of EIA and pedological, hydrological, and hydro-geological studies after first 6 months of project;</p> <p>All community members have been trained in good water practice guidelines within the first 3 months of water infrastructure development;</p> <p>At least one water management committee is created in each shade garden zone (6 total) after first year;</p> <p>228 ha and at least 30,000 people are served with secure water infrastructure;</p>	<p>Comprehensive review by PMU after 1 month of implementation;</p> <p>O&M manuals prepared by Month 6;</p> <p>Quarterly maintenance checks for boreholes and pumping systems;</p> <p>Annual reservoir seepage tests by PMU;</p> <p>Quarterly water quality tests;</p> <p>Training evaluation by PMU every 3 months;</p>	<p>Output 1.1. Rainfall-runoff and groundwater models developed and institutionalized within the Study and Research Center of Djibouti (Centre d'Études et de la Recherche de Djibouti, CERD) and the Water Department of the Ministry of Agriculture to project likely climate change impacts on the water availability in the areas of Petit Bara and Grand Bara;</p> <p>Indicator 1.1.1: Approved detailed EIA and submission of hydrological, hydro-geological reports indicating expected current and future water demand scenarios for appropriate locations;</p> <p>Output 1.2: Based on model outputs, controlled groundwater extraction, artificial recharge and climate “smart” management plans that take into account seasonal changes in precipitation as well as long term mean amounts, projections, developed, and benefiting 30,000 people;</p> <p>Indicator 1.2.1: Percentage of total hectares of agro-pastoralist's land which is irrigated by boreholes;</p> <p>Indicator 1.2.2: Amount of time spent in search of water from boreholes;</p> <p>Output 1.3: Community-based surface water harvesting infrastructures, such as earth dams, percolation basins and subsurface dams which increase surface supply and groundwater tables in support of shade-garden pilot schemes (see 2.1) introduced and related local management rules and structures established;</p>

		Annual survey; Project terminal evaluation.	<p>Indicator 1.3.1: Percentage of total hectares of agro-pastoralist's land which is irrigated by surface water sources;</p> <p>Indicator 1.3.2: Amount of time spent in search of water from surface water sources;</p> <p>Output 1.4: Good practice guidelines based on knowledge sharing for integrated groundwater and surface water maintenance and use developed through stakeholder-led and participatory processes including community water management teams, government water regulators, Ministry's technical staff, and agriculture extension services;</p> <p>Indicator 1.4.1: Number of maintenance efforts on water systems and percentage of successful local maintenance efforts;</p> <p>Indicator 14.2: Management plans and good practice guidelines emphasized and enforced by the water infrastructure management committee;</p>
<p>Outcome 2: Climate resilient agro-pastoral systems developed, providing greater forage production capacities, diversifying agricultural productions and</p>	<p>Technical staff of the Ministry of Agriculture and lead farmers from agro-pastoral zones have been trained in drought tolerant agriculture by the end of the 2nd year of the project;</p>	<p>Daily surveys of agro-pastoral plots by technical specialists;</p> <p>Monthly reports to PMU by agricultural technical</p>	<p>Output 2.1: Six sets of 38 pilot community-managed agro-pastoral shade garden plots (1 ha per family) established that includes date palms, multi-purpose fence trees, local and regional varieties of climate resilient forage, vegetables and fruits (henna, dates, jujube, and mango, etc) benefiting 228 agro-pastoral families - approximately 2,800 people;</p> <p>Indicator 2.1.1: Number of pastoralists engaged in shade gardening, agro-pastoral production;</p> <p>Indicator 2.1.2: Percentage of families who can produce fodder, fruit and vegetables to satisfy their needs</p>

<p>creating capacities for replication</p> <p>Indicator 2.1: Number of shade gardens developed including irrigation reservoirs, distribution networks, and fencing;</p> <p>Indicator 2.2: % of population who has developed shade gardens resilient to climate change impacts by demonstrating a) the cultivation of diversified, drought resistant grasses/trees, b) crop rotation techniques and c) water efficient irrigation practices.</p>	<p>228 shade garden owners have been trained in good agro-pastoral practices within the first 3 months of shade garden development;</p> <p>114 hectares of salt and drought-resistant forage established after 6 months of shade garden development;</p> <p>At least one women's tree seedling nursery has been created in each shade garden zone (6 total) by the end of the second year;</p> <p>114 hectares of productive fruit/vegetable plants and trees after 2 yrs.</p>	<p>specialists;</p> <p>Quarterly surveys by PMU;</p> <p>Project terminal evaluation.</p>	<p>with extra to sell in the market;</p> <p>Output 2.2: Improved extension service for shade gardening benefiting 228 agro-pastoral families - approximately 2,800 people (targeted training for extension service personnel and agro-pastoralists designed and delivered on the issues grazing, forage management, cultivation techniques, crop protection, water efficiency, composting methods, etc, in the context of increasing climate change pressures);</p> <p>Indicator 2.2.1: Number of project beneficiaries disaggregated according to gender that have been trained on cultivation techniques, crop rotation, livestock hygiene, etc by specialists;</p> <p>Indicator 2.2.2: Percentage change in beneficiaries' capacities to cultivate their own land and raise livestock autonomously;</p> <p>Output 2.3. Well-sized feed/forage stocking facilities created in both project locations to allow better management of forage availability over repeated drought periods;</p> <p>Indicator 2.3.1: Number of constructed storage facilities per agro-pastoral zone;</p> <p>Indicator 2.3.2: Percentage of project beneficiaries that utilize storage facilities;</p>
<p>Outcome 3: Micro-credit</p>	<p>228 agro-pastoralists in cooperatives have</p>	<p>Quarterly reviews by</p>	<p>Output 3.1: A three-tiered adaptation-oriented micro-finance scheme that supports climate-resilient shade gardening practices in the Grand and Petit Bara plains developed</p>

<p>products developed to facilitate and promote diversified and climate resilient agro-pastoral production systems</p>	<p>received financial education and training on micro-credit principals by ADDS 6 months after shade garden development;</p>	<p>ADDS;</p>	<p>through partnership with the Djiboutian Agency for Social Development (Agence Djiboutienne de Developpement Sociale, ADDS) which generates a total value of US\$ 300,000 throughout the project ;</p>
<p>Indicator 3.1 Number of MF products deployed to agro-pastoralists and pastoralists which provide loans ear-marked for adaptation to climate-change;</p>	<p>At least 300 agro-pastoralists and potentially 300 pastoralists organized in 6 cooperatives using MF products by the end of the project.</p>	<p>Quarterly surveys by PMU;</p>	<p>Indicator 3.1.1: Percentage of beneficiaries trained in micro-credit principles;</p>
<p>Indicator 3.2: % of total population using micro-credit products conditioned on establishing shade-gardens and related agro-pastoral activities to enhance their resilience to climate change.</p>	<p>At least 3 women cooperatives formed by the end of the project;</p> <p>At least 1 mobile banking service provided at the end of the 3rd year of the project.</p>	<p>Yearly reviews (for the last 3 years of the project) on the effectiveness of MF for adaptation by an independent, international specialist</p>	<p>Indicator 3.1.2: Number of technical guides prepared detailing how MF can be used for adaptation-oriented activities;</p> <p>Output 3.2: At least 300 agro-pastoralists have been organised to form agro-pastoral cooperatives (including women cooperatives) to facilitate training on climate-resilient agro-pastoral practices and to support the development of financial literacy and the diversification of agricultural activities;</p> <p>Indicator 3.2.1: Number of loans and percentage of payback on loans;</p> <p>Indicator 3.2.2: Percentage of population in each tier of 3-tiered microfinance programme (safety net, nano- and microfinance)</p>
<p>Indicator 3.3: Community-driven adaptation plans are integrated into the</p>	<p>At least 4 established agro-pastoral cooperatives are formed by the end of the 3rd year to develop comprehensive climate adaptation</p>	<p>Project terminal evaluation.</p>	<p>Output 3.3: At least 4 established agro-pastoral cooperatives develop comprehensive climate adaptation plans incorporating lessons learned on best practices for shade gardens to be integrated into the National Programme for Food Security and the National Microfinance Policy in order to facilitate the replication of shade-garden-based adaptation solutions.</p> <p>Indicator 3.3.1: Percentage of population which has been organized into adaptation plan cooperatives</p> <p>Indicator 3.3.2: Attendance and participation at bi-annual workshops used to document community</p>

National Programme for Food Security and the National MF Policy	plans 6 bi-annual workshops have been conducted to facilitate the collection and documentation of ideas to promote sustainable MF products at each stage of the shade garden development process		recommended measures to improve the adaptation-oriented MF products
---	---	--	---

E. Detailed budget with budget notes, a budget on the Implementing Entity management fee use, and an explanation and a breakdown of the execution costs.

Award ID:	00066414
Project ID:	Project 00082602 (PIMS 4683)
Business unit	DJI10
Project title:	Developing agro-pastoral shade gardens
Implementing partner	Ministry on the Habitat, Environment and Urbanism, Djibouti

Project Outcome/Atlas Activity	Implementing agent	Donor name	Budget description	Total (USD)	yr1	yr2	yr3	yr4	yr5	Notes
					2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
OUTCOME 1: Sustainable access to secured water resources in the face of climate change										
Activity 1.1.1 Initial pedological, hydrological and hydrogeological modeling study including an analysis of current water resource availability and demand and projections of climate change scenarios for water availability in Petit Bara and Grand Bara watersheds	MHEU	Adaption Fund	Operating Expenses	10'000	10'000					1
			National Experts	30'000	30'000					2
			Sub-contracts (including Materials & Equipment)	60'000	60'000					3
			Printing & Publication	3'000	3'000					4
			Sub-Total Activity 1.1.1	103'000	103'000					
Activity 1.1.2 Detailed Environmental Impact Assessment on the design of dams and the irrigation networks including water quality analyses in accordance with Djiboutian regulations			Stakeholder consultations	6'000	6'000					5
			Operating Expenses	2'000	2'000					6
			National Experts	12'000	12'000					7
			Printing & Publication	3'000	3'000					8
			Sub-Total Activity 1.1.2	23'000	23'000					
Activity 1.1.3 Identification of suitable sites for retention basins, subsurface dams and boreholes based on group consensus amongst beneficiaries and Ministries			Stakeholder consultations	6'000	6'000					9
			Operating Expenses	2'000	2'000					10
			National Experts	5'000	5'000					11
			Sub-Total Activity 1.1.3	13'000	13'000					
			Activity 1.2.1 Training for the technical staff of the Ministry of Water on surface water harvesting, artificial recharge and sustainable water resources management	International Expert	25'000	25'000				
Modeling software/IT equipment	22'000	22'000						13		
Printing & Publication	3'000	3'000						14		
Sub-Total Activity 1.4.3	50'000	50'000								
Activity 1.2.2 Design of artificial recharge and generation of O&M	International Expert	25'000		25'000					15	
	Operating Expenses	2'000	2'000					16		

