

Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved

Overall Rating:	Highly Satisfactory
Decision:	
Portfolio/Project Number:	00123057
Portfolio/Project Title:	Promotion et protection des droits humains au Gabon.
Portfolio/Project Date:	2019-10-29 / 2022-12-31

Strategic

Quality Rating: Exemplary

1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?

- 3: *The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

Evidence:

Durant sa période de mise en oeuvre, deux événements ont nécessité que le projet doive prendre de nouvelles mesures :

1) Le projet prévoyait de légaliser le statut de la CN DH à travers un texte de loi. Cependant, le ministère de la justice a jugé que cela n'était pas nécessaire car un tel texte existait déjà. La société civile mécontente, a estimé que cela était néfaste car elle n'avait pas été consultée et que de plus, cette loi contenait plusieurs manquements.

Stratégie du Projet : Recrutement d'un consultant afin d'analyser la bonne conformité de ce texte de loi déjà existant, tout en intégrant les commentaires de la société civile.

2) Durant la phase de mise en oeuvre du projet, une protestation civile a été observée avec comme raison les mesures de la COVID jugées trop restrictives. Une partie de la population a vu cela comme une stratégie de réduction de leur liberté fondamentales de la part des autorités. Un mouvement appelé "concert des casseroles" né sur les réseaux sociaux a pris de l'ampleur avec comme but, celui de faire du bruit à l'heure du couvre feu en tapant sur des marmites. Peu de temps après, plusieurs violations des droits de l'Homme (dont des morts) ont été attribuées aux forces de l'ordre.

Stratégie du projet : Recrutement d'un consultant, spécialiste et membre de la société civile afin de mener une enquête et voir si effectivement des violations ont été menées durant ce mouvement et à quelle ampleur.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	RapportfinalMichelFORST_10401_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RapportfinalMichelFORST_10401_301.docx)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 10:34:00 PM
2	RAPPORTENQUETTESURLESCASSEROL ES02AVRIL2021_10401_301 (<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RAPPORTENQUETTESURLESCASSEROL
ES02AVRIL2021_10401_301.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RAPPORTENQUETTESURLESCASSEROL ES02AVRIL2021_10401_301.docx)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 10:39:00 PM

2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?

- 3: *The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopted at least one Signature Solution . The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project responded to at least one of the developments settings¹ as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- 1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

Oui, le projet est intimement lié à la signature sur la Gouvernance, en tant que projet sur les droits humains.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Relevant**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

3. Were the project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?

- 3: Systematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project’s monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the project’s governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
- 2: Targeted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collected regularly to ensure the project addressed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)
- 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Le Projet a particulièrement été à l'écoute de la société civile et a mis en œuvre différentes actions suite à certaines de ses revendications (révision de la loi CNDH et concert des casseroles notamment). De plus, tout au long du projet s'est tenu le mécanisme national de dialogue et d'échanges (MNDE) qui était un groupe de discussion composé du comité de pilotage et qui réunissait toutes les parties prenantes au projet et notamment les membres de la société civile, en nombre conséquent (une dizaine de personnes). Les représentants de la société civile ont donc pu avoir une tribune afin de défendre leur cause et donner leur opinion sur le déroulé du projet.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	TDRSCOPIIL_10401_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/TDRSCOPIIL_10401_303.pdf)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 10:47:00 PM
2	OSC_10401_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/OSC_10401_303.pdf)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/10/2021 1:20:00 PM

4. Did the project generate knowledge, and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- 3: *Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)*
- 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, were considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team. There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

Evidence:

1) Le projet prévoyait de légaliser le statut de la CN DH à travers un texte de loi. Cependant, le ministère de la justice a jugé que cela n'était pas nécessaire car un tel texte existait déjà. La société civile mécontente, a estimé que cela était néfaste car elle n'avait pas été consultée et que de plus, cette loi contenait plusieurs manquements.

