Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved	
Overall Rating:	Exemplary
Decision:	
Portfolio/Project Number:	00106650
Portfolio/Project Title:	MALARIA GF - PHASE2
Portfolio/Project Date:	2018-07-01 / 2021-12-31

Strategic

Quality Rating: Exemplary

1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?

- 3: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)
- 2: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

Evidence:

Lors de l'élaboration de la note conceptuelle de la s ubvention du fond mondial les changement de l'envi ronnement externe sont identifié pour déterminer si l a stratégie est valide.

C'est a permis d'atteindre les objectifs fixé du progra mme .

Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Annexe11PlanstrategiquenationalPaludisme 2020-2024_6971_301_11534_301 (https://int ranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDoc uments/Annexe11PlanstrategiquenationalPal udisme2020-2024_6971_301_11534_301.pd f)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:20:00 AM
2	Annexe38MPRDJI2019report_6971_301_11 534_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Proj ectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annexe38MPRD JI2019report_6971_301_11534_301.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:21:00 AM

2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?

3: The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopted at least one Signature Solution .The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)

 2: The project responded to at least one of the developments settings1 as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)

1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

Le projet est aligné sur le plan stratégique du PNUD notamment dans le renforcement de résilience aux c hocs et crise. Le PNUD en sa qualité de récipiendair e principal a soutenu le ministère a renforce le syst ème sanitaire en matière de trois programme en dis ponibilisant les intrants , en formant les prestataire de santé sur le dernier guide prise en charge selon I es recommandation de I OMS. Mais egalement le P NUD a soutenu le ministère sur la mise en place d'u ne plateforme numérique DHIS2.Ce dernier a permi s au ministère de disposer des données en temps ré el

# File Name Modified By Modified On			
	Modified On	Modified By	File Name
No documents available.			documents available.

Relevant Quality Rating: Highly	Satisfactory
3. Were the project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a p discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?	priority focus on the
3: Systematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration to beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as par system. Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence project decision making. (all must be true)	rt of the project's monitoring project's governance
2: Targeted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a prior and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collecte addressed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision select this option)	d regularly to ensure the project
1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was coll	
Not Applicable	
Evidence:	
Le projet s'assure que le groupe cible bénéficie du p rojet. Ainsi le programme de lutte contre le paludism	

rojet. Ainsi le programme de lutte contre le paludism e a réalisé une enquête CAP lors de l'élaboration de plan communication qui a mis en exergue les group es cibles joint en annexe.

Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PLANSTRATEGIQUEDECOMMUNICATION PALUDJIversionfinale20719OK_6971_303_1 1534_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Pro jectQA/QAFormDocuments/PLANSTRATEGI QUEDECOMMUNICATIONPALUDJIversionfi nale20719OK_6971_303_11534_303.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:21:00 AM
2	Annexe34RapportdeladistributiondesMIILDA auprésdesréfugiés2017_6971_303_11534_3 03 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/ QAFormDocuments/Annexe34Rapportdeladi stributiondesMIILDAauprésdesréfugiés2017_ 6971_303_11534_303.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:24:00 AM

4. Did the project generate knowledge, and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- S: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, were considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team.
 There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

Evidence:

Le projet génère les connaissances et les leçons ap prises. Le programme élabore le plan stratégique na tional de lutte contre le paludisme. Ce dernier perme t de mettre en exergue les point fort et les lacunes à améliorer. Annexe plan stratégique.

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Annexe39FINALDIRECTIVESNATIONALES PECMALDJIBOUTIVersionFinaleseptembre2 019_02_6971_304_11534_304 (https://intran et.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocum ents/Annexe39FINALDIRECTIVESNATIONA LESPECMALDJIBOUTIVersionFinaleseptem bre2019_02_6971_304_11534_304.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:35:00 AM
2	Annexe33WHO-HTM-GMP-2019.09-eng_69 71_304_11534_304 (https://intranet.undp.or g/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annex e33WHO-HTM-GMP-2019.09-eng_6971_30 4_11534_304.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:25:00 AM
3	Annexe38MPRDJI2019report_6971_304_11 534_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Proj ectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annexe38MPRD JI2019report_6971_304_11534_304.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:26:00 AM

5. Was the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

- 3: There was credible evidence that the project reached sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.
- 2: While the project was not considered at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).
- 1: The project was not at scale, and there are no plans to scale up the project in the future.

Evidence:

Le projet est à échelle national. Aussi il permet de c ontribuer au changement de développement en lutta nt de manière significative le paludisme. Annexe PU DR.

En effet 100% des cas suspect ont été dépisté pour la paludisme et 98% de cas ont été mis sous traitem ent. .