manuals for solar-powered boreholes pumping systems									
Activity 1.2.3 Construction of 6 extraction boreholes (4 Grand Bara, 2 Petit Bara) and rehabilitation of 1 borehole for injection									
Activity 1.3.1 Design of earth dams, percolation and retention basins and subsurface dams with O&M manuals									
Activity 1.3.2 Construction of 6 earth dams with either retention or percolation basins									
Activity 1.3.3 Construction of 8 subsurface dams									
Activity 1.3.4 Monitoring of dam infrastructure works to ensure robust construction and mitigation of any potential adverse social or environmental impacts									
Activity 1.4.1 Creation and training of community-based water infrastructure management committees to development local cost-recovery mechanisms, management plans and good practice guidelines									
Activity 1.4.2 Design of a water permit and tariff structure to be implemented into a national legal framework for water resource management to raise awareness of water efficiency and free resources for water infrastructure maintenance									
Activity 1.4.3 Development of a standardized system for capturing lessons learned on community mobilization tactics, water management strategies and cost recovery mechanisms to be continually incorporated into good water practice guidelines									
Sub-Total Activity 1.2.1			27'000	27'000					
Sub-contracts (including Materials & Equipment)			840'000	278'000	562'000			17	
Operating Expenses			5'000	2'000	3'000			18	
Sub-Total Activity 1.2.2			845'000	280'000	565'000				
International Expert			25'000	25'000				19	
Operating Expenses			5'000	5'000				20	
Sub-Total Activity 1.3.1			30'000	30'000					
Sub-contracts (including Materials & Equipment)			580'000	145'000	290'000	145'000		21	
Operating Expenses			20'000	5'000	10'000	5'000		22	
Sub-Total Activity 1.3.2			600'000	150'000	300'000	150'000			
Sub-contracts (including Materials & Equipment)			80'000	26'000	54'000			23	
Operating Expenses			5'000	2'000	3'000			24	
Sub-Total Activity 1.3.3			85'000	28'000	57'000				
Monitoring by Ministry representatives			21'000	5'000	11'000	5'000		25	
Operating Expenses			7'000	2'000	3'000	2'000		26	
Sub-Total Activity 1.3.4			28'000	7'000	14'000	7'000			
Training			20'000		5'000	5'000	5'000	5'000	27
Printing & Publication			4'000		1'000	1'000	1'000	1'000	28
Operating Expenses			8'000		2'000	2'000	2'000	2'000	29
National Experts			18'000		4'500	4'500	4'500	4'500	30
Sub-Total Activity 1.4.1			50'000		12'500	12'500	12'500	12'500	
International Expert			18'000				18'000		31
Operating Expenses			4'000				4'000		32
Printing & Publication			2'000				2'000		33
Sub-Total Activity 1.4.2			24'000				24'000		
Workshops			20'000		5'000	5'000	5'000	5'000	34
Printing & Publication			8'000		2'000	2'000	2'000	2'000	35
Operating Expenses			4'000		1'000	1'000	1'000	1'000	36
Sub-Total Activity 1.4.3			32'000		8'000	8'000	8'000	8'000	
Sub Total Outcome 1			1'910'000	711'000	956'500	177'500	44'500	20'500	
OUTCOME 2: Shade gardens to support diversified and climate-resilient agro-pastoral production system									
Activity 2.1.1 Selection of agro-pastoral	MHEU	Adaptation	Stakeholder consultations	6'000		6'000			37

beneficiaries based on criteria through community meetings with project representatives		Fund	Operating Expenses	2'000		2'000				38
			Sub-Total Activity 2.1.1	8'000		8'000				
Activity 2.1.2 Construction of planned enclosure with robust fencing materials in addition to natural trees			Sub-contracts (including Materials & Equipment)	300'000		74'000	152'000	74'000		39
			Operating Expenses	5'000		1'000	3'000	1'000		40
			Sub-Total Activity 2.1.2	305'000		75'000	155'000	75'000		
Activity 2.1.3 Construction of concrete water reservoirs (cisterns) and irrigation networks for six 38 ha plots			Operating Expenses	5'000		1'000	3'000	1'000		41
			Sub-contracts (including Materials & Equipment)	374'000		94'000	186'000	94'000		42
			Sub-Total Activity 2.1.3	379'000		95'000	189'000	95'000		
Activity 2.1.4 Preparation of 6 sites (38 ha each)			Sub-contracts (including Materials & Equipment)	26'000		5'000	16'000	5'000		43
			Operating Expenses	6'000		2'000	2'000	2'000		44
			Equipment	199'000		51'000	97'000	51'000		45
			Sub-Total Activity 2.1.4	231'000		58'000	115'000	58'000		
Activity 2.1.5 Seeding of plots for grass cultivation			Materials	6'000			4'000	2'000		46
			Sub-Total Activity 2.1.5	6'000			4'000	2'000		
Activity 2.1.6 Purchase of fruit and vegetable plants/trees including date trees			Materials	160'000			64'000	96'000		47
			Sub-Total Activity 2.1.6	160'000			64'000	96'000		
Activity 2.1.7 Establishment of new tree seedling nurseries for women's organizations			National Agronomist Expert	5'000			2'000	3'000		48
			Materials	16'000			5'000	11'000		49
			Sub-Total Activity 2.1.7	21'000			7'000	14'000		
Activity 2.1.8 Supplementary reforestation of climate resilient species to reduce evapotranspiration, stabilize soil, and mitigate the loss of vegetation by grazing			National Agronomist Experts	10'500			3'500	3'500	3'500	50
			Operating Expenses	10'500			3'500	3'500	3'500	51
			Materials	15'000			5'000	5'000	5'000	52
			Sub-Total Activity 2.1.8	36'000			12'000	12'000	12'000	
Activity 2.2.1 Training for the technical staff of the Ministry of Agriculture in drought tolerant agricultural practices			International Experts	25'000			15'000	10'000		53
			Training	40'000			25'000	15'000		54
			Sub-Total Activity 2.2.1	65'000			40'000	25'000		
Activity 2.2.2 Training of all agro-pastoralist households by specialists in extension services to help them develop sustainable farming production methods, farming techniques and hygiene			National Experts	39'000			8'000	23'000	8'000	55
			Training	57'000			14'000	29'000	14'000	56
			Printing and publication	9'000			3'000	3'000	3'000	57
			Sub-Total Activity 2.2.2	105'000			25'000	55'000	25'000	
Activity 2.3.1 Sizing and construction of fodder, crop and milk storage facilities (21 m x 9 m) with scale weighing equipment			National Expert	8'000				3'000	5'000	58
			Sub-contracts (including Materials & Equipment)	174'000				77'000	97'000	59
			Sub-Total Activity 2.3.1	182'000				80'000	102'000	
			Sub Total Outcome 2	1'498'000			236'000	611'000	512'000	139'000
OUTCOME 3: Access to secured finance for climate resilient agro-pastoral enterprise development										

Activity 3.1.1 Development of three-stage MF product including a safety net program for cooperatives, nanofinance for small, flexible loans and microfinance loans for diversified, revenue-generating activities with the assistance of an international and national experts	MHEU	Adaptation Fund	National Experts	18'000	5'000	8'000	5'000			60	
International Expert			28'000	8'000	12'000	8'000			61		
Stakeholder consultations			5'000	1'000	3'000	1'000			62		
Printing and publication			4'000	1'000	2'000	1'000			63		
Sub-Total Activity 3.1.1			40'000	15'000	25'000	15'000					
Training			35'000	8'000	19'000	8'000			64		
Printing and publication			10'000	2'000	6'000	2'000			65		
Sub-Total Activity 3.1.2			35'000	10'000	25'000	10'000					
Printing and publication			28'000		10'000	6'000	6'000	6'000	66		
Sub-Total Activity 3.1.3			28'000		10'000	6'000	6'000	6'000			
Activity 3.1.4 Mobile banking development to provide microfinance services to beneficiaries with no means of travel					Supplies	41'800		41'800		67	
Sub-Total Activity 3.1.4			41'800				41'800				
Activity 3.1.5 Long-term and periodic monitoring and evaluation of adaptation-oriented microfinance					National Experts (ADDS)	25'000		5'000	10'000	10'000	68
					International Expert	25'000		5'000	10'000	10'000	69
					Sub-Total Activity 3.1.5	50'000		10'000	20'000	20'000	
Activity 3.2.1 Organization of agro-pastoralists and pastoralists in cooperatives and training for cooperatives in terms of loan repayment programs, savings accounts, sustainable farming practices and the diversification of agricultural products					National Experts (ADDS)	48'000		8'000	20'000	20'000	70
					Training	49'000		5'000	22'000	22'000	71
					Printing and publication	9'000		1'000	4'000	4'000	72
					Misc	9'000		1'000	4'000	4'000	73
					Sub-Total Activity 3.2.1	115'000		15'000	50'000	50'000	
Activity 3.2.2 Development of diversified women's microfinance groups with emphasis on women empowerment					Training	18'500		5'000	6'500	7'000	74
					National Expert (ADDS)	16'500		5'000	5'500	6'000	75
					Sub-Total Activity 3.2.2	35'000		10'000	12'000	13'000	
Activity 3.3.1 Organization of agro-pastoralists into cooperatives which will provide recommendations on measures to improve the adaptation-oriented MF products					National Expert (ADDS)	10'000		10'000			76
					Printing and publication	5'000		5'000			77
					Misc	4'000		4'000			78
					Sub-Total Activity 3.1.5	15'000		15'000			
Activity 3.3.2 Bi-annual workshops organized by ADDS to facilitate the collection and documentation of ideas to promote sustainable MF products for each stage of shade garden development			National Expert (ADDS)	12'000		4'000	4'000	4'000	79		
			Workshops	14'000		4'000	5'000	5'000	80		
			Printing and publication	3'000		1'000	1'000	1'000	81		
			Misc	9'000		1'000	4'000	4'000	82		
			Sub-Total Activity 3.2.1	38'000		10'000	14'000	14'000			
Activity 3.3.3 Formalization of the			National Expert (ADDS)	18'000		5'000	5'000	8'000	83		

community-driven adaptation plans so that they can be integrated into the National Programme for Food Security and the National Microfinance Policy			Printing and publication	7'000			1'000	2'000	4'000	84
			Sub-Total Activity 3.2.2	25'000			6'000	7'000	12'000	
Activity 3.3.4 Organization, centralization and promotion of lessons learned on best shade gardening practices via written and video reports, workshops and study tours			Workshops and study tours	21'000			5'000	8'000	8'000	85
			Printing and publication	6'000			2'000	2'000	2'000	86
			Operating Expenses	1'000			1'000	1'000	1'000	87
			Sub-Total Activity 3.2.2	30'000			8'000	11'000	11'000	
Sub Total Outcome 3				477'800	25'000	60'000	146'800	120'000	126'000	
Project/Programme Execution										
Project Management	MHEU	Adaptation Fund	In-Country Logistics	60'000	60'000					88
			Contractual Services (Project Management & Administration)	337'800	58'460	55'460	80460	55'460	87'960	89
			Supplies	10'000	2'000	2'000	2'000	2'000	2'000	90
			Sub Total Project Management	407'800	120'460	57'460	82'460	57'460	89'960	
			Sub Total Project/Programme Execution	407'800	120'460	57'460	82'460	57'460	89'960	Advance
TOTAL Project Implementation Costs				4'293'600	865'460	1'309'960	1'017'760	733'960	375'460	
MIE fee for services detailed in ANNEX V (8.5%)				364'956	43'679	66'808	51'906	37'432	19'148	145'983
GRAND TOTAL				4'658'556	1'046'122	1'376'768	1'069'666	771'392	394'608	

