

Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved	
Overall Rating:	Exemplary
Decision:	
Portfolio/Project Number:	00106649
Portfolio/Project Title:	VIH/TB GF - PHASE2
Portfolio/Project Date:	2018-07-01 / 2021-12-31

Strategic **Quality Rating: Exemplary**

1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?

- 3: *The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

Evidence:

Lors de l'élaboration de la note conceptuelle de la s ubvention du fond mondial les changement de l'envi ronnement externe sont identifié pour déterminer si l a stratégie est valide.
C'est a permis d'atteindre les objectifs fixé du progra mme .

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Annexe28PlanStrategiqueNationalTB2020-2024VF_6973_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annexe28PlanStrategiqueNationalTB2020-2024VF_6973_301.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/30/2020 9:02:00 AM
2	Annexe11PlanstrategiquenationalPaludisme2020-2024_6973_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annexe11PlanstrategiquenationalPaludisme2020-2024_6973_301.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/30/2020 9:02:00 AM
3	Annexe18RapportdelaRevueemi-parcoursduPSNVIH2018-2022VF_6973_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annexe18RapportdelaRevueemi-parcoursduPSNVIH2018-2022VF_6973_301.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/30/2020 9:03:00 AM

2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?

- 3: *The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopted at least one Signature Solution .The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project responded to at least one of the developments settings1 as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- 1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

Le projet est aligné sur le plan stratégique du PNUD notamment dans le renforcement de résilience aux chocs et crise. Le PNUD en sa qualité de récipiendaire principal a soutenu le ministère a renforcé le système sanitaire en matière de trois programmes en disponibilisant les intrants , en formant les prestataires de santé sur le dernier guide prise en charge selon les recommandations de l'OMS. Mais également le PNUD a soutenu le ministère sur la mise en place d'une plateforme numérique DHIS2.Ce dernier a permis au ministère de disposer des données en temps réel

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Relevant**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

3. Were the project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?

- 3: Systematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
- 2: *Targeted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collected regularly to ensure the project addressed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)*
- 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Le projet s'assure que le groupe cible bénéficie du p rojet. Ainsi le programme de lutte contre le TB et le VIH ont réalisé une enquête CAP lors de l'élaboratio n de programme stratégique joint en annexe. Cette enquête CAP a permis d'orienter les grandes axes s stratégiques des programmes qui ont été énuméré d ans le deux PSN à savoir celui de TB et celui de VIH . Aussi les plans stratégiques a guide la rédaction d e la demande la note conceptuelle de la demande d e subvention . De ce fait au cour ce projet qui prend fin le 31 décembre 2020. Les projet a permis de tou cher un ensemble des personnes identifier comme g roupes cible ou vulnérables telque les enfants , les Docker , les population clés notamment en VIH , les personnes pauvres , les incarcères ect...

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Annexe1.15Rapportincarcereseptembre_6973_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annexe1.15Rapportincarcereseptembre_6973_303.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/30/2020 9:04:00 AM
2	Annexe11VIHStratégiedecommunicationVIH2020-2024DraftFV_6973_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annexe11VIHStratégiedecommunicationVIH2020-2024DraftFV_6973_303.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/30/2020 9:03:00 AM
3	Annexe1.29PNLTStratégiedeCommunicationTB2020-2024FV_6973_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annexe1.29PNLTStratégiedeCommunicationTB2020-2024FV_6973_303.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/30/2020 9:03:00 AM
4	Annexe1.12Rapport_Sensi_Docker_Dec2019_6973_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annexe1.12Rapport_Sensi_Docker_Dec2019_6973_303.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/30/2020 9:04:00 AM
5	Annexe1.13rapportdeincarcererdeceembre_6973_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annexe1.13rapportdeincarcererdeceembre_6973_303.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/30/2020 9:05:00 AM

4. Did the project generate knowledge, and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- 3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, were considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team. There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

Evidence:

Le projet génère les connaissances et les leçons apprises. Les programmes ont élaboré le plan stratégique national de lutte contre le TB et un pour le VIH. Ces derniers permettent de mettre en exergue les points forts et les lacunes à améliorer. Annexe plan stratégique.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

5. Was the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

- 3: *There was credible evidence that the project reached sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.*
- 2: While the project was not considered at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).
- 1: The project was not at scale, and there are no plans to scale up the project in the future.

