Project Title:

Technical Support to Karnataka State Rural Livelihood Mission

Project ID: 123729

Annual Progress Report

January 2021- December 2021

Date:	5 th January 2022
Award ID:	130409
Project ID:	123729
Project Title:	Technical Support to Karnataka State Rural Livelihood Mission
Project Start Date:	24-08-2020
Project End Date:	23-7-2023
Donor:	Karnataka State Rural Livelihood Mission, Govt of Karnataka
Implementing Partner:	UNDP
Total Project Budget (all years):	INR 197091144/USD 2779847
Core Resources:	
Non-Core Resources:	106 (Original target of 108 has been revised)
Government contribution:	
Project Brief Description and Out	mute

PROJECT SNAPSHOT

Project Brief Description and Outputs:

UNDP is providing technical support to the Karnataka State Rural Livelihood Mission (KSRLPS -Sanjeevini) to implement the National Rural Economic Transformation Project (NRETP). KSRLPS - Sanjeevini is set up as an autonomous society named Karnataka State Rural Livelihood Promotion Society (KSRLPS-Sanjeevini) and involved in implementing objectives of NRLM with the larger objective of reducing poverty and creating livelihood opportunities for rural population. Through this project UNDP is transferring its technical experience gathered over partnership with national and state level programs. Through this project UNDP is setting up a project management unit, partnerships, knowledge management systems and making innovation to support implementation efforts of KSRLPS- Sanjeevini. UNDP is supporting KSRLPS – Sanjeevini in its agenda of inclusion of the poorest of the poor through transformation of the groups of rural women into empowered, self-reliant community organizations consists of four stages of development

- (i) Social mobilization, community institution and capacity building
- (ii) Financial inclusion
- (iii) Livelihood promotion/skill building and
- (iv) Convergence

as clearly defined in the NRLM scheme document

The project objectives are:

- 1. Institutional Strengthening to support the KSRLPS, Government of Karnataka to become a provider of high-quality technical assistance in the field of rural transformation and livelihoods promotion within the state.
- 2. Livelihood Support to operationalize the Annual Action Plan, and the implementation of the National Rural Livelihoods Mission (NRLM) activities from the state to the block level.
- 3. Innovation and Partnerships to identify, nurture and support innovative ideas from across the different states to address the livelihood needs of the rural poor. Leveraging UNDP's implementation experiences and best practices developed at the national level (NRLM) and state level (SRLM) engagements.

-	-						anagement unit at
the sta	te leve	l that dev	velops k	ey system	s and proce	esses	for coordination,
manage	ement ar	nd concurre	ent moni	toring of th	ne programm	e.	
List of focus States	/district	5					
	Ga	dag		5 Blocks			
	Tu	mkur		4 Blocks			
	Ut	tar Kannad	а	4 Blocks			
Karnataka	Bij	apur		4 Blocks			
	Ba	galkote		3 Blocks			
	Ко	ppal		3 Blocks			
	Ya	dgir		3 Blocks			
Total	7 [Districts		26 Blocks			
Overall Project Qua	lity Ratin	g (mark on t	the scale of	of 1 to 5 as	per the follow	ing crit	eria): 3
Exemplary (5)	Hi	gh (4)	Satisfa	ctory (3)	Poor (2)	Inadequate (1)
****	*	***	*	**	**		*
All outputs are		tputs are		itput may	Two output		One output is
rated High or		atisfactory		ed Poor,	rated Poor,		rated Inadequate,
Exemplary	or high	er, and at	and a	ll other	all other cri	teria	or more than two
	least tv	vo criteria	criteria	are rated	are rate	d	criteria are rated
	are rat	ed High or	Satisfa	actory or	Satisfactor	y or	Poor
	Exe	mplary	hi	gher	higher		
Budget 2021		USD 5070	00				
Expenditure 2021		USD 4074	51.39				
Delivery %		80.36%					

CONTENTS

- 1. Executive Summary
- 2. Project Background
- **3.** Project Performance and Results
- 4. Project risks and issues
- 5. Lessons Learned
- 6. The Way Ahead/Key Priorities for 2019