Abbreviations:

MHEU - Ministry of the Habitat, Environment and Urbanism
MoWNR - Ministry of Water, Energy and Natural Resources
MoALF - Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries
ADDS - Djiboutian Agency for Social Development, (l'Agence Djiboutienne de Développement Social)
CERD : Centre for studies and research of Djibouti

Budget Notes:

- 1 Travel associated with site surveys
- 2 National experts to provide expertise and technical assistance in modeling and mapping
- 3 Costs of sub-contracts including IT equipment to perform modeling and field survey equipment
- 4 Costs of printing and publication associated with technical studies and recommendations
- 5 Costs associated with undertaking stakeholder consultations, including holding workshops
- 6 Travel associated with site surveys for EIA
- 7 National experts to conduct EIA
- 8 Costs of printing and publication to publicly display detailed EIA
- 9 Costs of stakeholders meetings to decide water source locations (e.g. printing of information leaflets for raising community involvement)
- 10 Travel associated with stakeholder meetings
- 11 National experts from all ministries to provide expertise and technical assistance for the locations of water resources
- 12 International Expert (3 staff months per year) to provide training to Ministry of Water
- 13 Costs of IT equipment to perform modeling for water resource scenarios

14 *Costs of printing and publication for training and design materials*
15 *International Expert (1 staff month) to provide expertise on recharge design for the injection well*
16 *Travel costs for borehole design surveys*
17 *Costs of sub-contracts including materials and equipment to construct groundwater abstraction and injection*
18 *Travel associated with construction and monitoring*
19 *International Expert (4.5 staff months) to provide expertise on subsurface dams*
20 *Field inspection expenses for design evaluation*
21 *Costs of sub-contracts including materials and equipment to construct earth dams and retention basins*
22 *Travel and monitoring costs during construction*
23 *Costs of sub-contracts including materials and equipment to construct subsurface dams*
24 *Travel and monitoring costs during construction*
25 *Cost of engaging representatives from the Ministry of Water and the Ministry of the Environment to monitor dam construction activities*
26 *Costs of travel to sites for monitoring and reports*
27 *Cost of undertaking targeted training for national and local authority staff in water resource management planning*
28 *Costs of printing and publication associated with the water resource management (e.g. printing of information leaflets for raising community awareness)*
29 *Travel costs associated with training local groups on sustainable water management practices*
30 *National expert to provide training on water resource management*
31 *International Expert (3 staff months per year) to provide expertise on water tariff structures*
32 *Meeting costs*
33 *Costs of printing and publication for new water tariff regulations*
34 *Workshops held by PMU to consolidate lessons learned*
35 *Costs of printing and publication of lessons learned*
36 *Operating expenses to meet with local populations*
37 *Costs associated with undertaking stakeholder consultations to determine project beneficiaries*
38 *Expenses of stakeholder meetings*
39 *Costs of sub-contracts including materials and equipment to provide fencing around each shade garden site*
40 *Costs to set-up fencing*
41 *Costs of sub-contracts including materials and equipment to construct reservoirs and irrigation networks*
42 *Costs associated with irrigation network construction*
43 *Costs of sub-contracts including materials and equipment to prepare sites*
44 *Travel costs to sites and delivery costs for equipment*
45 *Cost of farming equipment such as shovels, wheelbarrows and watering cans*
46 *Cost of drought-resistant seeds*
47 *Cost of date trees and drought-resistant fruit and vegetable trees*
48 *National expert to help with design and construction of nurseries*
49 *Cost of drought-resistant seeds*
50 *National expert to help with design and planting of drought-resistant tree/shrubs*
51 *Transport and delivery costs*
52 *Cost of drought-resistant tree/shrub seedlings*
53 *International Expert (4 staff months) to provide expertise on agro-pastoralism, particularly date tree cultivation*
54 *Cost of undertaking targeted training for national and local authority staff in building capacity for agronomists involved in the project*
55 *National experts and lead agro-pastoralists to provide training to project beneficiaries*
56 *Cost of undertaking targeted training for local agro-pastoralists*
57 *Costs of printing and publication for information on sustainable agro-pastoralist methods*
58 *National expert to provide technical expertise on storage design and construction*
59 *Costs of sub-contracts including materials and equipment to construct storage facilities*
60 *National experts to provide expertise on microfinance products for sustainable agriculture*
61 *International Expert (4 staff months) to provide expertise on developing MF products for adaptation*
62 *Costs associated with undertaking stakeholder consultations to help create effective MF products*
63 *Costs of printing and publication for MF products*

64 Cost of undertaking targeted training for national and local staff with managing and training MF products
65 Costs of printing and publication associated with MF products to raise community involvement
66 Cost of printing and publication of technical guides on microfinance principals and sustainable agro-pastoral techniques
67 Cost of truck, fuel and supplies to enable a mobile banking service
68 National experts from ADDS to perform long-term monitoring and evaluation on the effectiveness of the MF products with recommendations for improvements
69 Independent international expert to verify that loans are used for adaptation purposes
70 National experts to provide beneficiaries with knowledge on financial literacy and the MF products and to help create MF cooperatives
71 Cost of undertaking targeted training for MF cooperatives
72 Costs of printing and publication associated with MF products to raise community involvement
73 Miscellaneous costs associated with implementation of the activity
74 Cost of undertaking targeted training for women to form MF cooperatives
75 National expert to help create women MF cooperatives
76 National expert from ADDS to organize cooperatives which will provide adaptation plans
77 Costs of printing and publication associated with raising community involvement in adaptation planning
78 Miscellaneous costs such as fuel for vehicles associated with organization of cooperatives
79 National expert from ADDS to facilitate workshops
80 Bi-annual workshops to create community-driven adaptation plans
81 Costs of printing and publication material to facilitate adaptation planning workshops
82 Miscellaneous costs of workshop meetings
83 National expert from ADDS to integrate community priorities into the National Action Plan and the National MF Strategy
84 Printing and publication of adaptation plans
85 Workshops and study tours to organize lessons learned on best shade gardening practices and promote the shade gardening concept
86 Costs of printing and publication of lessons learned
87 Operating expenses to collect lessons learned in the field
88 Purchase of 2 vehicles for the project region
89 Contracts for project management and support staff, Monitoring & Evaluation expenses (Inception meeting, Mid-term and Final Evaluations, Meetings)
90 Cost of office supplies and disposables

F. Disbursement Schedule

	Upon Agreement signature	One Year after Project Start ^{a/}	Year 2 ^{b/}	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total
Scheduled Date	9/12	9/13	9/14	9/15	9/16	9/17	
Project Funds		856,460	1,309,960	1,017,760	733,960	375,460	4,293,600
Implementing Entity Fee	145,983	43,679	66,808	51,906	37,432	19,148	364,956

^{a/}Use projected start date to approximate first year disbursement

^{b/}Subsequent dates will follow the year anniversary of project start

PART IV: ENDORSEMENT BY GOVERNMENT AND CERTIFICATION BY THE IMPLEMENTING ENTITY


A. RECORD OF ENDORSEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT

Provide the name and position of the government official and indicate date of endorsement. If this is a regional project/programme, list the endorsing officials all the participating countries. The endorsement letter(s) should be attached as an annex to the project/programme proposal. Please attach the endorsement letter(s) with this template; add as many participating governments if a regional project/programme:

Mr. Dini Abdallah Omar Ministry of Habitat, Environment and Urbanism, Djibouti	Date: April, 19, 2012
--	-----------------------

B. IMPLEMENTING ENTITY CERTIFICATION

Provide the name and signature of the Implementing Entity Coordinator and the date of signature. Provide also the project/programme contact person's name, telephone number and email address

I certify that this proposal has been prepared in accordance with guidelines provided by the Adaptation Fund Board, and prevailing National Development and Adaptation Plans and subject to the approval by the Adaptation Fund Board, understands that the Implementing Entity will be fully (legally and financially) responsible for the implementation of this project/programme.	
	