Le Projet a donc recruté un consultant afin d'analyser la bonne conformité de ce texte de loi déjà existant, tout en intégrant les commentaires de la société civile. Les doutes de la société civiles se sont avérés vraies au vu du rapport de l'expert qui a officiellement été présenté lors d'un atelier de restitution réunissant toutes les parties prenantes du projet. A la suite de ce rapport, le ministère de la justice a demandé au président de la CNDH une séance directement au Sénat afin de trancher la question.

2) Lors de la formation de la CNDH sur les principes de Mandela, une plaquette sur le droit des détenus compilant les conventions signées par le Gabon a été créée par le chef de projet. Cette plaquette fût appréciée, que l'idée fût lancée de la distribuer au maximum d'agents de la chaîne pénale durant la mission de terrain de formation des agents (procureur, agents pénitenciers, tribunaux etc). Cet acte fût très bien accueilli et a été assez pertinent dans le bon déroulement des objectifs du projet, car les agents formés ressentait un réel désir d'apprendre pour mieux agir dans l'avenir.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	RapportfinalMichelFORST_10401_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RapportfinalMichelFORST_10401_304.docx)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 10:49:00 PM

5. Was the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

- 3: There was credible evidence that the project reached sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.
- 2: *While the project was not considered at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).*
- 1: The project was not at scale, and there are no plans to scale up the project in the future.

Evidence:

Bien qu'il y ait eu des passages en province, le projet était plutôt concentré sur le grand Libreville. Cependant, le rapport final détient de nombreuses recommandations pertinentes au niveau national, particulièrement concernant une coopération politique encore plus affirmée en ce qui concerne la question des droits de l'Homme.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Principled**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

6. Were the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.

- 3: The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)*
- 1: The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

Evidence:

Bien que le projet n'ait pas recensé activement et systématiquement les données de genre, l'étude a pris en compte la dimension genre autant que possible

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

7. Were social and environmental impacts and risks successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there is a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP was updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project was categorized as Low risk through the SESP.*
- 1: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk, there was no evidence that social and environmental assessments completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There are substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP was not updated. (any may be true)

Evidence:

Impact environnemental : N/A - Niveau de risque identifié (voir SESP)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	SESPTemplate_10401_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SESPTemplate_10401_307.docx)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/10/2021 3:02:00 PM

8. Were grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and were grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm was effectively mitigated?

- 3: Project-affected people actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- 2: *Project-affected people informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were responded to but faced challenges in arriving at a resolution.*
- 1: Project-affected people was not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances were received, they were not responded to. (any may be true)

Evidence:

En tant qu'étude, le projet n'a pas eu à mettre en place un mécanisme de gestion de griefs. Toutefois, tout au long de la conduite des études les organisations de la société civiles ont été activement consultées pour prendre en compte leurs doléances et les impliquer dans le processus de participation.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Management & Monitoring**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

9. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately implemented?

- 3: The project had a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones were fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, included during evaluations and/or After-Action Reviews, were used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets were populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there was may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources was not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were captured but were used to take corrective actions. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not clearly planned and budgeted for, or were unrealistic. Progress data was not regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations did not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project did not have an M&E plan.

Evidence:

En fin de projet, 2 deux consultants (national et international) sont recrutés afin de faire une évaluation finale du projet.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	TDRconsultantint_10401_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/TDRconsultantint_10401_309.pdf)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/10/2021 1:22:00 PM
2	TDRconsultantnat_10401_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/TDRconsultantnat_10401_309.pdf)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/10/2021 1:22:00 PM

10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?

- 3: The project's governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: *The project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)*
- 1: The project's governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

Evidence:

Au travers du mécanisme national de dialogue et d'échange (MNDE).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	MNDE_10401_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MNDE_10401_310.pdf)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/10/2021 1:23:00 PM

11. Were risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- 3: The project monitored risks every quarter and consulted with the key stakeholders, security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks to assess if the main assumptions remained valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates were made to management plans and mitigation measures.*
- 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There was may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that may affected the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions were taken to mitigate risks.