ACRONYMS

NRLM – National Rural Livelihood Mission

SRLM - State Rural Livelihood Mission

KSRLPS – Karnataka State Rural Livelihood Promotion Society

NRETP - National Rural Economic Transformation Project

FPO – Farmer Producer Organization

SHG – Self Help Groups

SMMU – State Mission Management Unit

DMMU – District Mission Management Unit

TMMU – Taluka Mission Management Unit

PMU - Project Management Unit

1. Executive Summary

The executive summary is a concise brief on the progress towards the project outputs during the reporting period. The section also includes key implementation challenges, lessons learned and way forward. It is also suggested to include key financial information, such as expenditure for the reporting period, cumulative expenditure and a delivery rate against budget. (Suggested length - 400 words maximum)

The project has been able to add value to KSRLPS Sanjeevini's work in its different thematic verticals. The State PMU placed through UNDP and working in the KSRLPS - Sanjeevini SMMU has contributed in making an effective Annual Action Plan and also diving the implementation through coordination with district and block levels.

Innovative interventions like the Santhe platform made possible pioneering interventions such as creation of online registry of SHG/Artisans and a online space for SHG and handicraft products. The intended value chain analysis will give a direction to producer groups and FPOs to identify top commodities and also to adopt business plans developed as a product of the study.

The partnerships developed between KSRLPS – Sanjeevini and different development agencies (Govt and NGO) and organizations have been adding value to KSRLPS Sanjeevini through voluntary expertise sharing, guidance and network building.

The project has completed the institution strengthening process and looks to engage in more value add activities and partnership and network building in the coming year 2 and 3. The consultants that are placed have integrated well and various capacity building measures will be taken up to increase their contribution and impact. Similarly knowledge products such as case studies and best practices will be captured and developed to ensure the learnings are shared within and outside the state.

2. Project Background

The background should be a short introductory of the project. The situation analysis and the objective sections of the Project Document can be referred to for this section. Also include an up-to-date overview of changes in the context and situations. (Suggested length - maximum half a page)

The Karnataka State Government is implementing the DAY-NRLM program in phases through Karnataka State Rural Livelihood Promotion Society (KSRLPS) in the name of "Sanjeevini". With the larger objective of reducing poverty and creating livelihood opportunities for rural population KSRLPS Sanjeevini is engaged in different activities such as strengthening SHGs/ CBOs/federations/Livelihood Collectives, establishment of linkages and promotion of livelihoods.

UNDP carries the experience of setting up the NMMU for NRLM program. In addition, UNDP has been partnering with various government departments at national and state level to remove the barriers to livelihood promotion exist at all levels – micro (village-district), meso (state) and macro (national)

In this context the State government of Karnataka partnered with UNDP to bring to bear UNDP's experience to quickly transfer the technical know-how gained at the national and state level working

across the country on this flagship schemes. UNDP is providing Technical Support to KSRLPS - Sanjeevini to implement NRETP in three NRETP districts: Tumkur, Uttara Kannada and Gadag and in 13 more blocks in 4 other districts.

The four main components of the project are:

Component 1. Institutional Strengthening – To support the KSRLPS, Government of Karnataka to become a provider of high-quality technical assistance in the field of rural transformation and livelihoods promotion within the state.

Component 2. Livelihood Support: The Annual Action Plan operationalized through the technical support staff. This is leading to effective implementation of the NRLM activities from the state to the block level, including support to the mobilization, formation of institutions of the rural poor, financial inclusion, enterprise development and skill training support, credit linkages, access to markets etc.

Component 3. Innovation and Partnerships: This component identifies, nurtures and supports innovative ideas from across the different states to address the livelihood needs of the rural poor. UNDP is bringing on board implementation experiences and best practices developed at the national level (NRLM) and state level (SRLM) engagements to encourage cross learnings and transfer of successes in other states that can be customized to the needs and development agenda of the Karnataka government.

Component 4. Project Management Support: This component is to establish an effective project management unit at the state level that develops key systems and processes for coordination, management and concurrent monitoring of the programme.

The project has achieved considerable success despite challenges thrown up by the COVID19 pandemic. The project management unit set up is almost completed at all three levels – state, district and blocks. 92 positions have been filled and two positions are on hold.