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	CopiedeAnnexe43Donnéesdepâludismeann ée2019avecdesagregation6971_305_1153 4_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Project QA/QAFormDocuments/CopiedeAnnexe43D onnéesdepâludismeannée2019avecdesagre gation6971_305_11534_305.xlsx)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:39:00 AM
2	Copiede1.DJI-M-UNDP_ProgressReportDisb ursement_31Dec2019-2_6971_305_11534_ 305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQ A/QAFormDocuments/Copiede1.DJI-M-UND P_ProgressReportDisbursement_31Dec2019 -2_6971_305_11534_305.xlsx)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:39:00 AM

Principled

Quality Rating: Exemplary

6. Were the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.

- 3: The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

Le paludisme touche de manière inégale la populati on en effet selon les dernière données les femmes s ont moins touché par rapport aux hommes. En outre le paludisme touche d'une manière disparate la vill e.

En effet certains zones enregistre plus de cas de pal udisme que d'autre . Toute fois suite à la revue du m i parcours du plan stratégique national de lutte contr e le Paludisme des mesures d'atténuation et de ripo sté ont été élaborer et la pulvérisation intra -domicili aire a été introduite dans le pays. Le résultat de cett e activité a été enregistré de 85% de taux réalisation s sur la cible prédéfinis.

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Annexe35Rapportpostmilda_6971_307_1153 4_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Project QA/QAFormDocuments/Annexe35Rapportpo stmilda_6971_307_11534_306.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:42:00 AM
2	Annexe32Etudederésistance_décembre2017 _6971_307_11534_306 (https://intranet.und p.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/A nnexe32Etudederésistance_décembre2017_ 6971_307_11534_306.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:42:00 AM
3	Annexe37RAPPORTGENERALDELAphase2 PID_6971_307_11534_306 (https://intranet.u ndp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ Annexe37RAPPORTGENERALDELAphase2 PID_6971_307_11534_306.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:42:00 AM
4	Annexe42RapportFinalDis_Milda_B_RD15_0 3_20204_6971_307_11534_306 (https://intra net.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocu ments/Annexe42RapportFinalDis_Milda_B_ RD15_03_20204_6971_307_11534_306.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:42:00 AM
5	Annexe44destructiondegiteslar6971_307_ 11534_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Pr ojectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annexe44destr uctiondegiteslar6971_307_11534_306.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:43:00 AM
6	Annexe46Rapportsurveillenceetteste2019de cembtrerectifié_6971_307_11534_306 (http s://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFor mDocuments/Annexe46Rapportsurveillencee tteste2019decembtrerectifié_6971_307_1153 4_306.pdf)	fatouma.ibrahim@undp.org	12/29/2021 10:43:00 AM

7. Were social and environmental impacts and risks successfully managed and monitored?

- Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there is a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP was updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project was categorized as Low risk through the SESP.
- 1: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk, there was no evidence that social and environmental assessments completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There are substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP was not updated. (any may be true)

L'impact du projet est mesure avec succès les risqu e sociaux et environnementaux sont suivi dans un jo urnal à risque qui identifie l'impact positif du projet et l'impact négatif.

Impact positif : Un système de suivi évaluations qui veille à ce que les activités du projet garantissent la protection de l'environnement physique et social, La mise en œuvre de programmes de formation et d es stratégies de communication adaptés à chaque n

iveau de la chaîne de prestation de services ;

Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

8. Were grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and were grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm was effectively mitigated?

	3: Project-affected people actively informed of UI how to access it. If the project was categorized a grievance mechanism was in place and project a were effectively addressed in accordance with SI 2: Project-affected people informed of UNDP's C project was categorized as High Risk through the and project affected people informed. If grievance	ffected people informed. If grievan RM Guidance. (all must be true) orporate Accountability Mechanisn e SESP, a project -level grievance r	he SESP, a project -level ces were received, they n and how to access it. If th mechanism was in place
	challenges in arriving at a resolution. 1: Project-affected people was not informed of UI	NDP's Corporate Accountability Me	echanism. If grievances
	were received, they were not responded to. (any	may be true)	
Evi	dence:		
	ans le cadre de l'accord de la subvention les pers	0	
nr	nes sont informées du mécanisme de responsabil	it	
	Aussi tous les préjudices sont traités de manière	t	
ra	nsparente et équitable		
Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
	st of Uploaded Documents File Name	Modified By	Modified On
#	•	Modified By	Modified On
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On

Management & Monitoring

Quality Rating: Exemplary

9. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately implemented?

- 3: The project had a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones were fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, included during evaluations and/or After-Action Reviews, were used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)
- 2: The project costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets were populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there was may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources was not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were captured but were used to take corrective actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not clearly planned and budgeted for, or were unrealistic. Progress data was not regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations did not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project did not have an M&E plan.

