Design & Appraisal Stage Quality Assurance Report

Exemplary

Overall Project Rating:

Decision:

Decision:	Approve: The project is of sufficient quality to continue as planned. Any management actions must be addressed in a timely manner.
Project Number:	00098752
Project Title:	Improving efficiency of vaccination systems through operationalisation of web based vaccine management system in all the districts of select states
Project Date:	01-Jan-2017
Strategic	Quality Rating: Exemplary
1. Does the project's best reflects the pro	Theory of Change specify how it will contribute to higher level change? (Select the option from 1-3 that ject)
contribute to outcor	has a theory of change with explicit assumptions and clear change pathway describing how the project will me level change as specified in the programme/CPD, backed by credible evidence of what works effectively in oject document clearly describes why the project's strategy is the best approach at this point in time.
	has a theory of change. It has an explicit change pathway that explains how the project intends to contribute to ge and why the project strategy is the best approach at this point in time, but is backed by limited evidence.
	does not have a theory of change, but the project document may describe in generic terms how the project will opment results, without specifying the key assumptions. It does not make an explicit link to the theory of change.
Evidence	Management Response
Refer to Pages 3 to	o 5 in the uploaded Project Document
2. Is the project aligr project)	ned with the thematic focus of the UNDP Strategic Plan? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the
one of the proposed	responds to one of the three areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the Strategic Plan; it addresses at least d new and emerging <u>areas</u> ; an issues-based analysis has been incorporated into the project design; and the des all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option)
2: The project includes at least on	responds to one of the three areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF e SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true to select this option)
on a sectoral appro-	roject may respond to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan, it is based ach without addressing the complexity of the development issue. None of the relevant SP indicators are F. This answer is also selected if the project does not respond to any of the three areas of development work in
Evidence	
Refer to page 9 of	the uploaded Project Document
Relevant	Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory
	nave strategies to effectively identify, engage and ensure the meaningful participation of targeted areas with a priority focus on the excluded and marginalized? (select the option from 1-3 that best

er	3: The target groups/geographic areas are appropriately specific will be identified through a rigorous process based on evidence (if appengage and ensure the meaningful participation of specified target groups through monitoring and decision-making (such as representation on the	plicable.)The project has an explicit strategy to identify, pups/geographic areas throughout the project, including
	2: The target groups/geographic areas are appropriately specified document states how beneficiaries will be identified, engaged and how project. (both must be true to select this option)	
	1: The target groups/geographic areas are not specified, or do n project does not have a written strategy to identify or engage or ensur groups/geographic areas throughout the project.	
	O Not Applicable	
Е	Evidence Ma	nagement Response
F	Refer Page 1 of the uploaded Project Document	
	Have knowledge, good practices, and past lessons learned of UN otion from 1-3 that best reflects this project)	NDP and others informed the project design? (select the
	3: Knowledge and lessons learned (gained e.g. through peer assembled policies/strategies, and monitoring have been explicitly used theory of change and justify the approach used by the project over all	d, with appropriate referencing, to develop the project's
th	2: The project design mentions knowledge and lessons learned theory of change but have not been used/are not sufficient to justify the	
m	1: There is only scant or no mention of knowledge and lessons lemade are not backed by evidence.	earned informing the project design. Any references that are
Е	Evidence Ma	nagement Response
th pl	The eVIN project has already been implemented in 12 states in the country, Knowledge and lessons learned from this first phase justifies the approach the project will use for expansion to the rest of the country in phase 2.	
con	Does the project use gender analysis in the project design and d oncrete measures to address gender inequities and empower wor oject)	
cc sp	3: A participatory gender analysis on the project has been conducted access to/control over resources of women and men, and it is fully introduced priorities to address gender inequalities in its strategy. The respecifically respond to this gender analysis, with indicators that measurest be true to select this option)	egrated into the project document. The project establishes esults framework includes outputs and activities that
of	2: A gender analysis on the project has been conducted. This are to/control over resources of women and men. Gender concerns are in of the project document. The results framework includes outputs and with indicators that measure and monitor results contributing to gender	ntegrated in the development challenge and strategy sections activities that specifically respond to this gender analysis,
	1: The project design may or may not mention information and/o situation on gender relations, women and men, but the constraints ha considered.	r data on the differential impact of the project's development ve not been clearly identified and interventions have not beer
Е	Evidence Ma	nagement Response