Evidence:

Le projet est à échelle nationale. Aussi il permet de contribuer au changement de développement en luttant de manière significative contre le VIH et TB. Les médicaments sont gratuits dans les structures sanitaires pour tout type de patient qui se trouve dans le pays. Annexe PUDR
En 2019 le nombre de cas de TB confirmé recensé dans le pays était de 1925 cas, le taux de succès thérapeutique était de 85%. En ce qui concerne le VIH 1800 ont été mis sous TARV en 2019.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	1.DJI-C-UNDP_ProgressReportDisbursement_31Dec19-3_6973_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/1.DJI-C-UNDP_ProgressReportDisbursement_31Dec19-3_6973_305.xlsx)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/30/2020 9:07:00 AM

Principled

Quality Rating: **Exemplary**

6. Were the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.

- 3:** *The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)*
- 2:** The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 1:** The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

Evidence:

Le TB et VIH touche de manière inégale. En effet il s'agit des maladies qui touchent plus les personnes vulnérable tant économiquement et socialement. Ces points sont soulevés dans les deux plans stratégiques du programme mais également pour le VIH une étude sur la bio comportement de jeunes a été réalisé en 2019 qui est joint en annexe.

Cependant les programmes effectuent des activités ciblées afin de réduire ces inégalités. En effet les programmes disposent d'un camion mobile qui permet d'aller vers le patient qui ne bénéficie pas de la gratuité des soins par manque de connaissance ou par peur d'être discriminé et stigmatiser surtout pour le VIH.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

7. Were social and environmental impacts and risks successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: *Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there is a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP was updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)*
- 2: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project was categorized as Low risk through the SESP.
- 1: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk, there was no evidence that social and environmental assessments completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There are substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP was not updated. (any may be true)

Evidence:

L'impact du projet est mesuré avec succès et les risques sociaux et environnementaux sont suivis dans un journal à risque qui identifie l'impact positif du projet et l'impact négatif.

Impact positif : Un système de suivi évaluations qui veille à ce que les activités du projet garantissent la protection de l'environnement physique et social, La mise en œuvre de programmes de formation et des stratégies de communication adaptés à chaque niveau de la chaîne de prestation de services ; La disponibilité de médicaments et matériels nécessaires .

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

8. Were grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and were grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm was effectively mitigated?

- 3: *Project-affected people actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)*
- 2: Project-affected people informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were responded to but faced challenges in arriving at a resolution.
- 1: Project-affected people was not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances were received, they were not responded to. (any may be true)

Evidence:

Dans le cadre de l'accord de la subvention les personnes sont informées du mécanisme de responsabilité. Aussi tous les préjudices sont traités de manière transparente et équitable

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Management & Monitoring

Quality Rating: Exemplary

9. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately implemented?

- 3: *The project had a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones were fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, included during evaluations and/or After-Action Reviews, were used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets were populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there was may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources was not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were captured but were used to take corrective actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not clearly planned and budgeted for, or were unrealistic. Progress data was not regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations did not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project did not have an M&E plan.

Evidence:

Le programme dispose d'un plan de suivi et d'évaluation qui décrit les indicateur clés du programme. Les cibles et les indicateurs sont clairement définis annexe plan de suivi évaluation.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	DJB_Plan_suivi-eval_2020_2024_V1_6973_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/DJB_Plan_suivi-eval_2020_2024_V1_6973_309.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/30/2020 9:08:00 AM
2	planSeEPLSS_final_6973_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/planSeEPLSS_final_6973_309.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/30/2020 9:09:00 AM

10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?

- 3: *The project's governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)*
- 2: The project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- 1: The project's governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

Evidence:

Le mécanisme de gouvernance fonctionne parfaitement. En effet des réunions sont organisées par quinzaine et sont conviées CCMI, PNUD et SRS.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

11. Were risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- 3: *The project monitored risks every quarter and consulted with the key stakeholders, security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks to assess if the main assumptions remained valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates were made to management plans and mitigation measures.
- 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that may affected the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions were taken to mitigate risks.

Evidence:

Les risques sont évalués à travers les indicateurs clés et le suivi budgétaires. Aussi les mesures d'atténuation sont prises des identifications d'éventuelle risque.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Efficient**Quality Rating: Exemplary**

12. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

Les ressources nécessaires ont été mobilisé en fonction des actions identifiés afin d'atteindre les objectifs escomptés. Toutefois avec la pandémie de COVID 19, le fond mondial a débloqué des fonds additionnels pour la lutte qui ont permis d'acheter un GeneXpert et des réactifs pour continuer la test biologiquement de TB et le charge de VIH .

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

13. Were project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?

- 3: *The project had a procurement plan and kept it updated. The project quarterly reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project had updated procurement plan. The project annually reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not have an updated procurement plan. The project team may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs regularly, however management actions were not taken to address them.