Similarly, a web platform" Santhe" along with 2 apps have been created to act as registry of SHGs and artisans across the state. registry enables SHGs and Artisans to register with their contact details and also upload their product information as per predefined categories and template. Thus creating a online space where interested customers can view these products and contact the SHGs/artisans to facilitate further transactions. This initiative has added value to the non-farm livelihood efforts of KSRLPS Sanjeevini. Several partnerships have also been initiated with development agencies such as World bank, ecommerce platform such as Flipkart.

3. Project Performance and Results

3.1. Contribution towards Country Programme Outcome

3.1. Contribution towards Co CPD Outcome:	untry Program	me Outcome	
	thened to pro	grossivoly doliv	ver universal access to basic services,
employment, and sustainable liv			
Indicator(s):	Baseline:	Target(s):	Achievement(s):
indicator(s).	0	10	Achievement(s).
	-		
CPD Output/s: 2.1: Models with la			
employment and entrepreneurs			• • •
Indicator	Baseline:	Target(s):	Achievement(s):
Indicator 2.1.2: Percentage	No data	10 additional	
increase in the ownership of			
enterprise by women from			
marginalized communities.		e abieved in 20	21.
Description of output level/outcom			
		-	capacities of KSRLPS – Sanjeevini in
		•	essionals have been identified,
selected, and deployed a		strict, and bloc	k level.
Status: Total posi			
-			n: 17, Advertised & to be
		d: 2, Positions o	
 Market Assessment and 	Value Chain R	esearch: A tech	nnical support agency (TSA) has been
selected to conduct a ho	listic value cha	ain study of hig	h value farm & non-farm
commodities in 13 block	s of 3 NRETP c	listricts.	
• The 6 month study is in p	progress and a	it the end will p	provide value chain development
			tion scope involving women
entrepreneurs.			
•	Kaushalkar"	long with 2 m	obile Apps have been created to act
		-	Some Apps have been created to act
as registry of SHGs/artisa			
•	ts from 70+ SF	lGs of 8 pilot d	istricts have been uploaded on this
platform so far.			
 900+ Sanjeevini f 	unctionaries h	iave been train	ed on use of the Apps.
 Several partnerships hav 	e also been in	itiated with de	velopment agencies such as World
Bank and ecommerce pla	atforms.		
Means of Verification			
Contract issued to the IC's and tech	• •	-	1
Web platform – Santhe kaushalkar	nups://sanine	.kausnaikar.com	<u>L</u>