As per Government reports, the gender difference in immunization is present and significant. These studies show that male children have better access to health services and have higher immunization coverage. Refer the research paper

uploaded for reference. The Immunization Program in India also aims to immunize 3 million pregnant women every year against tetanus. The goal of the eVIN project is to strenghten the vaccine support and ensuring vaccine availability and safety to all beneficiaries. Further, this project has an additional outcome of empowering the last mile government workers a majority of whom are women.	oply
6. Does UNDP have a clear advantage to engage in the role development partners, and other actors? (select the option	e envisioned by the project vis-à-vis national partners, other n from 1-3 that best reflects this project)
evidence supports the proposed engagement of UNDP and	partners in the area where the project intends to work, and credible partners through the project. It is clear how results achieved by applementing the project's intended results. If relevant, options for ed, as appropriate. (all must be true to select this option)
2: Some analysis has been conducted on the role of otl evidence supports the proposed engagement of and division for south-south and triangular cooperation may not have not opportunities have been identified.	her partners where the project intends to work, and relatively limited of labour between UNDP and partners through the project. Options been fully developed during project design, even if relevant
relatively limited evidence supports the proposed engageme	other partners in the area that the project intends to work, and nt of UNDP and partners through the project. There is risk that the terventions in this area. Options for south-south and triangular relevance.
Evidence	Management Response
Partners like Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, WHO, UNICEF, etc are fully engaged Refer the uploaded IAG minutes from HSS-1. The same committee will continue as an advisory group for HSS-2 pha as well.	se
Social & Environmental Standards	Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory
7. Does the project seek to further the realization of humar options 1-3 that best reflects this project)	n rights using a human rights based approach? (select from
national laws and standards in the area of the project. Any p	realization of human rights, upholding the relevant international and otential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were ate mitigation and management measures incorporated into project
	ealization of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into
1: No evidence that the project aims to further the realize	zation of human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse
impacts on enjoyment of human rights were considered.	
	Management Response
impacts on enjoyment of human rights were considered.	ic

8. Did the project consider potential (select from options 1-3 that best ref	environmental opportunities and adverse impacts, applying a precautionary approach? lects this project)
were fully considered as relevant, an environmental impacts have been ide	tunities to enhance environmental sustainability and integrate poverty-environment linkages d integrated in project strategy and design. Credible evidence that potential adverse entified and rigorously assessed with appropriate management and mitigation measures budget. (all must be true to select this option).
considered. Credible evidence that p	es to strengthen environmental sustainability and poverty-environment linkages were otential adverse environmental impacts have been identified and assessed, if relevant, and tion measures incorporated into project design and budget.
	es to strengthen environmental sustainability and poverty-environment linkages were nat potential adverse environmental impacts were adequately considered.
Evidence	Management Response
Refer to SESP. There are no direct i	mpact on environment
 Organization of an event, works Strengthening capacities of part Partnership coordination (includ Global/regional projects with no UNDP acting as Administrative of the coordination of an event, works Yes No SESP not required 	ners to participate in international negotiations and conferences ing UN coordination) and management of networks country level activities (e.g. knowledge management, inter-governmental processes)
Evidence	
Management & Monitoring	Quality Rating: Exemplary
10. Does the project have a strong re	esults framework? (select from options 1-3 that best reflects this project)
of change. Outputs are accompanied identified in the theory of change, each	outs and activities are at an appropriate level and relate in a clear way to the project's theory by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure all of the key expected changes ch with credible data sources, and populated baselines and targets, including gender where appropriate. (all must be true to select this option)
theory of change. Outputs are accom	puts and activities are at an appropriate level, but may not cover all aspects of the project's apanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators, but baselines, targets and data sources may of gender sensitive, sex-disaggregated indicators, as appropriate. (all must be true to select
selection of outputs and activities are outputs are not accompanied by SMA	not meet all of the conditions specified in selection "2" above. This includes: the project's not at an appropriate level and do not relate in a clear way to the project's theory of change; ART, results-oriented indicators that measure the expected change, and have not been; data sources are not specified, and/or no gender sensitive, sex-disaggregation of indicators.
Evidence	Management Response
Refer Page 7 to 15 of the uploaded	Project Document.

