

SIGNATURE PAGE

UNDAF Outcome(s)/Indicator(s):

(Link to UNDAF outcome, if no UNDAF, leave blank)

Expected Outcome(s)/Indicator (s):


Expected CP Outcome(s)/Indicator (s):

Implementing agency:

Direct Execution

Programme Period:	
Programme Component:	
Project Title:	Armed Violence Prevention Capacities Strengthened
Project Code:	00070002
Project Duration:	01/01/2013 to 31/12/2015

Total budget:	USD 3,963,000
Allocated resources:	
• Government	<u> n/a </u>
• Regular	<u> 481,500 </u>
• Other: <i>(including in-kind contributions)</i>	
○ Donor	<u> 3,481,500 </u>
Unfunded budget:	<u> none </u>


Agreed by (UNDP):

 1/1/13



PROJECT DOCUMENT

Project Title in Atlas: Armed Violence Prevention Capacities Strengthened

Project ID: 00070002 under Award ID 48982 on Crisis Prevention and Recovery Practice Development

Duration: 1 January 2013 - 31 December 2015

UN Agency: UNDP

Project Partners: *Member states of the CCM represented by Presidencies of MSPs and the Coordination Committee; the ATT, the Geneva Declaration on Armed Violence and Development with Co-chair Switzerland, partner agencies and civil society organisations, among them ICRC, UN ODA, the GD secretariat, Arms Control, Art. 36, WILPF Reaching Critical Will, Cluster Munitions Coalition and the International Campaign to Ban Landmines*

Project Budget: USD 3,963,000 USD

I. Context

Preventive measures such as armed violence reduction and prevention and humanitarian disarmament efforts help improve the prospects for peace and development, lessens the risk for undoing development and creates a conducive working environment in which recovery and rehabilitation can become sustainable and development pathways can be pursued. Working with member states in participatory processes that enhance state capacity to strengthen IHL as well as in building global norms combined with improving implementation of international humanitarian and human rights law on the ground, increase the prospects for holding peace that can evolve and lessens the impact that armed violence and indiscriminate use of weapons otherwise will have on development.

UNDP has been involved in the making of the Convention on Cluster Munitions and the Arms Trade Treaty and is actively working in support of Member State implementation of a number of international humanitarian arms control instruments and initiatives that contribute to increase security, stability and a sense of safety to war-torn societies and communities affected by high levels of crime increased. These include, amongst others, the Anti-personnel Mine Ban Convention (1997), the Convention on Cluster Munitions (2008), the Convention on certain Conventional Weapons (1980), the UN Programme of Action on Small Arms and Light Weapons and the inter-agency initiative on International Small Arms Control Standards, the Geneva Declaration on Armed Violence and Development (2006), the Arms Trade Treaty (2013) and ongoing discussions on the Humanitarian Impact of Nuclear explosions. The work also entails advocacy and support to Member States on the legislative work to anchor accountability and conformity with international humanitarian and human rights law.

With reference to UNDPs lead role in the process to establish a ban on cluster munitions, the work also includes the Member State request function of Executive Coordinator and an interim implementation support unit and secretariat to the Convention, an activity currently scheduled up to the end of 2015 to accommodate decision by States parties to the CCM (CCM/MSP/2013/6). In this capacity UNDP/BCPR coordinates all the work under the Convention, draft all substantive work, action plans and declarations, organize its annual meetings and intersessional work programs and support states implementation on matters pertaining to universalization, national legislation, cooperation and assistance, victim assistance, and clearance and stockpile destruction, the latter two through UNDP Country office support to national mine action programs.

II. Strategy

UNDP's conceptual vision of armed violence prevention and humanitarian disarmament has been developed in line with the spirit of the General Assembly's Millennium Declaration (2000), which not only sets objectives in the field of poverty eradication and development, but also lists commitments in the field of peace, security and disarmament. These commitments include: (i) assisting states in the implementation of treaties on arms control and disarmament; (ii) taking concerted action to end illicit traffic in small arms and light weapons including effects based engagement in the forthcoming negotiations of an Arms Trade Treaty; (iii) promoting the conventions on anti-personnel mines and cluster munitions and relevant protocols to the Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons (CCW) as well as advocating for assistance to victims of armed violence within the broader framework of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD); (iv) strengthening institutions to adequately prevent and respond to armed violence; and (v) combatting all forms of violence against women.

Beyond in-country programming and policy development efforts within the framework of UNDPs ongoing institutional work, this project supports a third element in the realization of peaceful and inclusive societies, rule of law and capable institutions, namely the **support to inclusive processes of Member States in advancing this agenda and also extends to specific member states request in supporting elements of these**. To achieve this, efforts are also included, as per request, to ensure inclusive representation at events and foras and in processes and discourse charged by armed violence prevention and humanitarian disarmament efforts. Sponsorship programs are therefore included as a means to achieve this.