3.2. Progress towards Project Results/Outputs

Indicator(s)	Base	line	Target(s)	Achievement(s)	
Identification, select	ion and		106	97 ICs have been	recruited placed,
placement of Individ	ual		(Revised)	out of which 5 are	e yet to report, 2
consultants to streng	-			positions have be	en advertised and 2
thematic verticals an				positions are on h	old.
administrative areas KSRLPS	of Sanjeevini				
Description of proje	ct output level <u>resul</u>	ts achiev	red in 2021:		
ToRs for all approved	d 108 positions were	prepared	d after getting	KSRLPS - Sanjeevini in	puts. The positions
were advertised thro	ough UNDPs website	and shor	rtlists were pre	pared. Interview pane	els consisting of
UNDP and KSRLPS - S	Sanjeevini functionar	ies was c	constituted to f	inalise the consultant	s at block district
and state level.					
•	•	•		n during the first half	•
• •		-		ate level. Consultants	•
all the verticals of KS	RI PS Sanieevini – Fa	rm and n			
	•				
development, capaci	ity building, commur	ications,	, IT at state, dis	trict and block levels.	In administrative
development, capaci areas such as Humar	ity building, commur	ications,	, IT at state, dis		In administrative
development, capaci areas such as Humar level.	ity building, commur n Resource, procurer	ications, nent and	, IT at state, dis accounts cons	trict and block levels. ultants have been pla	In administrative ced at the state
development, capaci areas such as Humar level. Overall Output Statu	ity building, commur n Resource, procurer is (mark the output c	iications, nent and	, IT at state, dis accounts cons ale of 1 to 5 as	trict and block levels. ultants have been pla per the following crite	In administrative ced at the state eria): 3
development, capaci areas such as Humar level.	ity building, commur n Resource, procurer	iications, nent and	, IT at state, dis accounts cons	trict and block levels. ultants have been pla	In administrative ced at the state
development, capaci areas such as Humar level. Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5)	ity building, commur n Resource, procurer is (mark the output c High (4)	nent and nent sca n the sca Satis	IT at state, dis accounts cons ale of 1 to 5 as sfactory (3)	trict and block levels. Sultants have been pla per the following crite Poor (2)	In administrative ced at the state eria): 3
development, capaci areas such as Humar level. Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) *****	ity building, commun n Resource, procurer is (mark the output of High (4) ****	nent and n the sca Satis	, IT at state, dis accounts cons ale of 1 to 5 as sfactory (3) ***	trict and block levels. Sultants have been pla per the following crite Poor (2) **	In administrative ced at the state eria): 3 Inadequate (1) * Project outputs
development, capaci areas such as Humar level. Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is	ity building, commun n Resource, procuren is (mark the output of High (4) **** The project is	n the sca Satis	IT at state, dis accounts cons ale of 1 to 5 as sfactory (3) *** e project is	trict and block levels. Sultants have been pla per the following crite Poor (2) ** The project is	In administrative ced at the state eria): 3 Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be
development, capaci areas such as Humar level. Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is expected to over-	ity building, commun n Resource, procuren is (mark the output of High (4) **** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or	n the sca n the sca Satis The exp achie out	IT at state, dis accounts cons ale of 1 to 5 as sfactory (3) *** e project is pected to eve targeted tputs with	trict and block levels. ultants have been pla per the following crite Poor (2) ** The project is expected to partially achieve targeted outputs,	In administrative ced at the state eria): 3 Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be achieved and/or are not likely to b
development, capaci areas such as Humar level. Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of	ity building, commun n Resource, procuren (s (mark the output of High (4) **** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of	n the sca n the sca Satis The exp achie out expec	IT at state, dis accounts cons ale of 1 to 5 as sfactory (3) *** e project is pected to eve targeted tputs with cted levels of	trict and block levels. Sultants have been pla per the following crite Poor (2) ** The project is expected to partially achieve targeted outputs, with less than	In administrative ced at the state eria): 3 Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be achieved and/or are not likely to b effective in
development, capaci areas such as Humar level. Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of quality, and there	ity building, commun n Resource, procuren is (mark the output of High (4) **** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or	n the sca n the sca Satis The exp achie out expec	IT at state, dis accounts cons ale of 1 to 5 as sfactory (3) *** e project is pected to eve targeted tputs with	trict and block levels. sultants have been pla per the following crite Poor (2) ** The project is expected to partially achieve targeted outputs, with less than expected levels of	In administrative ced at the state eria): 3 Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be achieved and/or are not likely to b effective in supporting the
development, capaci areas such as Humar level. Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of quality, and there is evidence that	ity building, commun n Resource, procuren (s (mark the output of High (4) **** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of	n the sca n the sca Satis The exp achie out expec	IT at state, dis accounts cons ale of 1 to 5 as sfactory (3) *** e project is pected to eve targeted tputs with cted levels of	trict and block levels. Sultants have been pla per the following crite Poor (2) ** The project is expected to partially achieve targeted outputs, with less than	In administrative ced at the state eria): 3 Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be achieved and/or are not likely to b effective in supporting the achievement of
development, capaci areas such as Humar level. Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of quality, and there is evidence that outputs are	ity building, commun n Resource, procuren (s (mark the output of High (4) **** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of	n the sca n the sca Satis The exp achie out expec	IT at state, dis accounts cons ale of 1 to 5 as sfactory (3) *** e project is pected to eve targeted tputs with cted levels of	trict and block levels. sultants have been pla per the following crite Poor (2) ** The project is expected to partially achieve targeted outputs, with less than expected levels of	In administrative ced at the state eria): 3 Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be achieved and/or are not likely to b effective in supporting the
development, capaci areas such as Humar level. Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of quality, and there is evidence that	ity building, commun n Resource, procuren (s (mark the output of High (4) **** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of	n the sca n the sca Satis The exp achie out expec	IT at state, dis accounts cons ale of 1 to 5 as sfactory (3) *** e project is pected to eve targeted tputs with cted levels of	trict and block levels. sultants have been pla per the following crite Poor (2) ** The project is expected to partially achieve targeted outputs, with less than expected levels of	In administrative ced at the state eria): 3 Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be achieved and/or are not likely to b effective in supporting the achievement of