Yannick Glemarec Director Environmental Finance Implementing Entity Coordinator	
Date: May 22, 2012	Tel. and email: +1-212 906-6843; yannick.glemarec@undp.org
Project Contact Person: Keti Chachibaia (LECRDS)	
Tel. And Email: +421 2 59337422; keti.chachibaia@undp.org	

Annex A: GANTT Chart

	Yr-1				Yr-2				Yr-3				Yr-4				Yr-5				TOTAL BUDGET (USD)
	QR-1	QR-2	QR-3	QR-4	QR-1	QR-2	QR-3	QR-4	QR-1	QR-2	QR-3	QR-4	QR-1	QR-2	QR-3	QR-4	QR-1	QR-2	QR-3	QR-4	
COMPONENT 1: Sustainable access to secured water resources in the face of climate change																					
Activity 1.1.1 Initial pedological, hydrological and hydrogeological modeling study including an analysis of current water resource availability and demand and projections of climate change scenarios for water availability in Petit Bara and Grand Bara watersheds		103'000																			103'000
Activity 1.1.2 Detailed Environmental Impact Assessment on the design of dams and the irrigation networks including water quality analyses in accordance with Djiboutian regulations		23'000																			23'000
Activity 1.1.3 Identification of suitable sites for retention basins, subsurface dams and boreholes based on group consensus amongst beneficiaries and Ministries			13'000																		13'000
Activity 1.2.1 Training for the technical staff of the Ministry of Water on surface water harvesting, artificial recharge and sustainable water resources management		50'000																			50'000
Activity 1.2.2 Design of artificial recharge and generation of O&M manuals for solar-powered boreholes pumping systems		27'000																			27'000
Activity 1.2.3 Construction of 6 extraction boreholes (4 Grand Bara, 2 Petit Bara) and rehabilitation of 1 borehole for injection		280'000				565'000															845'000
Activity 1.3.1 Design of earth dams, percolation and retention basins and subsurface dams with O&M manuals		30'000																			30'000
Activity 1.3.2 Construction of 6 earth dams with either retention or percolation basins		150'000				300'000				150'000											600'000
Activity 1.3.3 Construction of 8 subsurface dams		28'000				57'000															85'000
Activity 1.3.4 Monitoring of dam infrastructure works to ensure robust construction and mitigation of any potential adverse social or environmental impacts		7'000				14'000				7'000											28'000

Activity 3.3.2 Bi-annual workshops organized by ADDS to facilitate the collection and documentation of ideas to promote sustainable MF products for each stage of shade garden development																					10,000	14,000	14,000	38,000		
Activity 3.3.3 Formalization of the community-driven adaptation plans so that they can be integrated into the National Programme for Food Security and the National Microfinance Policy																					6,000	7,000	12,000	25,000		
Activity 3.3.4 Organization, centralization and promotion of lessons learned on best shade gardening practices via written and video reports, workshops and study tours																					8,000	11,000	11,000	30,000		
SUB TOTAL																					25,000	60,000	146,800	477,800		
																					Yr-1	Yr-2	Yr-3	Yr-4	Yr-5	TOTAL
																					QR-1 QR-2 QR-3 QR-4	QR-1 QR-2 QR-3 QR-4	QR-1 QR-2 QR-3 QR-4	QR-1 QR-2 QR-3 QR-4	QR-1 QR-2 QR-3 QR-4	BUDGET (USD)
MIE Fee for Services																					189,662	66,808	51,906	37,432	19,148	364,956
EXECUTION COSTS																					120,460	57,460	82,460	57,460	89,960	407,800
GRAND TOTAL																					1,046,122	1,376,768	1,069,666	771,392	394,608	4,658,556

ANNEX B - Project Execution Costs

Function	Profile	year I	year II	year III	year IV	year V	total
National Programme Manager	Int'l experience, min. Master, min. 7 years experience	36,000	36,000	36,000	36,000	36,000	180,000
Administrative & finance support	Local, professional, min. 3 years experience	13,200	13,200	13,200	13,200	13,200	66,000
Country logistics (2 vehicles)		60,000					60,000
Supplies		2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	10,000
<i>Monitoring & Evaluation:</i>							
Inception Workshop		3,000					3,000
Meetings of National Project Steering Committee / Climate Change Country Team		3,260	3,260	3,260	3,260	3,260	16,300
Mid-term Evaluation				25,000			25,000
Final Evaluation / Terminal Report						32,500	32,500
Audits		3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	15,000
Totals:		120,460	57,460	82,460	57,460	89,960	
Total Project Execution Costs						USD	407,800

Annex C: UNDP Environmental Finance – Specialized Technical Services

The implementing entity fee will be utilized by UNDP to cover its indirect costs in the provision of general management support and specialized technical support services. The table below provides an indicative breakdown of the estimated costs of providing these services. If the national entity carrying out the project requests additional Implementation Support Services (ISS), an additional fee will apply in accordance with UNDP fee policy regarding ISS and would be charged directly to the project budget.

Category	<u>Indicative Services[1] Provided by UNDP</u>	<u>Estimated Cost (USD) of Providing Services[2]</u>
Identification, Sourcing and Screening of Ideas	<p>Provide information on substantive issues in adaptation associated with the purpose of the Adaptation Fund (AF).</p> <p>Engage in upstream policy dialogue related to a potential application to the AF.</p> <p>Verify soundness and potential eligibility of identified idea for AF.</p>	18 248
Feasibility Assessment / Due Diligence Review	<p>Provide up-front guidance on converting general idea into a feasible project/programme.</p> <p>Source technical expertise in line with the scope of the project/programme.</p> <p>Verify technical reports and project conceptualization.</p> <p>Provide detailed screening against technical, financial, social and risk criteria and provide statement of likely eligibility against AF requirements.</p> <p>Determination of execution modality and local capacity assessment of the national executing entity.</p> <p>Assist in identifying technical partners.</p> <p>Validate partner technical abilities.</p> <p>Obtain clearances from AF.</p>	54 743
Development & Preparation	<p>Provide technical support, backstopping and troubleshooting to convert the idea into a technically feasible and operationally viable project/programme.</p> <p>Source technical expertise in line with the scope of the project/programme needs.</p> <p>Verify technical reports and project conceptualization.</p>	72 991

	<p>Verify technical soundness, quality of preparation, and match with AF expectations.</p> <p>Negotiate and obtain clearances by AF.</p> <p>Respond to information requests, arrange revisions etc.</p>	
Implementation	<p>Technical support in preparing TORs and verifying expertise for technical positions.</p> <p>Provide technical and operational guidance project teams.</p> <p>Verification of technical validity / match with AF expectations of inception report.</p> <p>Provide technical information as needed to facilitate implementation of the project activities.</p> <p>Provide advisory services as required.</p> <p>Provide technical support, participation as necessary during project activities.</p> <p>Provide troubleshooting support if needed.</p> <p>Provide support and oversight missions as necessary.</p> <p>Provide technical monitoring, progress monitoring, validation and quality assurance throughout.</p> <p>Allocate and monitor Annual Spending Limits based on agreed work plans.</p> <p>Receipt, allocation and reporting to the AFB of financial resources.</p> <p>Oversight and monitoring of AF funds.</p> <p>Return unspent funds to AF.</p>	164 230
Evaluation and Reporting	<p>Provide technical support in preparing TOR and verify expertise for technical positions involving evaluation and reporting.</p> <p>Participate in briefing / debriefing.</p> <p>Verify technical validity / match with AF expectations of all evaluation and other reports</p> <p>Undertake technical analysis, validate results, and compile lessons.</p> <p>Disseminate technical findings</p>	54 743
Total		364 956

[1] This is an indicative list only. Actual services provided may vary and may include additional services not listed here. The level and volume of services provided varies according to need.

[2] The breakdown of estimated costs is indicative only.

[i] This is the total fee for UNDP services provided as Implementing Entity. If the Implementing Partner (the national entity carrying out the project) requests additional Implementation Support Services (ISS), an additional fee will apply in accordance with UNDP fee policy regarding ISS. Whilst the total fee will be \$229,500, the breakdown provided is an estimate only.

Service standards:

1. initial response to communication within 2 working days
2. full response to communication (with the exception of a response requiring travel) within 10 working days

Annex D: Parties Consulted


Person consulted	Institution	Position	Comments and Discussion Topics
Taher Ibrahim Issa	Djiboutian Breeders Professional Association	Agro-pastoralist	-Recommendations and costs for shade gardens and livestock
Dini Abdallah Omar	Ministry of Habitat, Environment and Urbanism	Secretary-general	-Institutional arrangement
Houssein Ahmed Rirach	Ministry of Habitat, Environment and Urbanism	Director of Environment	-Institutional arrangement
Souad Souleiman	Ministry of Water, Energy and Natural Resources	Director of Water department	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Current and future groundwater abstractions / recharges - Department capacity and management practices - Project alternatives - Available funds for scaling-up - adaptation of water resources to climate change
Aouled Djama Ahmed	Ministry of Water, Energy and Natural Resources	Head Engineer	- Design and costs of surface water mobilization projects with recommendations for sustainable infrastructure
Salah Daoud	Ministry of Water, Energy and Natural Resources	Engineer	- Plans for proposed surface water mobilization projects
Ahmed Hassan	Ministry of Water, Energy and Natural Resources	technician	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Costs of boreholes and associated equipment - Pipeline costs - Operation and maintenance costs
Idriss Abdou	Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries	Secretary general	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Institutional arrangements - Action plan for agro-pastoral plots - Importance of water mobilization in Grand and Petit Bara - Pedology in project regions - Alternatives to the project - Available funds for scaling-up - Environmental Impact Assessments

Person consulted	Institution	Position	Comments and Discussion Topics
Abdi Ali Robleh	Attar	Agro-pastoralist	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Revenue of garden is sufficient - 0.5 ha land area is not enough
Asli Adar	Nagad	Female garden owner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Need more salt-resistant grains, MF and feed for cows - Cooperatives must be created for women
Mohamud Bahouch	Djiboutian-Moroccan agriculture partnership in Attar	Head agronomist	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Drip irrigation works well - fruits and vegetables which are more salt and drought tolerant - Study site shows production of gardens can be high and lucrative
Youssef Robleh Miguil	Ali-Fadheen	Agro-pastoralist	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - With onions, melon and tomatoes, can make 3500 USD/yr - Agro-pastoral system is more sustainable than pastoral system alone
Loula Ismael	Ali-Fadheen	Female agro-pastoralist	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Interested in having MF loans to sustain shade garden and buy more fencing
Farhan Said Atteyeh	Omar Jagac	Community member	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Region with 250 families lacks sufficient water supply - People are motivated for agriculture since they have lost their livestock - To select future beneficiaries they would choose the families that have completely lost their livestock and are ready to work
Elmi Miguil	Omar Jagac	Village chief	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Agrees with rehabilitation of earth dam reservoir since this is their most important water source - Previous earth dam reservoir near Omar Jagac had too much leakage - Necessary to have robust water infrastructure designs
Ibrahim Omar Robleh	Omar Jagac	Community member	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - One civil association exists which promotes community healthcare

Person consulted	Institution	Position	Comments and Discussion Topics
Ali Gouled	Kourtimaley	Community head	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Needs diesel to power pump for reservoir -Grows 30 kg of vegetables /grasses/fruits per season -Seepage and the wind effect are important, combined they result in decrease of water storage
Hassan Gouled	Kourtimaley	Community member	-Community needs better functioning boreholes next to wadi reservoir
Said Miguil	Gabla Kalan	Community member	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -One borehole is not enough for 200 hundred families in the Wadi Gabla Qalan -Diesel fuel cost is too high so solar powered pumps are preferable
Hawa Bouh	Mouloud	Female farmer and in charge of milking goats	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -An agriculture cooperative exists -Needs more grains and transport -Water is not sufficient since community has grown, can only pump for 12 hrs -Needs MF to repair water works
Adar Abdillah	Mouloud	Female farmer and in charge of milking goats	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Needs more fencing -Women sell milk products but there is more revenue in selling cattle
Amina Aden	Mouloud	Female farmer and in charge of milking goats	-Cultivation between June and September provides 300,000 FD/yr, 1700 USD/yr
Abdallah Houmed Mohamed	Djiboutian Social Development Agency (ADDS)	Director of microfinance	-Current micro-credit and MF products and potential means to create new MF products for agro-pastoralists
Codjo Irénée	ADDS international consultant	MF product developer	-Necessary set-up for developing MF products for agro-pastoralists in terms of developing financial literacy
Zephirin Kapko	ADDS	Staff expert in microfinance	-Rural microfinance
Hawa Djama Idleh	Caisse Populaire d'Epargne et de Crédit (CPEC)	Director of CPEC	-Rural microfinance

