### **ANNEX 2. Social and Environmental Screening Procedure**

Project Information	
1. Project title	Strengthening the National Preventive Mechanism for the Prevention of Torture
2. Project Number	00111146
3. Location (Global / Region / Country)	Kazakhstan

# Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability

## QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles in order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?

#### Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach

The project will contribute to the development of the potential of the NPM, so that NPM of Kazakhstan can be an effective and full-fledged institution that is enhanced to perform analysis of conditions and treatment of detainees, identify risk factors leading to human rights violations, and work with authorities to comply with international and national human rights obligations.

#### Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment

Specialized training sessions for existing members of NPM that include focus on gender issues for existing members of NPM to develop the practical skills for monitoring NPM institutions.

#### Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams environmental sustainability

The project does not contain any activities that can hard or damage environment and will promote "green economy" approach through minimized use of paper and plastic.

#### Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental Risks

QUESTION 2: What are the Potential Social and Environmental Risks?  Note: Describe briefly potential social and environmental risks identified in Attachment 1 – Risk Screening Checklist (based on any "Yes" responses). If no risks have been identified in Attachment 1 then note "No Risks Identified" and skip to Question 4 and Select "Low Risk". Questions 5 and 6 not required for Low Risk Projects.	potential social and environmental risks?  Note: Respond to Questions 4 and 5 below before proceeding to Question 6  social and environmental assessment and management measures have been conducted and/or are required to address potential risks (for Risks with			environmental assessment and management measures have been conducted and/or are required to address potential risks (for Risks with Moderate and High
Risk Description	Impact and Probability (1-5)	Significance (Low, Moderate, High)	Comments	Description of assessment and management measures as reflected in the Project design.  If ESIA or SESA is

					required note that the assessment should consider all potential impacts and risks.
Risk 1:	I = P =				
Risk 2	I = P =				
Risk 3:	I = P =				
Risk 4:	I = P =				
[add additional rows as needed]					
QUESTION 4: What is the overall Project risk categorization			on?		
		Select one (see	e <u>SESP</u> for guidance)		Comments
			Low Risk	⊠	
			Moderate Risk		
			High Risk		
			e identified risks and risk irements of the SES are		
		Check all that apply			Comments
	Principle 1: H	luman Rights			
	Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment				
	1. Riodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource				
	4. Cultural Heritage				
	6. Indigenous Peoples				
	7. Pollution	Prevention and	Resource Efficiency		

## **Final Sign Off**

Signature	Date	Description
QA Assessor		UNDP staff member responsible for the Project, typically a UNDP
		Programme Officer. Final signature confirms they have "checked" to ensure
		that the SESP is adequately conducted.
QA Approver		UNDP senior manager, typically the UNDP Deputy Country Director (DCD), Country Director (CD), Deputy Resident Representative (DRR), or Resident Representative (RR). The QA Approver cannot also be the QA Assessor. Final signature confirms they have "cleared" the SESP prior to submittal to the PAC.
PAC Chair		UNDP chair of the PAC. In some cases, PAC Chair may also be the QA Approver. Final signature confirms that the SESP was considered as part of the project appraisal and considered in recommendations of the PAC.

## Memo for screening of social and environmental risks

Me	emo for screening of social and environmental <u>risks</u>	
Pri	nciple 1: Human Rights	Answer (Yes/No)
1.	Could the Project lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups?	No
2.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups? <sup>1</sup>	No
3.	Could the Project potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups?	No
4.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups, from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	No
5.	Are there any measures or mechanisms to respond to complaints from local communities?	Yes
6.	Is there a risk that duty-bearers do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the Project?	No
7.	Is there a risk that rights-holders do not have the capacity to claim their rights?	No
8.	Have local communities or individuals raised human rights concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process?	No
9.	Is there a risk that the Project would exacerbate conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project-affected communities and individuals for whom the Project is being implemented?	No
Pri	nciple 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment	
	Is there a likelihood that the proposed Project would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?	No
	2. Would the Project potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	No
	3. Have women's groups, their leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process and have these issues been included in the general project proposal and risk assessment?	No
	4. Would the Project potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	No
	nciple 3: Environmental Sustainability: Screening questions regarding environmental risks are compassed by the specific standard-related questions below.	
Sta	andard 1: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management	
1.1	Would the Project potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem-related services?	No
	For example, through habitat loss, conversion or degradation, fragmentation, hydrological changes	
1.2	Are any Project activities proposed within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources, (indigenous) peoples or relevant communities?	No