Project Output II: Livelihood Su	ıpport		
Indicator(s):	Baseline:	Target(s):	Achievement(s):
Operationalize the Annual Action Plan			All recruited consultants have prepared their work plan aligning to and working towards Sanjeevini's annual action plan and got approval by

		their supervisors. They are working a per these action plans.	IS
Support implementation of the National Rural Livelihoods Mission (NRLM) activities from the state to the block level.		Consultants have been placed on in th program verticals of KSRLPS Sanjeevin	
Targeted livelihood investments - Value Chain analysis to identify top 6 Agri and horti commodities in 3 NRETP districts		Agency has been chosen an consultant position advertised.	d

Description of project output level <u>results achieved</u> in 2021:

Consultants have been placed on in the program verticals of KSRLPS Sanjeevini at state (25), district (3) and block levels (65+) ensuring support to NRLM team in implementation.

A 'Value Chain Analysis' (VCA) to identify top 6 crops (3 Agriculture+ 3 Horticulture crops) in each NRETP districts (Tumkuru, Gadag and Uttara Kannada). The study will provide the following outputs:

- Report on methodology and documentation of the process
- Report on policies and regulations @National, state and district level. A document to serve like a Manual for FPOs
- Value Chain Analysis report for 3 Agri and 3 horticulture crops- including stakeholder mapping produce market mapping.
- Identification of Clusters in the target districts.
- Report on gap identification in Capacity building of PG women members. (actual v/s target)
- Strategic Business plan development for selected commodities to be used at PG and FPO levels. Strategic business plan prepared for each value chains with the collective's structure from village to district within NRLM framework.
- Recommendations (intervention matrix).

This will enable the Farm livelihood team to formulate strategies for promoting farm-based livelihoods and also promote viable and profitable FPOs in the NRETP districts and beyond.

Overall Output Statu	s (mark the output on	the scale of 1 to 5 as	per the following crite	eria): 3
Exemplary (5)	High (4)	Satisfactory (3)	Poor (2)	Inadequate (1)
****	****	***	**	*
The project is	The project is	The project is	The project is	Project outputs
expected to over-	expected to over-	expected to	expected to	will likely not be
achieve targeted	achieve targeted	achieve targeted	partially achieve	achieved and/or
outputs and/or	outputs and/or	outputs with	targeted outputs,	are not likely to be
expected levels of	expected levels of	expected levels of	with less than	effective in
quality, and there	quality	quality	expected levels of	supporting the
is evidence that			quality	achievement of
outputs are				targeted outcomes
contributing to				
targeted outcomes				
Means of Verification	n: KSRLPS - Sanjeevini	AAP.		

Indicator(s)	Bas	eline	Target(s)	Achievement(s)	
Online registry for SH	G/Artisan		Achieving state	Creation of platfo	orm, development of
products			wide coverage	training modul	,
			and onboarding	0 0 1	uct onboarding and
			1000+ SHGs	training of field le	
Partnerships develope					vith development
other development ag	gencies			agencies, private institutions facilita	orgs and academic ated.
Description of project	output level resu	Its ach	ieved in 2021:		
digitally empower the The same is ha has provided online w	nded over to	KSR	LPS for furthe	er onboarding w	ance their business. ork. UNDP team
Number of Categor					14
Number of Sub-Cat					88
Product Templates					1107
Total Products Uple	baded by SHG/A	rtisans			504
Materials 3					370
Total SHGs					72
Total Artisans					807
Total Users/Buyers					77
Total Number of pr					857
Total Number of pr			•		504
Total Number of pr	oducts Rejected	in the	portal		353
Several partnerships ecommerce platform to strengthen their th	such as Flipkart an				
Overall Output Status		on the	scale of 1 to 5 as p	per the following crit	eria): 3
Exemplary (5)	High (4)	S	atisfactory (3)	Poor (2)	Inadequate (1)
****	* * * *		* * *	**	*