III. Objective and Outputs

UNDP will provide substantive and logistical support to the organization and execution of the different activities and relevant events undertaken in partnership with project partners as well as support for the administration of the funds of the project.

IV. Management arrangements

The project will, due to the location of ongoing initiatives and discourse, be executed by BCPR Geneva Liaison Office under the Crisis Prevention and Recovery Practice Development DEX modality under Output 3 – on Conflict Prevention Sub-practice Management which has an overall intended output in line with the UNDP Strategic Plan Outcome, namely the generation of solutions for conflict prevention

and natural risk management through common analysis and inclusive dialogue among governments, civil society actors and other partners, such as other UN agencies and departments, international organizations, and bilateral partners. As such, this subproject adheres to Activity Category 2: Practice leadership and advocacy which enables UNDP to advocate for and facilitate consensus on conflict prevention priorities at the inter-governmental level and with key UN Member States and will be managed under the supervision of the UNDP Conflict Prevention Partnership Advisor, designated as Project Coordinator. This in turn supports the frameworks and foundations for country level support work of UNDP and its partners. The forums referred to are the same as contained in the initially defined category, i.e. instruments and processes of humanitarian disarmament and violence prevention nature but with necessary revisions linked to timelines and the development of discourse but remain within the remit of universalization, implementation and monitoring.

The resources necessary for the activities are earmarked and provided for bilaterally with reference to specific events, project costs and activities contained herein. The project has enjoyed a broad donor base and have raised sufficient funds to cover budgeted costs with USD 2.1 million in contributions from Australia, Austria, Finland, Germany, Hungary, New Zealand, Norway and Switzerland for activities and other project costs including staff in 2011 and with USD 2.6 million in contributions for project costs in 2012 provided by Australia, Germany, Ireland, Mexico, Norway and Switzerland.

The project comprise the following personnel:

- Programme Specialist (temporary appointment P3)
- General Service support personnel (G6)
- General Service support personnel (G5)

V. Monitoring and Evaluation Framework

In accordance with the programming policies and procedures outlined in the UNDP User Guide, the project will be monitored through the following:

Within the annual cycle

- On a quarterly basis, a quality assessment shall record progress towards the completion of key results, based on quality criteria and methods captured in the Quality Management table below.
- An Issue Log shall be activated in Atlas and updated by the Project Manager to facilitate tracking and resolution of potential problems or requests for change.
- Based on the initial risk analysis submitted (see annex 1), a risk log shall be activated in Atlas and regularly updated by reviewing the external environment that may affect the project implementation.
- Based on the above information recorded in Atlas, a Project Progress Reports (PPR) shall be submitted by the Project Manager to the Project Board through Project Assurance, using the standard report format available in the Executive Snapshot.
- a project Lesson-learned log shall be activated and regularly updated to ensure on-going learning and adaptation within the organization, and to facilitate the preparation of the Lessons-learned Report at the end of the project
- a Monitoring Schedule Plan shall be activated in Atlas and updated to track key management actions/events

Annually

- **Annual Review Report.** An Annual Review Report shall be prepared by the Project Manager and shared with the Project Board and the Outcome Board. As minimum requirement, the Annual Review Report shall consist of the Atlas standard format for the QPR covering the whole year with updated information for each above element of the QPR as well as a summary of results achieved against pre-defined annual targets at the output level.
- **Annual Project Review.** Based on the above report, an annual project review shall be conducted during the fourth quarter of the year or soon after, to assess the performance of the project and appraise the Annual Work Plan (AWP) for the following year. In the last year, this review will be a final assessment. This review is driven by the Project Board and may involve other stakeholders as required. It shall focus on the extent to which progress is being made towards outputs, and that these remain aligned to appropriate outcomes.

V. Budget

Year	Bilateral Funds	Bureau Funds¹	Total
2013	1,000,000	321,000 ²	1,321,000
2014	1,160,500	160,500 ³	1,321,000
2015	1,321,000	-	1,321,000
Total	3,481,500	481,500	3,963,000

¹ Costs include UNDP contribution to initial staff costs for project assistance. Temporary appointment P3 is fully funded from bilateral funds. Additional reversals of the salary cost against spare/available mobilized bilateral funds may take place in the course of each particular year.

² Salary cost: G6 168,000 USD, G5 153,000 USD

³ Salary cost: G6 84,000 (6 months only), G5 76,500 USD (6 months only)