Person consulted	Institution	Position	Comments and Discussion Topics
Kadar Ali Diraneh	Djiboutian Agency for Social Development (ADDS)	Director	-Rural microfinance -Institutional arrangement -Adaptation of rural population to climate change
Dr. Jalludin Mohamed	Centre for Studies and Research of Djibouti (CERD)	General Director	-In-depth hydro-geological and pedological studies are necessary for project water and agricultural needs -Costs and duration of studies were provided -Groundwater resources in Petit Bara and Grand Bara -Surface water mobilization in Grand and Petit Bara -Institutional arrangement -Adaptation of rural population to climate change
Ilyas Moussa Dawaleh	Minister of Finance	Minister	-Institutional arrangement -Government is fully committed to project -Adaptation of rural population to climate change
Mohamed Ahmed Awaleh	Ministry of Agriculture	Minister	-Institutional arrangement -Water and soil resources in the project region -Selection of the beneficiaries -Adaptation of rural population to climate change
Fouad Ahmed Ayeh	Ministry of Water	Minister	-Institutional arrangement -Water resources -Water regulation -Adaptation of rural population to climate change
Zahra Youssouf Kayad	Ministry of Solidarity	Minister	-Institutional arrangement -Rural microfinance -Adaptation of rural population to climate change

Annex E: Scheme for irrigation at each shade garden site



Note: 38 shade garden sites will be included. 16 are presented for illustration purposes.

Annex F: ADDS training pamphlet for agro-pastoralists, *How to successfully cultivate melons and watermelon*, (One of 8 training tools prepared by ADDS for their rural clients)




La sécurité alimentaire de la république de Djibouti est une priorité nationale. L'amélioration des techniques de production végétales est un moyen de parvenir à cet objectif.

C'est pourquoi l'ADDS et le secrétariat d'état à la Solidarité Nationale ont lancé la conception de livrets de vulgarisation agricole.

Illustrés et traduits en Français, Somali et Afar, ces livrets sont destinés aux cultivateurs : des espaces agropastoraux, des coopératives et à toutes les personnes désireuses de créer un jardin de production agricole.

Récoltons ce que nous semons.


Que dois-je faire pour bien cultiver mes melons et mes pastèques ?



Somali :
Sideen si fiican ugu beeri kara bartiikhayga iyo xabxabkayga?

Afar :
Maca abam faximta bartik ke cabcab buqre nagay daabissu ?

Avant la mise en place de la culture du melon ou de la pastèque, je dois aménager et préparer le terrain en retirant les blocs de pierres.



Somali :


Kahor intaan beerin Bartiikh amba Xabxabka, waa in aan dhulka diyaariyo oo aan ka guro dhagaaxda.

Afar :

bartik ke cabcab buqre qidamak naharat, baaxo ayye maqem faximta, rabe coox ke xeet kak kalak.

3

Pour assurer l'irrigation de la culture, j'aménage un forage ou des puits pour satisfaire les besoins en eau de la culture.



Somali :


Si aan beertayda biyo ugu helo, waa in aan qodo ceel.

Afar :

bartik buqre lee nagaye geytam gidah, qelwa ke forage kah dagam faximta.

4

Je dois clôturer mon périmètre avec des bois morts et un mur de pierre ou une haie vive pour protéger la culture contre des animaux.



Somali :

Waa in aan ku oodo beertayda geedo qalalay iyo dhagaax amba aan ka beero agagaarka beerta geedo (ooda nool) si aan uga ilaaliyo beerta xoolaha.

Afar :

buqre elle qiduwa booxal nuwi coox ke, kaffe bocot ken axem faximta alluwe culle watan gidah.

Annex G: Strategic Axis 2 of the National Microfinance Policy 2012-2016: Development and structuring of the MFI to have a viable and sustainable range of products and diversified services which are innovative and adapted into the needs and the development of entrepreneurial clients

Résultats	Actions	Modalités	Responsable de la mise en œuvre	Délai	Indicateur	Moyens de vérification	Supposition critique
2.1. Les IMF sont diversifiées	2.1.1. Elaborer et mettre en œuvre une stratégie de diversification des IMF	2.1.1.1. Adopter des mesures incitatives favorables à l'arrivée de nouvelles IMF sur le marché de la microfinance	SESN, BCD, Ministère des finances, CNMF	Continu	Nombre de mesures adoptées	Lois, décrets et mesures fiscales	Les mesures sont identifiées et le gouvernement en fait une priorité
		2.1.1.2. Promouvoir les IMF à capitaux privés	SESN, BCD, Ministère des finances, CNMF, ADDS	Continu	Nombre d'IMF à capitaux privés	Les IMF à capitaux privés créées	Le gouvernement en fait une priorité
		2.1.1.3. Promouvoir les IMF en milieu rural	SESN, BCD, Ministère des finances, CNMF, ADDS	continu	Nombre d'IMF en milieu rural	Les IMF ouverts en milieu rural	Le gouvernement en fait une priorité

2.2. L'offre de produit de services est de qualité et diversifiée	2.2.1. Identifier les besoins de la population en termes de produits et de services à offrir	2.2.1.1. Identifier les secteurs d'activités porteurs ou à promouvoir	SESN, CNMF, ADDS	2012 - 2013	Qualité de l'étude	Rapport d'études	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement mobilisées
		2.2.1.2. Faire la cartographie des régions ayant des potentialités de développement d'activités génératrice de revenus	SESN, CNMF, ADDS	2012-2013	Qualité de l'étude	Rapport d'études	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement mobilisées
	2.2.2. Adapter les produits et services aux besoins spécifiques de la population cible	2.2.2.1. Proposer des prototypes de produits adaptés aux besoins de financement rural	SESN, CNMF, ADDS	2012	Nombre de produits adaptés aux besoins de financement rural identifiés	Etudes des marchés	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement mobilisées et les IMF acceptent d'adapter leurs offres de produits et de services aux besoins de financement rural
		2.2.2.2. Etude d'identification des contraintes relatives à la mobilisation de l'épargne et à sa stabilisation	SESN, CNMF, ADDS	2012-2013	Qualité de l'étude	Rapport d'études	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement mobilisées

		2.2.2.3. Développer des produits d'épargne appropriés adaptés aux différentes couches de la population	IMF, ADDS	Continu	Nombre de produits d'épargne développés et accroissement des produits d'épargne	Base de données des IMF	Les IMF acceptent de diversifier leurs produits d'épargne
	2.2.3. Appui à l'innovation de nouveaux produits et services financiers dans les IMF (Créer des fonds de garanties spécifiques pour soutenir les IMF dans leur stratégie de diversification de leurs produits)	2.2.3.1. Etablir une cartographie des risques liés à chaque secteur d'activités à promouvoir	SESN, ADDS, CNMF	2012 - 2013	Qualité de l'étude	Le rapport d'études	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement mobilisées

		2.2.3.2. Organiser une étude sur la création des fonds de garantie sectoriel et ses modalités d'accès (agriculture, pêche, jeune diplômé des centres de formation professionnelle)	SESN, ADDS, CNMF, BCD	2012 - 2013	Qualité de l'étude	Le rapport d'études	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement mobilisées
		2.2.3.3. Mettre en place des fonds de garantie ou d'assurance - risque	SESN, ADDS, CNMF, BCD	continu	Nombre de fonds de garantie créés et fonds alloués	Fonds de garanties disponibles	Le gouvernement et les bailleurs en font une priorité
2.3. Les IMF sont bien structurées et professionnel les	2.3.1. Doter les IMF en ressources humaines, matérielles et financières appropriées	2.3.1.1. Mener une évaluation des besoins des IMF en ressources humaines, matérielles et financières	IMF ; SESN, ADDS, CNMF	Continu	Pertinence de l'évaluation	Rapport d'évaluation	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement mobilisées
		2.3.1.2. Elaborer et mettre en œuvre le programme national de formation du personnel technique et des élus des IMF	IMF ; ADDS	continu	Nombre de programmes élaborés et mis en œuvre	Programme de formation et de rapport de formation	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement immobilisées et les acteurs y trouvent un intérêt

		2.3.1.3. Favoriser des échanges d'expériences nationales et sous – régionales	IMF ; ADDS, SESN, CNMF	Continu	Nombre de voyages d'échanges	Rapports de mission de	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement immobilisées
		2.3.1.4. Permettre la participation des acteurs de la microfinance (supervision, encadrement et techniciens) à des ateliers et séminaires internationaux sur la microfinance	SESN, ADDS, CNMF, BCD,	continu	Nombre d'ateliers suivis et nombre de bénéficiaires	Rapports de mission de	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement immobilisées
	2.3.2. Développer l'expertise locale	2.3.2.1. Renforcer par les compétences des formateurs locaux (formation de formateurs)	SESN, ADDS, CNMF	Continu	Nombre de sessions et nombre de bénéficiaires	Rapport de formations de	Les ressources nécessaires sont mobilisées
		2.3.2.2. Former les cabinets d'expertise comptable et de commissariat sur l'audit externe des IMF	SESN, ADDS, CNMF, BCD	continu	Nombre de session de formation et nombre de bénéficiaires	Rapport de formations de	Les ressources nécessaires sont mobilisées et les cabinets d'expertises y trouvent un intérêt
		2.3.2.3. Instaurer des modules de microfinance dans les filières de formation en gestion de l'université et des centre de formation	SESN, Ministère de l'éducation, Université, Centre de formation	Dès que possible	Qualité des modules intégrés dans les cursus de formation	Le programme de formation dans les universités et centres de formation	Le gouvernement en fait une priorité