Yes	
O No	
Evidence	
Refer Pages 10 & 11 of the uploa	ded Project Document
	chanism clearly defined in the project document, including planned composition of the s 1-3 that best reflects this project)
position in the governance mechai	mechanism is fully defined in the project document. Individuals have been specified for each nism (especially all members of the project board.) Project Board members have agreed on the fied in the terms of reference. The ToR of the project board has been attached to the project this option).
governance roles, but individuals r	nechanism is defined in the project document; specific institutions are noted as holding key nay not have been specified yet. The prodoc lists the most important responsibilities of the ager and quality assurance roles. (all must be true to select this option)
	nechanism is loosely defined in the project document, only mentioning key roles that will need tion on the responsibilities of key positions in the governance mechanism is provided.
Evidence	Management Response
	management resopones
Refer Page 16 & 17 of the upload	
Have the project risks been ide	ed Project Document.
Have the project risks been ide	ed Project Document.
Have the project risks been idenated best reflects this project) 3: Project risks related to the analysis drawing on the theory of cassessments and other analysis.	ed Project Document. Intified with clear plans stated to manage and mitigate each risks? (select from options 1) achievement of results are fully described in the project risk log, based on comprehensive change, Social and Environmental Standards and screening, situation analysis, capacity
Have the project risks been ide hat best reflects this project) 3: Project risks related to the analysis drawing on the theory of cassessments and other analysis. (this option) 2: Project risks related to the	ed Project Document. Intified with clear plans stated to manage and mitigate each risks? (select from options 1 achievement of results are fully described in the project risk log, based on comprehensive
Have the project risks been identated to the analysis drawing on the theory of cassessments and other analysis. (this option) 2: Project risks related to the for each risk. 1: Some risks may be identificated to the identification.	entified with clear plans stated to manage and mitigate each risks? (select from options 1 achievement of results are fully described in the project risk log, based on comprehensive change, Social and Environmental Standards and screening, situation analysis, capacity clear and complete plan in place to manage and mitigate each risk. (both must be true to select
Have the project risks been idenat best reflects this project) 3: Project risks related to the analysis drawing on the theory of assessments and other analysis. (this option) 2: Project risks related to the or each risk. 1: Some risks may be identified this option is also selected.	entified with clear plans stated to manage and mitigate each risks? (select from options achievement of results are fully described in the project risk log, based on comprehensive change, Social and Environmental Standards and screening, situation analysis, capacity clear and complete plan in place to manage and mitigate each risk. (both must be true to select achievement of results identified in the initial project risk log with mitigation measures identified and in the initial project risk log with mitigation measures.
as Project risks related to the analysis drawing on the theory of cassessments and other analysis. (this option) 2: Project risks related to the for each risk. 1: Some risks may be identification.	entified with clear plans stated to manage and mitigate each risks? (select from options achievement of results are fully described in the project risk log, based on comprehensive change, Social and Environmental Standards and screening, situation analysis, capacity clear and complete plan in place to manage and mitigate each risk. (both must be true to select achievement of results identified in the initial project risk log with mitigation measures identified and in the initial project risk mitigation measures ted if risks are not clearly identified and no initial risk log is included with the project document. Management Response

14. Have specific measures for ensuring cost-efficient use of resources been explicitly mentioned as part of the project design? This can include: i) using the theory of change analysis to explore different options of achieving the maximum results with the resources available; ii) using a portfolio management approach to improve cost effectiveness through synergies with other interventions; iii) through joint operations (e.g., monitoring or procurement) with other partners.