	· · ·		0	,
Exemplary (5) *****	High (4) ****	Satisfactory (3) ***	Poor (2) **	Inadequate (1) *
The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of quality, and there is evidence that outputs are	The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of quality	The project is expected to achieve targeted outputs with expected levels of quality	The project is expected to partially achieve targeted outputs, with less than expected levels of quality	Project outputs will likely not be achieved and/or are not likely to be effective in supporting the achievement of targeted outcomes

contributing to			
targeted outcomes			
Means of Verificatio	on: PMU details Sate,	district and blocks	
Santh portal: https:/	//santhe.kaushalkar.c	<u>:om/</u>	

Indicator(s)		Baseli	ne	Target(s)		Achieve	ment(s)
An effective project	management			A comprehe	ensive and	The PML	J at state level is
unit at the state leve	el.			robust moni	itoring and	complet	ely set up as with
				evaluation s	system will	consulta	nts working in all
				be e	established	thematio	c areas and
				develops key	v systems &	administ	rative areas of
				processes	for	KSRLPS S	Sanjeevini.
				coordination	Ι,		
				managemen	t and		
				concurrent	monitoring		
				of the progra	amme.		
The State PMU has							
KSRLPS Sanjeevini. Overall Output Statu	ıs (mark the ou	tput on	the sca	le of 1 to 5 as	per the follo	owing crite	eria): 3
	is (mark the ou High (4) ****			le of 1 to 5 as factory (3) ***	per the follo Poor **	(2)	eria): 3 Inadequate (1) *
Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5)	High (4))	Satis	factory (3)	Poor	(2)	
Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is expected to over-	High (4) **** The projec expected to	t is over-	Satis The exp	factory (3) *** project is pected to	Poor ** The pro expect	(2) ject is ed to	Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be
Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted	High (4) **** The projec expected to achieve targ	t is over- geted	Satis The exp achie	factory (3) *** project is pected to ve targeted	Poor ** The pro expect partially a	(2) ject is ed to achieve	Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be achieved and/or
Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or	High (4) **** The projec expected to achieve targ outputs and	ct is over- geted d/or	Satis The exp achie out	factory (3) *** project is pected to ve targeted puts with	Poor ** The pro expect partially a targeted o	(2) ject is ed to achieve putputs,	Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be achieved and/or are not likely to be
Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of	High (4) **** The project expected to achieve targ outputs and expected lev	ct is over- geted d/or rels of	Satis The exp achie out expec	factory (3) *** project is bected to ve targeted puts with ted levels of	Poor ** The pro expect partially a targeted o with les	(2) ject is ed to achieve outputs, s than	Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be achieved and/or are not likely to be effective in
Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of quality, and there	High (4) **** The projec expected to achieve targ outputs and	ct is over- geted d/or rels of	Satis The exp achie out expec	factory (3) *** project is pected to ve targeted puts with	Poor ** The pro expect partially a targeted o with les expected	(2) ject is ed to achieve outputs, s than levels of	Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be achieved and/or are not likely to be effective in supporting the
Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of quality, and there is evidence that	High (4) **** The project expected to achieve targ outputs and expected lev	ct is over- geted d/or rels of	Satis The exp achie out expec	factory (3) *** project is bected to ve targeted puts with ted levels of	Poor ** The pro expect partially a targeted o with les	(2) ject is ed to achieve outputs, s than levels of	Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be achieved and/or are not likely to be effective in supporting the achievement of
Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of quality, and there is evidence that outputs are	High (4) **** The project expected to achieve targ outputs and expected lev	ct is over- geted d/or rels of	Satis The exp achie out expec	factory (3) *** project is bected to ve targeted puts with ted levels of	Poor ** The pro expect partially a targeted o with les expected	(2) ject is ed to achieve outputs, s than levels of	Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be achieved and/or are not likely to be effective in supporting the
Overall Output Statu Exemplary (5) ***** The project is expected to over- achieve targeted outputs and/or expected levels of quality, and there is evidence that	High (4) **** The project expected to achieve targ outputs and expected lev	ct is over- geted d/or rels of	Satis The exp achie out expec	factory (3) *** project is bected to ve targeted puts with ted levels of	Poor ** The pro expect partially a targeted o with les expected	(2) ject is ed to achieve outputs, s than levels of	Inadequate (1) * Project outputs will likely not be achieved and/or are not likely to b effective in supporting the achievement of

4. Project Risks and Issues

This section identifies and analyses project risks and issues that:

1) had an impact on project deliverables¹ (quality, schedule, etc.) During the reporting period, or

2) were newly identified during the reporting period and are being addressed by the project (in the case of risks, "addressed" means to mitigate their effects or decrease the likelihood of impact, and in the case of issues, how to resolve them).

