Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved		
Overall Rating: Highly Satisfactory		
Decision:		
Portfolio/Project Number:	00094465	
Portfolio/Project Title:	Soutien Psychosocial et Socio-Economique Suivants Ebola	
Portfolio/Project Date:	2016-01-01 / 2022-03-31	

Strategic Quality Rating: Exemplary

- 1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?
- 3: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)
- 2: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

Evidence:

Le projet a identifié les pertinents dans l'environnem ent externe qui peuvent présenter de nouvelles opp ortunités ou des menaces pour la capacité du projet à atteindre ses objectifs, des hypothèses ont été test ées pour déterminer si la stratégie du projet était vali de.

List of Uploaded Documents					
# File Name Modified By Modified			Modified On		
No documents available.					

- 2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?
- 3: The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopted at least one Signature Solution .The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: The project responded to at least one of the developments settings1 as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- 1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

Bien que le projet ait pu répondre aux besoin identifi és par un partenaire de gestion des urgence, il répo nd aux enjeux liés à la mise en place des conditions de développement planifiées dans le plan stratégiqu e pour le relèvement des communautés affectées ou infectées

Li	List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On	
No documents available.				

Relevant Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory

3. Were the project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?

- 3: Systematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
- 2: Targeted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collected regularly to ensure the project addressed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)
- 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Ce projet est un modèle de relèvement post urgenc e EBOLA au compte duquel les groupes ciblés ont p articipé à la mise en œuvre et au suivi, en mettant l'accent en priorité sur les personnes discriminées et marginalisées.

Lis	st of Uploaded Documents		
# File Name Modified By Modified No documents available.		Modified By Modified O	

- 4. Did the project generate knowledge, and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?
- 3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, were considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team. There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

Evidence:

Les connaissances et les leçons apprises de source s internes ou externe ont été transmises aux projets qui l'ont succédés pour préparer le relevement

Lis	List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On	
No documents available.				

- 5. Was the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?
- 3: There was credible evidence that the project reached sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.
- 2: While the project was not considered at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).
- 1: The project was not at scale, and there are no plans to scale up the project in the future.

Evidence:

Les documents et rapports contiennent suffisammen t d'éléments pour la mise à l'échelle

File Name Modified By Modified On 1 LESSONS-LEARNEDSURVIVANTS_11322_ 305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQ A/QAFormDocuments/LESSONS-LEARNED SURVIVANTS_11322_305.pdf) Modified By Modified On 12/19/2021 10:26:00 AM

Principled	Quality Rating: Satisfactory
------------	------------------------------

6. Were the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.

- 3: The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

Evidence:

Les données recueillies sur le projet ont permis de p rocéder à des réajustement progressifs lors des ses sions de comité de pilotage pour réorienter les actio ns vers la prise en charge du genre et l'égalité de se xe. A ce titre Les mesures du projet, par le biais des extrants, des activités et des indicateurs, visant à lut ter contre les inégalités entre les sexes et à autono miser les femmes étaient pertinentes et ont produit l'effet

Lis	st of Uploaded Documents		
# File Name		Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

7. Were social and environmental impacts and risks successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there is a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP was updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project was categorized as Low risk through the SESP.
- 1: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk, there was no evidence that social and environmental assessments completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There are substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP was not updated. (any may be true)

Evidence:

Bien que le projet soient d'une actions post urgence, les activités prenaient en compte la problématique d es risques environnementaux pour que les impacts et les risques sociaux et environnementaux soient s urveillés avec succès

Lis	List of Uploaded Documents				
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On		
No documents available.					

- 8. Were grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and were grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm was effectively mitigated?
- 3: Project-affected people actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- 2: Project-affected people informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were responded to but faced challenges in arriving at a resolution.
- 1: Project-affected people was not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances were received, they were not responded to. (any may be true)

Evidence:

Le projet avait moins de risque griefs. Nonobstant, I es prévisions d'anticipation pour prévenir ces genres de risque étaient faites

List of Uploaded Documents				
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On	
No documents available.				

Management & Monitoring

Quality Rating: Exemplary

- 9. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately implemented?
- 3: The project had a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones were fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, included during evaluations and/or After-Action Reviews, were used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)
- 2: The project costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets were populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there was may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources was not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were captured but were used to take corrective actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not clearly planned and budgeted for, or were unrealistic. Progress data was not regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations did not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project did not have an M&E plan.