Le programme dispose d'un plan de suivi et d'évalu ation qui décrit les indicateur clés du programme. Le s cibles et les indicateurs sont clairement définis ann exe plan de suivi évaluation.

Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?

- 3: The project's governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: The project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- 1: The project's governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

1 0			
en ne	e mécanisme de gouvernance fonctionne parfaiter t. En effet des réunions sont organisé par quinzai e. Lors ce réunion l'ensemble de partie prenantes t conviés CCMI, PNUD et SRS.	i	
Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
¥	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
٩N	documents available.		
٧١	/ere risks to the project adequately monitored and	a managed?	
	identify continuing and emerging risks to assess i evidence that relevant management plans and m key project risk and were updated to reflect the la 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evid	itigating measures were fully imple atest risk assessment. (all must be	emented to address each true)
)	evidence that relevant management plans and m	itigating measures were fully imple atest risk assessment. (all must be lenced by an updated risk log. Son re was may be some evidence that	emented to address each true) ne updates were made to t the project monitored ris
)	evidence that relevant management plans and mickey project risk and were updated to reflect the la 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evid management plans and mitigation measures. 1: The risk log was not updated as required. Ther that may affected the project's achievement of res	itigating measures were fully imple atest risk assessment. (all must be lenced by an updated risk log. Son re was may be some evidence that	emented to address each true) ne updates were made to t the project monitored ris
) Evia	evidence that relevant management plans and makey project risk and were updated to reflect the la 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evid management plans and mitigation measures. 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There that may affected the project's achievement of rest actions were taken to mitigate risks. dence:	itigating measures were fully imple atest risk assessment. (all must be lenced by an updated risk log. Som re was may be some evidence that sults, but there is no explicit evider	emented to address each true) ne updates were made to t the project monitored ris
) Evic Le és ua	evidence that relevant management plans and mi key project risk and were updated to reflect the la 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evid management plans and mitigation measures. 1: The risk log was not updated as required. Ther that may affected the project's achievement of res actions were taken to mitigate risks. dence: es risques sont évalués à travers les indicateurs cl et le suivi budgétaires. Aussi les mesures d'attér tion sont prises lors de l'assemblé avec l'ensemb	itigating measures were fully imple intest risk assessment. (all must be lenced by an updated risk log. Som re was may be some evidence that sults, but there is no explicit evider	emented to address each true) ne updates were made to t the project monitored ris
) Evic Le és ua	evidence that relevant management plans and mi key project risk and were updated to reflect the la 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evid management plans and mitigation measures. 1: The risk log was not updated as required. Ther that may affected the project's achievement of res actions were taken to mitigate risks. dence: the risques sont évalués à travers les indicateurs cl the te suivi budgétaires. Aussi les mesures d'attér	itigating measures were fully imple intest risk assessment. (all must be lenced by an updated risk log. Som re was may be some evidence that sults, but there is no explicit evider	emented to address each true) ne updates were made to t the project monitored ris
Evic Le és ua e o	evidence that relevant management plans and mi key project risk and were updated to reflect the la 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evid management plans and mitigation measures. 1: The risk log was not updated as required. Ther that may affected the project's achievement of res actions were taken to mitigate risks. dence: es risques sont évalués à travers les indicateurs cl et le suivi budgétaires. Aussi les mesures d'attér tion sont prises lors de l'assemblé avec l'ensemb	itigating measures were fully imple intest risk assessment. (all must be lenced by an updated risk log. Som re was may be some evidence that sults, but there is no explicit evider	emented to address each true) ne updates were made to t the project monitored ris
Evia Le és ua e d	evidence that relevant management plans and ma key project risk and were updated to reflect the la 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evid management plans and mitigation measures. 1: The risk log was not updated as required. Ther that may affected the project's achievement of res actions were taken to mitigate risks. dence: es risques sont évalués à travers les indicateurs cl et le suivi budgétaires. Aussi les mesures d'attér tion sont prises lors de l'assemblé avec l'ensemb des parties de prenants.	itigating measures were fully imple intest risk assessment. (all must be lenced by an updated risk log. Som re was may be some evidence that sults, but there is no explicit evider	emented to address each true) ne updates were made to t the project monitored ris
Evic Le és ua e c	evidence that relevant management plans and makey project risk and were updated to reflect the la 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evid management plans and mitigation measures. 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There that may affected the project's achievement of rest actions were taken to mitigate risks. dence: es risques sont évalués à travers les indicateurs of et le suivi budgétaires. Aussi les mesures d'attér tion sont prises lors de l'assemblé avec l'ensemb des parties de prenants. st of Uploaded Documents	itigating measures were fully imple intest risk assessment. (all must be lenced by an updated risk log. Som re was may be some evidence that sults, but there is no explicit evider	emented to address each true) ne updates were made to t the project monitored ris nce that management