	2.3.3. Structurer les CPEC en réseau dotées d'une faïtière	2.3.3.1. Procéder à l'étude sur la création du réseau des CPEC	ADDS, BCD	2013	Qualité de l'étude	Rapport d'études	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement mobilisées
		2.3.3.2. Assistance technique à la mise en place de la faïtière des CPEC	ADDS	2013	Qualité de la mission	Rapport de mission	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement mobilisées
	2.3.4. Mettre en place une base de données sur la microfinance	2.3.4.1. Créer une banque de données sur les IMF	BCD, IMF	2012	Nombre d'IMF impliquées, fiabilité des informations	La base de données	Les IMF acceptent de fournir régulièrement les informations fiables
	2.3.5. Mettre en place une centrale des risques	2.3.5.1. Assurer la mise en place de la centrale des risques	BCD, IMF	2012	Nombre d'IMF impliquées, fiabilité des informations	La centrale des risques	La BCD en fait une priorité, les IMF coopèrent et les ressources sont effectivement mobilisées
		2.3.5.2. Informer et former les IMF à une transmission régulière de données fiables	BCD	continu	Nombre d'IMF concernées et formées	Rapport d'activités, rapport de fin de formation	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement mobilisées
2.4. Développer la culture entrepreneuriale est développée auprès des cibles spécifiques (jeunes et des femmes...)	2.4.1. Renforcer la culture d'entreprise auprès des couches spécifiques (jeunes, femmes...)	2.4.1.1. Elaborer le matériel didactique adapté à chaque cible	SESN, centres de formation, Université, Ministère de l'éducation, de la femme, de la jeunesse, de l'agriculture...	2013	Qualité du matériel didactique/ supports didactiques	Matériels didactiques	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement mobilisées
		2.4.1.2. Former les formateurs (enseignants, centres de formations, universitaires...)	Centre de formation	2013	Qualité de la formation, nombre de formateurs bénéficiaires des formations	Rapports de fin de formation	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement mobilisées

		2.4.1.3. Organiser une couverture médiatique pour la sensibilisation à l'esprit d'entreprise	SESN, centres de formation, Université, Ministère de l'éducation, de la femme, de la jeunesse, de l'agriculture...	Continu	Taux de couverture médiatique, part de la population cible informées	Rapport d'activités	Les ressources nécessaires sont effectivement mobilisées
		2.4.1.4. Instaurer un prix d'excellence pour récompenser les meilleures initiatives de création d'entreprises	SESN, Primature, Présidence	A partir de 2012	Nombre de nominées, périodicité de la cérémonie de remise des prix, nombre de prix	Prix d'excellence	Le gouvernement adhère à cette initiative

Annex H: The National Programme for Food Security, Rural Development Sub-programme

SOUS PROGRAMME: « Développement Rural »

Axe prioritaire 2: « Assister les Groupes Vulnérables »

PDDAA Pilier 3: « Fourniture de produits vivriers et lutte contre la faim »

TITRE	1. SOUS PROGRAMME DE DEVELOPPEMENT RURAL: « APPUI AUX GROUPES VULNERABLES, PETITS AGRICULTEURS, ELEVEURS, PECHEURS EN REPUBLIQUE DE DJIBOUTI ».																
Secteur / Ministère de tutelle	Ministère de l'Agriculture, de la Pêche, de l'Élevage et des Ressources Hydrauliques (MAPE-RH)																
Point focal / Contact	Conseiller Djama, nommé par le Ministre MAPE-RH																
Localisation du projet (district, zone spécifique)	<p>Zones du Programme. Compte tenu de l'ampleur de la crise actuelle qui touche environ 146 000 personnes à Djibouti le Programme de Développement Rural est conçu comme un programme national. Les 5 districts seront ciblés²³ comme indiqué dans le tableau 3.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Zone Cible du Programme</th> </tr> <tr> <th>District</th> <th>Zones spécifiques</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Obock</td> <td>Pastoralisme: zones côtières d'Orobor à Alali Dadda, Soublali, Assassan, Waddi, Medeho Pêche: Site d'Obock</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tadjourah</td> <td>Pastoralisme: zones de Assal, Sagallou, Assa-Gueyla, Dorra, Otoy, Malaho, Balho Agriculture oasienne: plaine côtière, Bankoualeh, Randa, Toha, Garassou, Debné/Terdo, oued Weima et Adailou Pêche: site de Tadjourah</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Arta</td> <td>Pastoralisme: zones d'Ali Ouné, PK 30, Boule, PK 51, Karta, Hemed, Petit Bara, Gabla Galan Pêche: site de Loyada</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Djibouti ville</td> <td>Pêche: centre de commercialisation du poisson</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ali Sabieh</td> <td>Pastoralisme: zones de Goubetto, Biidlé, KabahKabah, Beya Adé, Dikri, Grand Bara, Guestir, Ali Addé, Daasbio Agriculture oasienne: Zone plaine côtière (Douda-Damerjog/Atar), Wéa</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dikhil</td> <td>Pastoralisme: zones de Mouloud, Dikhil,</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Zone Cible du Programme		District	Zones spécifiques	Obock	Pastoralisme: zones côtières d'Orobor à Alali Dadda, Soublali, Assassan, Waddi, Medeho Pêche: Site d'Obock	Tadjourah	Pastoralisme: zones de Assal, Sagallou, Assa-Gueyla, Dorra, Otoy, Malaho, Balho Agriculture oasienne: plaine côtière, Bankoualeh, Randa, Toha, Garassou, Debné/Terdo, oued Weima et Adailou Pêche: site de Tadjourah	Arta	Pastoralisme: zones d'Ali Ouné, PK 30, Boule, PK 51, Karta, Hemed, Petit Bara, Gabla Galan Pêche: site de Loyada	Djibouti ville	Pêche: centre de commercialisation du poisson	Ali Sabieh	Pastoralisme: zones de Goubetto, Biidlé, KabahKabah, Beya Adé, Dikri, Grand Bara, Guestir, Ali Addé, Daasbio Agriculture oasienne: Zone plaine côtière (Douda-Damerjog/Atar), Wéa	Dikhil	Pastoralisme: zones de Mouloud, Dikhil,
Zone Cible du Programme																	
District	Zones spécifiques																
Obock	Pastoralisme: zones côtières d'Orobor à Alali Dadda, Soublali, Assassan, Waddi, Medeho Pêche: Site d'Obock																
Tadjourah	Pastoralisme: zones de Assal, Sagallou, Assa-Gueyla, Dorra, Otoy, Malaho, Balho Agriculture oasienne: plaine côtière, Bankoualeh, Randa, Toha, Garassou, Debné/Terdo, oued Weima et Adailou Pêche: site de Tadjourah																
Arta	Pastoralisme: zones d'Ali Ouné, PK 30, Boule, PK 51, Karta, Hemed, Petit Bara, Gabla Galan Pêche: site de Loyada																
Djibouti ville	Pêche: centre de commercialisation du poisson																
Ali Sabieh	Pastoralisme: zones de Goubetto, Biidlé, KabahKabah, Beya Adé, Dikri, Grand Bara, Guestir, Ali Addé, Daasbio Agriculture oasienne: Zone plaine côtière (Douda-Damerjog/Atar), Wéa																
Dikhil	Pastoralisme: zones de Mouloud, Dikhil,																

23 Les critères de sélection des zones sont: (i) les zones de parcours les plus affectées par un déficit en eau et en fourrages avec décapitalisation du cheptel.; (ii) les zones à potentialité hydriques et pédologiques permettant une extension des périmètres irrigués et (iii) les sites traditionnels de pêche.

	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>Chekeiti, Gobaad, Hanlé, Daoudaouya, Gagaddé, Daka, Galafi</p> <p>Agriculture oasisienne: Oued Harrou, Dikhil, Chekeiti, Gobaad, Hanlé, Mouloud</p> </div>
<p>Situation avant projet (contexte socio-économique, systèmes de cultures, élevage, etc.)</p>	<p>Le secteur primaire quoique peu développé constitue l'activité économique essentielle des populations rurales. Le secteur contribue pour 3% au PIB.</p> <p>L'élevage (775 000 têtes) contribue à hauteur de 75% au PIB agricole et concerne directement 13% de la population (pour la moitié nomades semi-sédentaires) mais qui implique indirectement près de 30% de la population. L'élevage constitue un capital et joue un rôle d'épargne.</p> <p>Le secteur de la pêche est dominé par la pêche artisanale assurant un emploi à 3000 personnes dont 600 pêcheurs organisés (380 km de côtes; 2280 km² d'espace maritime exploitable; potentialités halieutiques permettant une exploitation soutenable de 42 000t pour une production nationale annuelle de 1700 et 2000 t)</p> <p>La superficie cultivable est estimée à 10 000 ha, seuls 1 000 ha sont actuellement exploités. Les potentialités agricoles sont limitées par: (i) le type de sol (désert volcanique); (ii) le niveau faible et aléatoire des précipitations (moyenne de 150 mm); et (iii) de fortes températures occasionnant une évapotranspiration de 1800 mm.</p>
<p>Problèmes et contraintes à surmonter</p>	<p>Le taux de pauvreté relative atteint 75% de la population, et le taux de la pauvreté absolue s'élève à 42% de la population.</p> <p>Les zones rurales sont les plus touchées avec un taux de pauvreté relative de 96%. En milieu rural, la pauvreté se manifeste par une très faible disponibilité de biens productifs, de bas niveaux de revenus et l'absence d'opportunités de travail.</p> <p>Cette situation est aggravée par le manque d'accès aux services (éducation et santé). On estime que 20% de la population rurale n'a pas d'accès à l'eau et que pour 70% de la population rurale, la distance à parcourir pour accéder à l'eau excède les 20 km.</p> <p>Cette pauvreté structurelle explique le haut niveau d'insécurité alimentaire qui sévit en milieu rural et qui touche 42% de la population rurale en 2011</p> <p>Plus spécifiquement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • concernant la production agricole: les défis climatiques et pédologiques aggravent les contraintes techniques et organisationnelles existantes au niveau des exploitations agricoles: <p>(i) maîtrise des techniques d'irrigation; (ii) indisponibilité en intrants de qualité; (iii) infrastructures hydro-agricoles rudimentaires et moyens d'exhaure de l'eau inadéquats; et (iv) structures coopératives peu</p>