Evidence:

Tous les risques ont été identifiés en début de proje

t. Le Projet a mis les moyens nécessaires pour les éviter, mais pour la plupart cela dépendait pas de sa volonté seule. En effet, ces risques étaient liés pour la plupart au partenaire étatique, assez difficile donc de changer la vision gouvernementale.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	matricedesrisques_10401_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/matricedesrisques_10401_311.pdf)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 11:14:00 PM

Efficient

Quality Rating: Exemplary

12. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

Les ressources ont bien été utilisées pour les activités de la façon dont le Projet les avait budgétisé. Cependant, il est à préciser qu'une partie n'a pu être utilisée en raisons :

- de la situation sanitaire rendant certains déplacements impossibles
- d'un manque de coopération de la partie gouvernementale pour la visite des prisons

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	RevisedActionPlan_10401_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RevisedActionPlan_10401_312.xlsx)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 11:17:00 PM
2	Rapportfinancierau31DEC2020_10401_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Rapportfinancierau31DEC2020_10401_312.xlsx)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 11:18:00 PM

13. Were project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?

- 3: *The project had a procurement plan and kept it updated. The project quarterly reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project had updated procurement plan. The project annually reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not have an updated procurement plan. The project team may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs regularly, however management actions were not taken to address them.

Evidence:

Ce projet n'a pas eu à acheter de biens en grandes quantités. Effectivement, il s'agissait surtout de deux choses :

- un don à la CNDH comportant un bus, des ordinateurs, une imprimante, un appareil photo
- de l'achat la documentation

Les achats pour le don ont bien été commandés et livrés dans les temps prévus à cet effet dès le début du projet.

Ce n'est pas le cas pour la documentation car :

L'UNOCA a revu sa contribution 6 mois avant la fin du projet et a alloué cet argent exclusivement à l'achat de documentation. Plusieurs facteurs ont donc retardé cet achat :

- la durée d'attente de la liste des livres à acheter
- le fait que la plupart de ces livres ont dû être commandés à l'étranger et la période de covid n'a pas aidé à améliorer les délais de livraison (sachant que tous les livres n'ont pas été trouvés aux mêmes endroits)

Les livres sont donc arrivés après la fin de période de mise en oeuvre du projet.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ImagesremisedesdonsàlaCNDH_10401_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ImagesremisedesdonsàlaCNDH_10401_313.docx)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 11:19:00 PM
2	COMMUNIQUEREMISEDONSPNUDUECN DH1011_10401_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/COMMUNIQUEREMISEDONSPNUDUECN DH1011_10401_313.pdf)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 11:22:00 PM
3	doncndh_10401_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/doncndh_10401_313.pdf)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/10/2021 1:31:00 PM

14. Was there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies, taking into account the expected quality of results?

- 3: *There is evidence that the project regularly reviewed costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximized results delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinated with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and sought efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)*
- 2: The project monitored its own costs and gave anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinated activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.
- 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitored its own costs and considered ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

Evidence:

Tout au long de sa période de mise en œuvre, le Pro jet a scrupuleusement suivi son PTA (en dehors du r ecrutement de Michel Forst et de l'enquête sur les c asseroles). Ce PTA a été élaboré par le partenaire U noca, en la personne du conseiller aux droits de l'Ho mme Mr Jean Jacques Purusi Sadiki. Du fait de l'ex périence de l'agence et de son savoir faire.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	OriginalActionPlan_10401_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/OriginalActionPlan_10401_314.xlsx)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 11:29:00 PM

Effective**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

15. Was the project on track and delivered its expected outputs?

- Yes
- No

Evidence:

Enoncé du Résultat 1 : Les capacités techniques et opérationnelles de la CNDH sont renforcées afin d'être capable de remplir son mandat conformément à la loi et aux standards internationaux.