Brief overall narrative of project risks and issues:

The covid19 pandemic affected most of the working period causing delays in the recruitment process. Similarly, it also caused delays in the training of field functionaries on the usage of Santhe platform leading to slower rate of SHG/artisan registration and product info upload.

Bringing about coordination between existing KSRLPS - SANJEEVINI team and consultants placed through UNDP

a. Updated Project Risks and Actions

Project Risk 1: COVID 19 1st and second waves – Actions taken: Recruitment through virtual interviews, online trainings and consultations. Project Risk 2: Coordination between KSRLPS - SANJEEVINI and NRETP (UNDP) consultants. Actions taken: Addressing concerns and timely coordination with KSRLPS - SANJEEVINI – Sanjeevini leadership.

Project risk 3: Further waves of COVID 19

5. Lessons Learned

This section should capture the lessons learned to ensure on-going learning, knowledge sharing and communication within the organisation and with the partners/donors. It should include analysis on the following contents:

- 1) Key project successes and factors which supported these successes;
- 2) Difficulties encountered and measures taken to overcome these difficulties;
- 3) Analysis on what could have been done differently / better to attain the project results;
- 4) Recommendations to improve future programming.

(Suggested length – half a page to 1 page)

Identifying and recruiting consultants with technical expertise proved to be a challenging task. Also embedding consultants in existing teams and achieving acceptance of new team members also proved to be difficult.

This is being overcome by creating platforms for open discussions and addressing concerns through working with top leadership of KSRLPS Sanjeevini.

Similarly creating online platforms like "Santhe" to capture crucial information like SHG/artisan information and enabling them to use technology products is also a uphill task. Comprehensive training modules were prepared and training and capacity building efforts have been carried out at state, district and block level. The ground level functionaries have been trained and supported to achieve understanding of the technology-based platforms and to ensure collect of information.

¹ A deliverable is defined as the result of an activity or in other terms the product which contributes to the achievement of project outputs).

6. The Way Forward/ Key Priorities for 2019

This section should summarize the achievements, challenges and lessons learned as well as explain the way forward, including relevance of the project and necessary revisions that will be made to the project and plans of the upcoming reporting period. Any funding gaps, resource requirements as well as further partner engagement plans can be specified in this section. This should include any modifications that need to be made to indicators, baselines, targets as well data collection and monitoring to track progress

If this is a Final Report and if applicable, also mention on sustainability of the project and/or plans on future projects that may supplement / scale up the achievements of this project.

(Suggested length – half a page to 1 page)

- 1. Consultations Series Strengthen Enterprise Promotion -
 - Bringing together subject matter experts and institutions related to different aspects of enterprise promotion.
 - build a strong enterprise promotion strategy that focusses on crucial aspects such as Branding, packaging, marketing, compliance, e-banking for
 - Prepare a strategy document to guide KSRLPS Sanjeevini's livelihood promotion efforts.
- 2. Developing partnerships
 - With other development agencies such as NGOs to bring in expertise.
 - With Govt Departments and organizations for convergence I order to bring benefits of
- 3. Corporate partnerships:
 - To bring in expertise and possible CSR collaborations.
 - Marketing avenues for SHG products as corporate gifts
 - Voluntary employee engagement, etc
- 4. Partnerships with private orgs for Ecommerce, branding and marketing.
- 5. Partnerships with Academic institutions
 - Institutional internship arrangements for KSRLPS Sanjeevini With institutes of repute and relevance: Design, Marketing, communication, IT etc.
- 6. Santhe portal
 - Working with KSRLPS Sanjeevini to achieve state wide coverage of Santhe portal across all districts
- 7. Capacity building of consultants
 - Understanding capacity building needs of consultants and to arrange capacity building exercises like trainings and webinars to increase project impact.
- 8. Creating of knowledge products
 - Incorporating lessons from experience and communicating consistent and significant messages at both a policy and operational level.

• Invest in a variety of products and services to enhance the generation and use of knowledge and communications as a key tool for enhancing the quality of the program.

-DocuSigned by: regachan dran 6C3844E59E68447.

Jeyachandran