	<p>opérationnelles et manquant d'expérience et d'autonomie.</p> <p>Par ailleurs l'encadrement (personnel de la direction centrale et des 5 structures décentralisées régionales de développement rural) rencontre des contraintes aussi bien en moyens matériels et de logistique qu'en capacités par manque de formation continue.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • concernant l'élevage et le pastoralisme: le développement de ce sous- secteur se heurte: (i) au développement de la sédentarisation qui engendre un surpâturage autour des agglomérations et campements (entraînant des déséquilibres écologiques); (ii) l'insuffisance des infrastructures d'hydraulique pastorale et des pâturages; (iii) la faible structuration des groupements d'éleveurs pour la commercialisation de leurs produits; et (iv) l'insuffisance des capacités des services vétérinaires en matière de sécurisation de la santé animale. • concernant le sous secteur des pêches: on constate (i) l'insuffisance d'unités de pêches à large rayon d'action et de structures de maintenance des moyens de production; (ii) l'insuffisance des points d'aménagements de débarquements des pêcheurs dans les régions; (iii) un niveau technique et d'organisation insuffisant des pêcheurs; et (iv) en dépit du contexte culturel djiboutien, l'insuffisance des moyens de conservation, de distribution et de commercialisation.
Période de mise en œuvre	5 ans
Date de démarrage	2012-2013
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • La République de Djibouti a connu, ces dernières années, une sécheresse sans précédent (diminution des nappes phréatiques et l'assèchement des puits traditionnels; dégradation ou la disparition totale du couvert végétal dans de nombreuses zones de parcours, décimant ainsi le cheptel; tarissement des puits dans les petits périmètres agricoles.) • Ceci a entraîné un exode massif de la population pastorale vers les 5 chefs lieux de régions et surtout vers la capitale. • Le projet s'inscrit donc dans le cadre de la politique de lutte contre d'atténuation des effets de la sécheresse récurrente de ces dernières décennies. • Recherche d'une diversification de la production agricole pour l'autoconsommation et le marché local. • Volonté d'accroître la superficie cultivée (faible proportion du potentiel valorisée): atteindre une superficie cultivée de 2 000 à 3000 ha, par la mise en culture de nouvelles terrasses dans les zones à bonnes potentialités hydriques • Priorité accrue du Gouvernement pour le développement de l'agro-pastoralisme qui associe les activités agricoles à un petit élevage semi-sédentaire, là où les potentialités en eau et l'aptitude du sol le permettent.
Objectif	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • améliorer la sécurité alimentaire, • accroître les revenus • renforcer la capacité de résistance à la variabilité climatique des ménages ruraux dépendant du secteur primaire.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> agir sur les flux migratoires
Stratégie / options de développement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stratégie de développement local intégré. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - concentrer les investissements pour l'accès aux points d'eau et aux services sociaux de base - regrouper les interventions au niveau de points d'eau permettant une production agricole pour l'autoconsommation et le marché local Implication des populations dans la conception et la réalisation des composantes du sous-programme Appui aux petits opérateurs économiques comme pôles de développement Renforcement des moyens d'existence et des activités génératrices de revenus moins vulnérables à la variabilité climatique (orientation alignée avec la stratégie de l'INDS pour la réduction de la pauvreté) Capitaliser sur les nombreuses initiatives et projets pilotes développés à Djibouti Simplicité de mise en œuvre du projet. Nombre de composantes limité; Procédures opérationnelles simplifiées Personnel propre au projet restreint et participation des cadres du district ou des organisations de producteurs pour la mise en œuvre de certaines activités
Perspectives de marché	Le marché national absorbera la totalité des produits additionnels de l'agriculture, de l'élevage et la pêche attendus de ce sous-programme
Groupes cibles / Bénéficiaires (type et nombre)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 13 000 ménages « pastoraux » 200 ménages « pastoraux » sédentarisés en agro-pastoralisme 1 000 agriculteurs avec jardins familiaux 600 pêcheurs
Composantes, activités, actions (type, quantité)	<p>Le Programme se décline en 5 composantes intitulées: (i) appui aux éleveurs nomades; (ii) appui à l'agriculture oasisienne; (iii) appui à la pêche artisanale; (iv) renforcement de la capacité d'encadrement des producteurs par les services du techniques MAPERH; (v) coordination et gestion du Programme. La description des composantes du Programme est détaillée ci-dessous.</p> <p><i>Composante I. Appui aux éleveurs nomades. Elle portera sur:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ l'amélioration de l'hydraulique pastorale <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Réhabilitation de 10 retenues Création et la réhabilitation de 30 puits traditionnels Construction de 15 citernes enterrées Construction de 10 micro-barrages. ➤ la régénération des pâturages <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aménagement d'une superficie de 50 ha environ autour de chaque retenue d'eau, puits et micro-barrage, La plantation d'arbres sur 1200 ha à l'échelle nationale la formation des communautés locales sur les techniques de lutte contre la désertification Le renforcement des pépinières existantes (6 pépinières) et de

	<p>leurs cadres formés.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ le suivi sanitaire et encadrement. • La surveillance et le contrôle • Des visites des marchés, points d'eau, villages et autres unités de surveillance identifiées; • Formation de 50 auxiliaires vétérinaires, • Mise en place d'une équipe vétérinaire mobile <p>Composante II. Appui à l'agriculture oasisienne. Elle portera sur:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ la redynamisation des jardins familiaux par • La réalisation/réhabilitation des infrastructures hydro-agricoles dans les jardins (300 puits/réservoirs de stockage d'eau), • L'équipement à titre pilote de 200 puits en pompes solaires et installation du système d'irrigation goutte à goutte sur 300 parcelles; • La fourniture d'intrants avec introduction de variétés potagères et de graminées fourragères tolérantes à la sécheresse et à la salinité • L'approvisionnement des agriculteurs en outillage agricole ➤ la création de petits périmètres sur les terrasses des oueds pour les pasteurs nomades affectés par la sécheresse. • Aménagement d'environ 50 Ha de périmètres agro-pastoraux pour les familles de pasteurs nomades ayant perdu plus de 80% de leur cheptel • Construction de puits cimentés et adduction d'eau des parcelles; • Construction des infrastructures hydro-agricoles (bassins et réseau d'irrigation goutte à goutte); • Réalisation des infrastructures générales (clôtures, magasins, etc); • Fourniture d'équipements agricoles et d'intrants; • La formation pratique et encadrement rapproché de ces nouveaux agriculteurs en culture irriguée et en élevage laitier. ➤ le renforcement des capacités des coopératives agricoles de production (gestion, organisation, maîtrise des techniques agricoles et de commercialisation des produits agricoles (25 coopératives déjà établies) <p><i>Composante III. Appui à la pêche artisanale. Elle portera sur:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ le renforcement des capacités des acteurs de la pêche artisanale par: • Un programme de formation à tous les acteurs impliqués dans la pêche (domaines techniques, organisationnels et de gestion); • La restructuration du Centre de formation des pêcheurs professionnels pour former 250 jeunes pêcheurs ainsi que divers acteurs; • La mise en place d'une Cellule d'appui aux petits opérateurs économiques de la pêche (CAPEP) pour appuyer les opérateurs dans la gestion de leurs activités. ➤ Appui à la mise en œuvre des initiatives locales (microprojets) de la pêche artisanale pour: • L'acquisition de 100 moteurs hors bord et de 20 bateaux in-bord; • La construction de trois ateliers de réparation des moteurs hors
--	---

	<p>bord aux trois régions;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • L'acquisition d'équipements (manutention pour 50 mareyeuses, camions isothermes pour la distribution du poisson ainsi que de petites unités ambulantes pour le commerce du poisson) <p>➤ Appui à la mise en œuvre des investissements structurants:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installation des infrastructures d'appui aux pêcheurs de trois régions considérés comme centres de production (débarcadères, commodités des pêcheurs, fabriques de production de glace, chambres froides pour la conservation du poisson); • Construction d'un atelier de réparation pour les moteurs in bord à Djibouti; • Aménagement d'un marché aux poissons équipé d'une petite unité de production de glace à Balbala; • Réhabilitation des points de vente des régions et lignes de crédit pour 50 embarcations de 7 m. <p><i>Composante IV. Renforcement de la capacité d'encadrement des producteurs par les services techniques du MAPERH.</i></p> <p>Cette composante vise le renforcement des Services techniques directement au contact des producteurs et de leurs organisations. Les besoins en renforcement au niveau central et décentralisé (Directions régionales) sont analysés spécifiquement (Voir fiche « Renforcement des capacités du MAPE-RH)</p> <p>➤ Pour l'appui aux éleveurs nomades</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Formation de 30 agents (recyclage) <p>➤ Pour l'appui à l'agriculture oasisienne (agriculture irriguée et agro-foresterie)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jardins familiaux: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Formation de 30 cadres ✓ Un expert international pour une durée de 6 mois • Périmètres sur terrasses pour pasteurs nomades <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Formation de 35 techniciens nationaux • Renforcement des capacités des coopératives agricoles <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Un expert international pour une durée de 4 mois ✓ Equipement en moyens bureautiques et informatiques <p>➤ Pour le renforcement de la Pêche artisanale</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Formation de cadres ✓ Moyens matériels. <p><i>Composante V. Coordination et Gestion du Programme.</i></p> <p>Elle consistera dans la mise en place d'une cellule de coordination et de gestion au sein du MAPERH et d'un comité de pilotage du Programme, afin d'assurer une exécution efficiente et efficace du Programme et comprendra:</p>
--	---

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coordonnateur du Programme (1) • Comptable (1) • Formation: 1 VNU (1) • Secrétaire (1) • 2 chauffeurs (2) <p>Les besoins spécifiques de renforcement pour une capacité de suivi sont détaillés dans la fiche « Cellule de Planification de Suivi et d'Evaluation »</p>
<p>Modalités de mise en œuvre et du suivi-évaluation (montage institutionnel)</p>	<p>Mise en œuvre des composantes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chaque Direction en ce qui la concerne sera chargée de l'exécution de la Composante relevant de son mandat (planning détaillé des activités; suivi rapproché de l'état d'avancement des activités, rapports à la cellule de coordination et de gestion du Programme). Elle sera l'interlocuteur représentant le MAPE-RH vis-à-vis des populations et de leurs associations pour la gestion des réalisations dont elle assurera l'encadrement technique. • Elles auront recours aux services de la Direction de l'Eau et de la Direction des Grands Travaux du Ministère de l'Energie, de l'Eau et des Ressources Naturelles, pour la conceptualisation de la réhabilitation et de la construction des ouvrages hydrauliques et leur supervision; • Elles pourront aussi faire recours à l'ADDS pour la formation des coopératives et leur sensibilisation à l'épargne et au crédit. <p>Cellule de coordination</p> <p>La cellule de coordination et de gestion du Programme sera chargée de l'acquisition des services, biens et travaux requis sous la Composante IV sur la base d'un planning détaillé prenant en compte la synchronisation entre le renforcement des capacités et la nécessaire exécution à temps des activités du sous-programme.</p> <p>Collaboration interministérielle</p> <p>Le MAPE-RH collaborera de manière étroite avec le Ministère de l'Energie, de l'Eau et des Ressources Naturelles, et avec l'ADDS par l'intermédiaire de deux conventions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Convention MAPE-RH et Ministère de l'Energie, de l'Eau et des Ressources Naturelles pour la conception et supervision des ouvrages hydrauliques et le suivi de la qualité de l'eau. Le personnel du Ministère de l'Energie, de l'Eau et des Ressources Naturelles sera indemnisé pour ses prestations selon la grille d'indemnités en vigueur du Gouvernement. 2) Convention MAPE-RH et ADDS pour la formation des coopératives et des associations de gestion des points d'eau et pâturages, d'exploitation de jardins familiaux, et de pêche. Le personnel d l'ADDS sera indemnisé pour ses prestations selon la grille d'indemnités en vigueur du Gouvernement. <p><i>Planification, Suivi et Evaluation, Gestion des Connaissances</i></p>