Evidence:

Un plan d’approvisionnement est disponible avec un calendrier. Le risque de rupture ou de retard des livraisons sont examiner à l’avance. Dans le contexte de COVID19 , le PNUD a mis à jour son plan d’approvisionnement en tenant compte de changement externe.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Annexe46VIHARVplandapprovisionnement_6973_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annexe46VIHARVplandapprovisionnement_6973_313.xlsx)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/30/2020 9:10:00 AM

14. Was there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies, taking into account the expected quality of results?

- 3: *There is evidence that the project regularly reviewed costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximized results delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinated with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and sought efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)*
- 2: The project monitored its own costs and gave anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinated activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.
- 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitored its own costs and considered ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

Evidence:

Un suivi budgétaire est réalisé mensuellement afin de suivre la situation de budgétaire du programme.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Effective**Quality Rating: Exemplary**

15. Was the project on track and delivered its expected outputs?

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

Le projet a atteint 85% de taux de succès thérapeutique de la cible attendu en 2019 pour le TB , le nombre de cas confirmé en 2019 était de 1925 aussi le programme a enregistré un taux de succès thérapeutique de MDR. et 1800 personne mise sous ARV pour le VIH.

Bien que le résultat du VIH est relativement bas il faut prendre en compte que la maladie est très stigmatisante. Toutefois une stratégie pour augmenter le taux de rétention a été élaboré.

Sur une cible estimée à 29525 cas attendu pour 2019 ; nous avons un taux de réalisation de 89.87%(26535/29525).

La prévalence globale du VIH chez les individus qui ont été testés durant la période est de 1,5% ((394/26535) *100).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

16. Were there regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- 3: *Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations /or After-Action Reviews) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions were made. (both must be true)*
- 2: There was at least one review of the work plan per year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.
- 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management took place.

Evidence:

Le projet est examiné régulier. L'avancement du projet est étudié régulièrement tant dans le suivi budgétaire que le suivi programmatique.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

- 3: *The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they benefited as expected and adjustments were made if necessary, to refine targeting. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they were benefiting as expected. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There is some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefited as expected, but it was limited or did not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Le projet évalue le groupe ainsi que les zones cibles pour accentuer la lutte contre le TB et VIH Grace aux données désagrégés qui permettent de démontre les zones le plus touchés et la population la plus vulnérable. Le programme priorise les actions à entreprendre. Le programme a rapporté 26535 tests réalisés avec 100% de rendus de résultat, dont 394 se sont avérés être positif au VIH. La cible annuelle du PSN est de 430 personnes dépistées séropositifs, soit un taux d'atteinte au niveau national d'un taux d'atteinte de 92%.

Compte tenu de la rapidité de test sur le 26535 test effectué au cours de l'année 2019 ; le taux de récupération est de 100%. Cela nous donne 26535 femmes et hommes testé connaissent leur statut par rapport au VIH.

Sur une cible estimée à 29525 cas attendu pour 2019 ; nous avons un taux de réalisation de 89.87%(26535/29525).

La prévalence globale du VIH chez les individus qui ont été testés durant la période est de 1,5% ((394/26535) *100).

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Sustainability & National Ownership

Quality Rating: Exemplary

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- 3: *Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)*
- 2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Les parties prenantes sont pleinement impliqués le processus de la mise en œuvre du projet.
Des réunions ont été organisées entre CCMI, PNUD et S R, le suivi budgétaire est mensuellement partagé avec la partie nationale et les prises des décisions sont collective et participative.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

19. Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed, and were the implementation **arrangements**⁸ adjusted according to changes in partner capacities?

- 3: *Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)*
- 2: Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Le projet a été évalué à travers le PUDR qui est annuelle. Les performances des institutions et des systèmes sont évaluées de manière exhaustive à l'aide d'indicateurs qui ont été retenus lors de l'élaboration de la subvention. Les données sont collectées et contrôlées trimestriellement dans les structures sanitaires du pays par les programmes TB et VIH

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ExecutiveSummary-UNDPDjiboutiGFFinalReportNo.2189-25June2020_6973_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ExecutiveSummary-UNDPDjiboutiGFFinalReportNo.2189-25June2020_6973_319.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/30/2020 10:05:00 AM

20. Were the transition and phase-out arrangements were reviewed and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitment and capacity).

- 3: *The project's governance mechanism regularly reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan was implemented as planned by the end of the project, taking into account any adjustments made during implementation. (both must be true)*
- 2: There was a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.
- 1: The project may have had a sustainability plan but there was no review of this strategy after it was developed. Also select this option if the project did not have a sustainability strategy.

Evidence:

Le mécanisme de gouvernance du projet examine la durabilité du projet aussi dans le cadre de 3 ans de subvention que le long terme aussi le plan stratégique du projet s'étend sur 4 ans .

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

QA Summary/Final Project Board Comments

The GF PMU has adhered successfully to UNDP RBM QA criteria