O No	
Evidence	
Refer Page 7 of the uploaded Project Document	
15. Are explicit plans in place to ensure the project links up w led by UNDP, national or other partners, to achieve more efficient or coordinating delivery?)	ith other relevant on-going projects and initiatives, whether ent results (including, for example, through sharing resources
Yes	
O No	
Evidence	
Refer Page 6 & 7 of the uploaded Project Document	
16. Is the budget justified and supported with valid estimates?	
	ources, and is specified for the duration of the project period in a g benchmarks from similar projects or activities. Cost implications ted and incorporated in the budget.
2: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding s project in a multi-year budget. Costs are supported with valid es	ources, when possible, and is specified for the duration of the timates based on prevailing rates.
1: The project's budget is not specified at the activity level,	and/or may not be captured in a multi-year budget.
Evidence	
Refer Page 12 to 15 in the uploaded Project Document.	
17. Is the Country Office fully recovering the costs involved w	ith project implementation?
3: The budget fully covers all direct project costs that are d management and development effectiveness services related to development, policy advocacy services, finance, procurement, h travel, assets, general services, information and communication policies (i.e., UPL, LPL.)	strategic country programme planning, quality assurance, pipeline uman resources, administration, issuance of contracts, security,
 2: The budget covers significant direct project costs that ar policies (i.e., UPL, LPL) as relevant. 	e directly attributable to the project based on prevailing UNDP
1: The budget does not reimburse UNDP for direct project advocate for the inclusion of DPC in any project budget revision:	costs. UNDP is cross-subsidizing the project and the office should s.
Evidence	Management Response
Refer to page 14 & 15 in the uploaded Project Document.	
Effective	Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory

18. Is the chosen implementation modality most appropriate? (select from options 1-3 that best reflects this project)

© 3: The required implementing partner assessments (capacity assessment, HACT micro assessment) have been conducted, and there is evidence that options for implementation modalities have been thoroughly considered. There is a strong justification for choosing the selected modality, based on the development context. (both must be true to select this option)

(1 T)	
1: The required assessments have no have been considered.	ot been conducted, but there may be evidence that options for implementation modalities
Evidence	Management Response
Refer to pages 17 to 19 in the uploaded P	roject Document
	ginalized and excluded populations that will be affected by the project, been vay that addresses any underlying causes of exclusion and discrimination?
affected by the project, have been actively	groups, prioritising marginalized and excluded populations that will be involved in or engaged in the design of the project. Their views, rights and any constraints have been use analysis of the theory of change which seeks to address any underlying causes of ion of project interventions.
project, have been engaged in the design of	roups, prioritising marginalized and excluded populations that will be involved in the of the project. Some evidence that their views, rights and any constraints have been use analysis of the theory of change and the selection of project interventions.
	narginalized and excluded populations that will be involved in the project during project and constraints of populations have been incorporated into the project.
Not Applicable	
Evidence	
Refer to page 3 to 5 in the uploaded Proje	ct Document
earning (e.g. through After Action Review	toring activities, have explicit plans for evaluation, and include other lesson s or Lessons Learned Workshops), timed to inform course corrections if needed
earning (e.g. through After Action Review	
earning (e.g. through After Action Review uring project implementation?	
earning (e.g. through After Action Review uring project implementation? Yes	
earning (e.g. through After Action Review uring project implementation? Yes No	s or Lessons Learned Workshops), timed to inform course corrections if needed
earning (e.g. through After Action Review uring project implementation? Yes No Evidence	s or Lessons Learned Workshops), timed to inform course corrections if needed
earning (e.g. through After Action Review uring project implementation? Yes No Evidence Refer to pages 10 & 11 in the uploaded Professional Project output	roject Document uts are scored at GEN2 or GEN3, indicating that gender has been fully
earning (e.g. through After Action Review uring project implementation? Yes No Evidence Refer to pages 10 & 11 in the uploaded Professional Project output	roject Document uts are scored at GEN2 or GEN3, indicating that gender has been fully
earning (e.g. through After Action Review uring project implementation? Yes No Evidence Refer to pages 10 & 11 in the uploaded Properties of the project outputs at a second content of the project output of the project outputs at a second content of the project outputs at a secon	roject Document uts are scored at GEN2 or GEN3, indicating that gender has been fully
earning (e.g. through After Action Review uring project implementation? Yes No Evidence Refer to pages 10 & 11 in the uploaded Properties of the project output nainstreamed into all project outputs at a	roject Document uts are scored at GEN2 or GEN3, indicating that gender has been fully