	<p>Démarrage du sous-programme.</p> <p>Dès le financement extérieur confirmé, le MAPE-RH établira par décret ministériel la cellule de coordination et gestion du Programme ainsi que le comité de pilotage et procédera à la nomination/ affectation/ recrutement du personnel de la cellule de coordination et gestion du Programme et à l'organisation d'équipes au sein des Directions techniques et sous-directions régionales pour l'exécution du Programme.(préparation d'un plan de travail et budget annuel sur la base du présent rapport, atelier de démarrage du Programme)</p> <p>Planification.</p> <p>Les Directions techniques et les sous-directions régionales prépareront avec les coopératives/ associations les activités nécessaires pour consolider les résultats de l'année précédente et aboutir aux résultats escomptés pour chaque composante du Programme. Le PTBA comportera le détail des activités, des coûts unitaires et globaux, le calendrier de passation des marchés pour l'année, et les indicateurs de suivi et les modalités d'exécution. Les budgets pour chaque composante seront soumis à la cellule de coordination et gestion du Programme qui validera les activités et budgets proposés sur la base des données du suivi évaluation et consolidera le PTBA du Programme en conséquent. La cellule de coordination et gestion discutera le PTBA consolidé avec les Directions techniques et le finalisera sur la base des commentaires reçus. Le PTBA finalisé sera soumis au Comité de Pilotage (CP) pour discussion et endossement. Suite à l'endossement du CP, le PTBA sera soumis au bailleur de fonds pour non objection. Le PTBA pourra éventuellement être révisé en cours d'année du programme suivant la même procédure d'approbation décrite ci-dessus</p> <p>Suivi Evaluation. Le Programme étant doté d'une équipe de suivi évaluation, le système de suivi évaluation du Programme comportera:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Une enquête de base au démarrage du Programme. • Un suivi des activités et des réalisations du Programme. • Une revue à mi-parcours du Programme. • Une revue à l'achèvement du Programme.
<p>Entités d'exécution</p>	<p>Cellule de coordination et de gestion du Programme. Le MAPERH mettra en place une seule cellule de coordination et de gestion du sous-programme de Développement Rural. Cette cellule de coordination et de gestion du Programme sera placée sous le Secrétaire Général du MAPERH. Le personnel envisagé est présenté dans « composantes et activités»</p> <p>Affectation du personnel. Les membres de la cellule de coordination et de gestion proviendront du Ministère ou seront recrutés de manière compétitive.</p> <p>Comité de Pilotage du Programme. Comité de Pilotage du Programme. Le MAPERH mettra en place un comité de pilotage du</p>

	<p>Programme composé de:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Un représentant du Cabinet du MAPERH (le Secrétaire Général ou conseiller du Ministre) - Coordonnateur du Programme, qui assure le secrétariat du Comité de Pilotage. - Les 3 Directeurs (Agriculture, Elevage et Pêche) - Un représentant du Ministère de l'Energie, de l'Eau et des Ressources Naturelles (Direction de l'Eau) - Un représentant du Ministère des Finances (direction du financement extérieur) - 3 représentants des communautés bénéficiaires (secteurs agriculture, élevage et pêche) - Un représentant du Ministère en charge de l'Environnement - Un représentant du bailleur de fond <p>Le Comité de Pilotage du Programme (CP) aura pour tâches l'analyse, l'examen et l'approbation des activités ainsi que l'orientation du Programme, le suivi a posteriori des réalisations, la facilitation des relations du Programme avec d'autres institutions publiques et l'organisation de mobilisation des ressources budgétaires nécessaires à l'exécution du Programme.</p>
<p>Assistance technique.</p>	<p>Assistance technique définie dans chaque composante notamment pour le renforcement des capacités: (i) techniques de lutte contre la désertification; (ii) renforcement des coopératives; (iii) centre de formation des pêcheurs; et (iv) appui à la Cellule de Coordination.</p>
<p>Produits attendus</p>	<p>Composante 1. Appui aux éleveurs nomades: Elle permettra aux éleveurs nomades la reconstitution de leur cheptel. et plus précisément: (i) une disponibilité en eau pendant la période de saison sèche accrue de 65 000 m³ et satisfaisant 13 600 ménages pastoraux et leur cheptel au bout de 3 ans; (ii) une disponibilité additionnelle en fourrages de 25 000 tonnes de biomasse et complétant un effectif de 137 000 petits ruminants en 5 ans; (iii) une réduction de mortalité des animaux passant de 80% à 30% en 3 ans; (iv) un nombre d'animaux traités passant de 100 000 têtes à 250 000 têtes en 3 ans.</p> <p>Composante 2. Agriculture oasienne: Elle facilitera l'adoption par les exploitants de l'agriculture oasienne de techniques d'irrigation et de production plus performantes. Les résultats attendus portent sur: (i) 1000 agriculteurs avec jardins familiaux de 0.5 ha (efficience accrue de l'utilisation de l'eau irriguée et production passe de 7100 tonnes à 10 000 tonnes de fruits et légumes en 5 ans)); (ii) 200 ménages se convertissant du pastoralisme à l'agro-pastoralisme et exploitant des surfaces irriguées de 0.25 ha avec petit élevage laitier; et (iii) 25 coopératives fonctionnelles.</p> <p>Composante 3. Pêche artisanale: Elle permettra aux pêcheurs de mieux valoriser leur production. Les principaux résultats de cette composante concernent: (i) 600 pêcheurs</p>

	augmentant leur production de 50% (soit une augmentation de 1600 tonnes à 2400 tonnes en 5 ans); (ii) les emplois dans le secteur de la pêche augmentent de 3000 actuellement à 4500 en fin de Programme; (iv) 5 coopératives de pêche sont fonctionnelles.
Avantages (indicatifs) économiques, sociaux, environnementaux, etc.	<p>➤ impacts sociaux positifs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Réduction de la pauvreté par l'intermédiaire de la création d'emplois ✓ Fixation des populations ✓ Sécurisation des conditions de vie des ménages (proximité des services) ✓ Effets directs sur la Sécurité et la Sûreté alimentaire (production accrue, amélioration des revenus et du pouvoir d'achat, marchés de proximité) <p>➤ impacts environnementaux:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Restauration du couvert végétal ✓ Développement d'une strate ligneuse et impact sur les gaz à effets de serre
Rapport avec d'autres projets / programmes (synergies, appui, référentiels techniques, etc.)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Programme de Développement Rural et Mobilisation es Eaux (PODERMO) • Programme de Mobilisation des Eaux de Surface et Gestion Durable des Terres.(PROMES-GDT)
Hypothèses et risques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long processus de renforcement des capacités des acteurs • acceptabilité des activités par les populations • Faiblesses éventuelles des opérateurs dans la mise en œuvre des activités (manque d'implication) • Risques climatiques
Bases documentaires	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Programme de Développement Rural, de Lutte contre la Sécheresse et la Désertification en République de Djibouti • PNSA 2009. Plan d'Action. Rapport Principal et 11 annexes

Coût du Sous-Programme

Les coûts du Programme sont estimés à 18 475 000 \$EU Les coûts se répartissent comme suit: Composante I: 4 220 000 \$EU (23% du coût total); Composante II: 5 365 000 \$EU (29%); Composante III: 4 300 000 \$EU (23%); Composante IV: 3 000 000 \$EU (16%); la coordination et gestion du Programme s'élève à 1 590 000 \$EU (9%). Le tableau suivant présente le détail des coûts.

Coût total du sous-programme par composantes

No.	Composantes	Montant en milliers de \$EU
1	Composante 1. Appui aux éleveurs nomades	4 220
2	Composante 2. Appui à l'agriculture oasisienne	5 365
3	Composante 3. Appui à la pêche artisanale	4 300
4	Composante 4. Renforcement des capacités d'encadrement du MAPERH au niveau des producteurs et de leurs organisations	3 000
5	Composante 5. Coordination et gestion du Programme	1 590
	Total en USD	18 475

Annex I: Endorsement by the designated government authority for Adaptation fund

REPUBLIQUE DE DJIBOUTI
UNITE – ÉGALITE – PAIX

MINISTÈRE DE L'HABITAT, DE
L'URBANISME ET DE
L'ENVIRONNEMENT

LE SECRÉTAIRE GÉNÉRAL

N° 110/2012/13

Djibouti, le 19 AVR. 2012

جمهورية جيبوتي
الوحدة - المساواة - السلام

وزارة الإسكان والتعمير والبيئة

الأمين العام

رقم
جيبوتي في

Letter of Endorsement by Government

To: The Adaptation Fund Board
c/o Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat
Email: Secretariat@Adaptation-Fund.org
Fax: 202 522 3240/5

Subject: Endorsement for Developing Agro-Pastoral Shade Gardens as an Adaptation Strategy for Poor Rural Communities

In my capacity as designated authority for the Adaptation Fund in Djibouti, I confirm that the above national project proposal is in accordance with the government's national priorities in implementing adaptation activities to reduce adverse impacts of, and risks, posed by climate change in Djibouti.

Accordingly, I am pleased to endorse the above project/programme proposal with support from the Adaptation Fund. If approved, the project/programme will be implemented by UNDP and executed by Ministry of Environment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dini Abdallah Omar
Designated Authority of the Republic of Djibouti
Secrétaire Général du Ministère de l'Habitat, de l'Urbanisme et de
l'Environnement



+253 35-85-22

+253 35-16-18

BP 11

@ adouale@yahoo.fr

Schedule 3

Disbursement Schedule

		One Year after Project Start ^{a/}	Year 2 ^{b/}	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total
	Upon signing agreement	Sep 13	Sep 14	Sep 15	Sep 16	Sep 17	
Project funds (including execution costs)		856,460	1,309,960	1,017,760	733,960	375,460	4,293,600
Implementing Entity fee	145,983	43,679	66,808	51,906	37,432	19,148	364,956
Total	145,983	900,139	1,376,768	1,069,666	771,392	394,608	4,658,556

^{a/} Use projected start date to approximate first year disbursement

^{b/} Subsequent dates will follow the year anniversary of project start