Indicateurs du Résultat 1 atteints :

- Les capacités des membres de la CNDH ont été renforcées en matière d'investigation, prévention et protection des droits de l'Homme
- Outils de sensibilisation et de communication sur les Droits de l'Homme développés et diffusés auprès des différents acteurs

Enoncé du Résultat 2 : Les capacités de la Société civile et des médias dans la lutte contre l'impunité des violations des droits de l'homme sont renforcées afin d'assurer le suivi et une documentation des cas de violations des droits de l'homme

Indicateurs du Résultat 2 atteints :

- OSC ayant bénéficié d'un renforcement de capacité en matière de planification, gestion et monitoring des cas de violations des droits de l'homme (une trentaine)
- Médias dont les capacités ont été renforcées en matière de prévention et protection des droits de l'Homme

Enoncé du Résultat 3 : Un cadre de coordination et de coopération entre les acteurs étatiques et non-étatiques est mis en place et rendu opérationnel

Indicateur du Résultat 3 atteint :

- Cadre de concertation réunissant l'ensemble des acteurs étatiques et non étatiques, tenu à l'occasion du mécanisme national de dialogue et d'échanges (M NDE) (une dizaine de réunions)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
---	-----------	-------------	-------------

No documents available.

16. Were there regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- 3: Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations /or After-Action Reviews) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions were made. (both must be true)
- 2: There was at least one review of the work plan per year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.
- 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management took place.

Evidence:

Le chef de projet a régulièrement rédigé des rapports afin de mesurer et d'analyser les avancées du projet. Des rapports bimensuels et un rapport intermédiaire.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	rapportaout2020_10401_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/rapportaout2020_10401_316.pdf)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 11:39:00 PM
2	rapportseptembre20_10401_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/rapportseptembre20_10401_316.pdf)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 11:39:00 PM
3	rapportoctobre20_10401_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/rapportoctobre20_10401_316.pdf)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 11:39:00 PM
4	rapportnovembre20_10401_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/rapportnovembre20_10401_316.pdf)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 11:39:00 PM
5	RapportIntermediaireFrench_10401_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RapportIntermediaireFrench_10401_316.pdf)	dominique.ledaga@undp.org	11/9/2021 11:40:00 PM

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

- 3: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project’s area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they benefited as expected and adjustments were made if necessary, to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project’s area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they were benefiting as expected. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There is some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefited as expected, but it was limited or did not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Les principaux bénéficiaires du projets étaient :

- la société civile
- les médias
- les détenus

Ces groupes ont fortement été sensibilisés au travers d'ateliers et de formations à l'exception des détenus. En effet, dû à des restrictions gouvernementale, les prisons n'ont pu être visitées, et cela reste à ce jour la seule grande contrariété du projet. Cependant, une partie de la chaîne pénale a pu bénéficier de formations, avec des agents très attentifs et réceptifs à la question du droit des détenus.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Sustainability & National Ownership

Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Toutes les parties prenantes du projet ont été impliquées du début à la fin de la période de sa mise en œuvre. Que ce soit dans la prise de décision à travers le mécanisme national de dialogue et d'échange, dans la mise en œuvre du projet avec l'appui technique et financier de l'UNOCA mais aussi avec l'appui dans les activités du Ministère de la Justice et pour finir dans le suivi du projet avec l'Union Européenne.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

19. Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed, and were the implementation [arrangements](#)⁸ adjusted according to changes in partner capacities?

- 3: Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 2: Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- Not Applicable*

Evidence:

N/A

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

20. Were the transition and phase-out arrangements were reviewed and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitment and capacity).

- 3: The project's governance mechanism regularly reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan was implemented as planned by the end of the project, taking into account any adjustments made during implementation. (both must be true)
- 2: *There was a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.*
- 1: The project may have had a sustainability plan but there was no review of this strategy after it was developed. Also select this option if the project did not have a sustainability strategy.

Evidence:

N/A - les études ont bien été conduites et les livrables ont été transférés à la contrepartie nationale comme recommandé.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

QA Summary/Final Project Board Comments

Le projet d'Appui à la Promotion et à la Protection des Droits de l'Homme au Gabon s'est achevé le 26 Mars 2021. Toutes les activités programmatiques n'ont pu être réalisées à cause de diverses raisons telles que la Covid ou encore le manque d'implication des autorités gouvernementales sur certaines activités. Cependant même si du chemin reste à parcourir, plusieurs avancées ont été constatées et de bonnes fondations ont été construites.