Quality Rating: Exemplary

Efficient

12. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

Yes

No

Evidence:

Les ressources nécessaires ont été mobilisé en fonc tion des actions identifiés afin d'atteindre les objectif s escomptés. Toutefois avec la pandémie de COVID 19, le fond mondial a débloqué des fonds additionne ls pour la lutte contre le paludisme et le COVID19 afi n d'atteindre les objectifs attendus.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

13. Were project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?

3: The project had a procurement plan and kept it updated. The project quarterly reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)

- 2: The project had updated procurement plan. The project annually reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not have an updated procurement plan. The project team may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs regularly, however management actions were not taken to address them.

Evidence:

Un plan d'approvisionnement est disponible avec un calendrier. Le risque est de rupture ou de retard des livraisons sont examiner à l'avance. Dans le context e de COVID19, le PNUD a mis à jour son plan d'ap provisionnement en tenant compte de changement externe.

	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		
	Vas there regular monitoring and recording of cos ts?	st efficiencies, taking into account	the expected quality of
Evi	3: There is evidence that the project regularly re- or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensi- resources. The project actively coordinated with to ensure complementarity and sought efficiencie 2: The project monitored its own costs and gave get the same result,) but there was no systemati- delivered. The project coordinated activities with 1: There is little or no evidence that the project m beyond following standard procurement rules. dence: n suivi budgétaire est réalisé mensuellement afin	sure the project maximized result other relevant ongoing projects a es wherever possible (e.g. joint a anecdotal examples of cost effici c analysis of costs and no link to other projects to achieve cost effi nonitored its own costs and consi	s delivered with given nd initiatives (UNDP or other ctivities.) (both must be true) encies (e.g., spending less to the expected quality of result iciency gains.
	suivre la situation de budgétaire du programme.		
Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
Li #	st of Uploaded Documents File Name	Modified By	Modified On

Effective

Quality Rating: Exemplary

15. Was the project on track and delivered its expected outputs?

Yes

No

Evidence:

Le projet a atteint 100% de la cible attendu en 2019 en matière de dépistage voire annexe PUDR. 98 % ont été mis sous traitement anti malaria 85% de pulvérisation intradomiciliaire a été réalisé 85% de Milda distribué.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

16. Were there regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- 3: Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations /or After-Action Reviews) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions were made. (both must be true)
- 2: There was at least one review of the work plan per year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.
- 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management took place.

Evidence:

Le projet est examiné régulier. L'avancement du proj et est étudié régulièrement tant dans le suivi budgét aire que le suivi programmatique.

Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

- 3: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they benefited as expected and adjustments were made if necessary, to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- 2: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work.
 Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they were benefiting as expected. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There is some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefited as expected, but it was limited or did not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Le projet évalue le groupe ainsi que les zones cibles pour accentuer la lutte contre le paludisme. Grace a ux données désagréger qui permet de démontre zon es le plus touchés et la population la plus vulnérabl e. Le programme priorise les actions à entreprendre. Suite au MPR le programme a revu ses actions pour prioriser notamment en matière de lutte anti vectorie lle.

File Name	Modified By	Modified O
documents available.		

Sustainability & National Ownership

Quality Rating: Exemplary

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)

2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)

1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decisionmaking, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.

Not Applicable

Evidence:

Les parties prenantes sont pleinement implique le pr ocessus de la mise en œuvre du projet. Des réunions ont organisé entre CCMI, PNUD et S R, le suivi budgétaire est mensuellement partagé av ec la partie national et les prises des décisions sont collective et participative.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

19. Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed, and were the implementation arrangements⁸ adjusted according to changes in partner capacities?

- S: Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 2: Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- Not Applicable

Le projet a été évalué à travers le PUDR qui est ann uelle. Les performances des institutions et des syst èmes sont évaluées de manière exhaustive à l'aide d'indicateurs qui ont été retenu lors de l'élaboration de la subvention. Les données sont collectées et co ntrôlées trimestriellement dans les structures sanitai res du pays.

Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

20. Were the transition and phase-out arrangements were reviewed and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitment and capacity).

- 3: The project's governance mechanism regularly reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan was implemented as planned by the end of the project, taking into account any adjustments made during implementation. (both must be true)
- 2: There was a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.
- 1: The project may have had a sustainability plan but there was no review of this strategy after it was developed. Also select this option if the project did not have a sustainability strategy.

ue du projet s'étend sur 4 ans . List of Uploaded Documents			
List of Uploaded Documents			
File Name Modified By Modified O)n		
No documents available.			

QA Summary/Final Project Board Comments