Evidence:

Effectivement le projet avait un plan de S&E complet et chiffré. Il était intégré dans le mécanisme global d e suivi du PNUD et un mécanisme local coordonnée par l'agence de sécurité sanitaire (ANSS). https://anss-guinee.org/

Li	List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On	
1	RAPPORTDEFINITIVESURVIVANTSV14jan v2019_11322_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RAPPORTDEFINITIVESURVIVANTSV14janv2019_11322_309.docx)	mahmoud.conde@undp.org	12/19/2021 10:49:00 AM	

- 10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?
- 3: The project's governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: The project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- 1: The project's governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

Evidence:

Malgré son caractère d'initiative de relèvement post urgence EBOLA dans un contexte de crises sociopo litique parfois, le mécanisme de gouvernance du pro jet a été respecté à la fréquence convenue et les pro cès-verbaux de la réunion sont versés au dossier.

Lis	List of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PVcomitédepilotageSURVIVANTS_11322_3 10 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/ QAFormDocuments/PVcomitédepilotageSU RVIVANTS_11322_310.pdf)	mahmoud.conde@undp.org	12/19/2021 11:41:00 AM

11. Were risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- 3: The project monitored risks every quarter and consulted with the key stakeholders, security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks to assess if the main assumptions remained valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)
- 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates were made to management plans and mitigation measures.
- 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There was may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that may affected the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions were taken to mitigate risks.

Evidence:

lien avec les Ministères partenaires, en charge de l'a ction sociale et la santé à travers l'Agence nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire (ANSS), le PNUD a mis en co hérence la gestion des risques liés au projet avec le s mécanismes institutionnels des partenaires. Ainsi l es risques pour le projet ont-ils fait l'objet d'une surv eillance et d'une gestion adéquates

Li	st of Uploaded Documents			
# File Name Modified By Modified On No documents available.		Modified By	Modified On	

Efficient Quality Rating: Exemplary

- 12. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.
- Yes
- O No

Evidence:

Avec la participation du Japon, la Belgique et les MP TF, es ressources suffisantes ont été mobilisées pou r atteindre les résultats escomptés

Li	List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On	
No documents available.				

- 13. Were project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?
- 3: The project had a procurement plan and kept it updated. The project quarterly reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 2: The project had updated procurement plan. The project annually reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not have an updated procurement plan. The project team may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs regularly, however management actions were not taken to address them.

Evidence:

les projets ont été parmi les plus prioritaire du PNU D, Le projet avait un plan d'approvisionnement et le tenait à jour

Li	List of Uploaded Documents			
#	Modified On			
No	documents available.			

- 14. Was there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies, taking into account the expected quality of results?
- 3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviewed costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximized results delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinated with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and sought efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)
- 2: The project monitored its own costs and gave anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinated activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.
- 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitored its own costs and considered ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

Evidence:

est prouvé que le projet a régulièrement examiné les coûts par rapport aux comparateurs pertinents (p. e x., d'autres projets ou bureaux de pays) ou aux point s de repère de l'industrie pour s'assurer que le proje t a maximisé les résultats obtenus avec des ressour ces données

Lis	List of Uploaded Documents				
#	File Name Modified By Modified On				
No documents available.					

 Yes No Evidence: Le projet a atteint ces résultats dans des proportions raisonnables List of Uploaded Documents 		mplary	Quality Rating:	tive	fect			
Evidence: Le projet a atteint ces résultats dans des proportions raisonnables	15. Was the project on track and delivered its expected outputs?							
Le projet a atteint ces résultats dans des proportions raisonnables								
raisonnables				idence:	Evi			
List of Uploaded Documents			3					
List of Uploaded Documents								
		List of Uploaded Documents						
# File Name Modified By Modified On		Modified On						
No documents available.								

16. Were there regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- 3: Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations /or After-Action Reviews) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions were made. (both must be true)
- 2: There was at least one review of the work plan per year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.
- 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management took place.

Evidence:

Les données trimestrielles sur l'état d'avancement é clairent les examens réguliers du plan de travail du projet pour s'assurer que les activités mises en œuv re étaient les plus susceptibles d'atteindre les résult ats souhaités.