22. Is there a realistic multi-year work plan and budget to ensure outputs are delivered on time and within allotted resources? (select from options 1-3 that best reflects this project)

3: The project has a realistic work plan & budget of delivered on time and within the allotted resources.	covering the duration of the project at the activity level to ensure outputs are
2: The project has a work plan & budget covering	the duration of the project at the output level.
1: The project does not yet have a work plan & but	dget covering the duration of the project.
Evidence	
Refer pages 12 to 15 of the uploaded Project Docume	nt
ustainability & National Ownership	Quality Rating: Exemplary
23. Have national partners led, or proactively engaged	d in, the design of the project?
3: National partners have full ownership of the pro UNDP.	eject and led the process of the development of the project jointly with
2: The project has been developed by UNDP in cl	ose consultation with national partners.
1: The project has been developed by UNDP with	limited or no engagement with national partners.
O Not Applicable	
Evidence	
implementing partner. On completion of the project the The following documents have been uploaded for refer	of India has full ownership of the eVIN project with UNDP as an re will be a transition process and handover to the government. rence: (1) Agreement between GAVI, UNDP & GoI. (2) Minutes of meeting of the project transition and sustainability of the project.
oased on capacity assessments conducted? (select for select for se	s there a strategy for strengthening specific/ comprehensive capacities from options 0-4 that best reflects this project): trengthening specific capacities of national institutions based on a been completed. This strategy includes an approach to regularly monitor methods of data collection, and adjust the strategy to strengthen national
capacities accordingly.	
	The project document has identified activities that will be undertaken to ctivities are not part of a comprehensive strategy to monitor and strengthen
2: A capacity assessment is planned after the star capacities of national institutions based on the results of the capacities of national institutions.	rt of the project. There are plans to develop a strategy to strengthen specific of the capacity assessment.
1.5: There is mention in the project document of c no capacity assessments or specific strategy development.	apacities of national institutions to be strengthened through the project, but nent are planned.
 1: Capacity assessments have not been carried o capacities of national institutions. 	ut and are not foreseen. There is no strategy for strengthening specific
O Not Applicable	
Evidence	
This is a DIM project. However, the project is embedded assessment is conducted before roll out.	ed closely within National and State Institutions. Also, a detailed pre-

25. Is there is a clear strategy embedded in the project specifying how the project will use national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluations, etc.,) to the extent possible?

	No
	Not Applicable
Evide	ence
Refe	r Pages 7, 10,11 and 16 of the uploaded Project Document
	nere a clear transition arrangement/ phase-out plan developed with key stakeholders in order to sustain or scale up (including resource mobilisation strategy)?
sults	
sults	(including resource mobilisation strategy)?
sults	(including resource mobilisation strategy)? Yes No

Quality Assurance Summary/PAC Comments