	List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On	
1	LESSONS-LEARNEDSURVIVANTS_11322_ 316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQ A/QAFormDocuments/LESSONS-LEARNED SURVIVANTS_11322_316.pdf)	mahmoud.conde@undp.org	12/19/2021 12:03:00 PM	
2	RAPPORTEVALUATIONAMI-PARCOURSS URVIVANTSEBOLA_11322_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RAPPORTEVALUATIONAMI-PARCOURSSURVIVANTSEBOLA_11322_316.pdf)	mahmoud.conde@undp.org	12/19/2021 12:04:00 PN	
3	Doc1RapportdactivitemiparcoursRBelgeJullie t2017Juin2018_11322_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Doc1RapportdactivitemiparcoursRBelgeJullie t2017Juin2018_11322_316.pdf)	mahmoud.conde@undp.org	12/19/2021 12:04:00 PM	

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

- 3: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they benefited as expected and adjustments were made if necessary, to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- 2: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they were benefiting as expected. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There is some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefited as expected, but it was limited or did not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

L'action est focalisée sur la protection des personne s vulnérables affectées ou infectées par l'épidémie s usceptibles d'être marginalisées. A ce titre les group es ciblés ont été systématiquement identifiés et eng agés, en donnant la priorité aux personnes marginali sées et exclues

List of Uploaded Documents			
# File Name Modified By Modified On			
No documents available.			

Sustainability & National Ownership Quality Rating: Exemplary

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

le projet est bâti sur la demande des parties prenant es constituées par les acteurs nationaux et les com munautés. elles ont-ils été pleinement impliqués dan s la prise de décision, la mise en œuvre et le suivi d u projet et assument d'ailleurs la pérennisation des acquis à travers les structures pérennes de l'état et des collectivités avec l'appui des services technique s déconcentrés

List of Uploaded Documents				
	#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
	1	PLANSTRAT_ANSS20180408_11322_31 8 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/Q AFormDocuments/PLANSTRAT_ANSS20 180408_11322_318.pdf)	mahmoud.conde@undp.org	12/19/2021 12:14:00 PM

19. Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed, and were the implementation arrangements⁸ adjusted according to changes in partner capacities?

- 3: Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 2: Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Les différents suivi intégrés dans un mécanisme glo bal de la plateforme dédié au niveau du PNUD avec la collaboration des partenaires nationaux fonctionn e et a permis de suivre les évolution sur le terrain. L'évolution des capacités et des performances des i nstitutions et systèmes nationaux a été évaluée/suivi e à l'aide d'indicateurs clairs, de méthodes rigoureus es de collecte de données et de sources de donnée s crédibles

Li	List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On	
1	Rapportsuiviàmi-parcourssurvivants1511201 710h102_11322_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Rapportsuiviàmi-parcourssurvivants1511201710h 102_11322_319.pdf)	mahmoud.conde@undp.org	12/19/2021 12:18:00 PM	

- 20. Were the transition and phase-out arrangements were reviewed and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitment and capacity).
- 3: The project's governance mechanism regularly reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan was implemented as planned by the end of the project, taking into account any adjustments made during implementation. (both must be true)
- 2: There was a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.
- 1: The project may have had a sustainability plan but there was no review of this strategy after it was developed. Also select this option if the project did not have a sustainability strategy.

Evidence:

l'approche de mise en œuvre du projet a été bien pe nsée. A travers la gouvernance du projet les compét ences ont été transmises aux départements étatique s notamment ceux en charge de la santé à travers l'ANSS et le ministère en charge de l'action sociale à travers ses directions techniques. Le mécanisme d e gouvernance du projet a ainsi régulièrement exami né le plan de durabilité du projet y compris les moda lités de transition et d'élimination progressive, afin d e s'assurer que le projet restait sur la bonne voie po ur répondre aux exigences

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PLANSTRAT_ANSS20180408_11322_32 0 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/Q AFormDocuments/PLANSTRAT_ANSS20 180408_11322_320.pdf)	mahmoud.conde@undp.org	12/19/2021 12:25:00 PM
2	PVcomitédepilotageSURVIVANTS_11322_3 20 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PVcomitédepilotageSURVIVANTS_11322_320.pdf)	mahmoud.conde@undp.org	12/19/2021 12:26:00 PM
3	LESSONS-LEARNEDSURVIVANTS_11322_ 320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQ A/QAFormDocuments/LESSONS-LEARNED SURVIVANTS_11322_320.pdf)	mahmoud.conde@undp.org	12/19/2021 12:26:00 PM

QA Summary/Final Project Board Comments

Les activités mise en œuvre dans le cadre de ce projets ont permis le renforcement du Soutien Psychosocial et Soci o-Economique Suivants Ebola.