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prohibited grounds of discrimination include race, ethnicity, gender, age, language, disability, sexual orientation, religion, political or other opinion, national or social or geographical origin, property, birth or other status including as an indigenous person or as a member of a minority. References to "women and men" or similar is understood to include women and men, boys and girls, and other groups discriminated against based on their gender identities, such as transgender people and transsexuals.

## Strengthening the National Preventive Mechanism for the Prevention of Torture

	Does the Project involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? (Note: if restrictions and/or limitations of access to lands would apply, refer to Standard 5).	No
1.4	Would Project activities pose risks to endangered species?	No
1.5	Would the Project pose a risk of introducing invasive alien species?	No
1.6	Does the Project involve harvesting of natural forests, plantation development, or reforestation?	No
1.7	Does the Project involve fishing and/or fishing industry or harvesting of aquatic species?	No
1.8 For ex	Does the Project involve extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground water?  xample, construction of dams, reservoirs, river basin developments, groundwater extraction	No
1.9	Does the Project involve utilization of genetic resources?(For example, collection and/or harvesting, commercial development)?	No
1.10	Would the Project generate potential adverse transboundary or global environmental concerns?	No
1.11	Is there a likelihood that as a result of the Project implementation, secondary or follow-up development activities may be carried out with adverse social and environmental impacts, or to impact in conjunction with other known ongoing or planned activities in this region?	No
Stanc	dard 2: Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation	
2.1	Would the proposed Project result in significant <sup>2</sup> greenhouse gas emissions or may it exacerbate climate change?	No
2.2	Would the Project potential outcomes be sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change?	No
2.3	Is the proposed Project likely to directly or indirectly increase social and environmental vulnerability to	No
	climate change now or in the future (also known as maladaptive practices)?	140
	climate change now or in the future (also known as maladaptive practices)?  For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding.	110
Stanc	For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains,	140
3	For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding.	No
3 to 3	For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding.  dard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions  1.1 Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks	
3 to 3	For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding.  dard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions  1.1 Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks o local communities?  1.2 Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals	No
3 to 3	For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding.  dard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions  1.1 Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?  1.2 Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals luring construction and operation)?  1.3 Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads,	No No
3 to 3	For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding.  dard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions  1.1 Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks o local communities?  1.2 Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals luring construction and operation)?  1.3 Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings construction)?  1.4 Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings construction)?	No No
3 to 3	For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding.  dard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions  1.1 Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?  1.2 Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)?  1.2 Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings construction)?  2. Would failure of structural elements of the Project pose risks to communities? (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)  3. Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions  4. Out the Project pose potential risks to communities? (e.g. dams, roads, buildings construction)?	No No No
3 to 3	For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding.  dard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions  1.1 Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks o local communities?  1.2 Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals luring construction and operation)?  1.3 Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings construction)?  1.4 Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings construction)?  2. Would failure of structural elements of the Project pose risks to communities? (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)  3. Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions  4. Would the proposed Project be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme climatic conditions?  3. d. Would the Project result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from water-borne or other	No No No No

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> With regard to CO2 "significant emissions" corresponds generally to more than 25,000 tons per year (from both direct and indirect sources). [The Guidance Note on Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation provides additional information on GHG emissions.]

## Strengthening the National Preventive Mechanism for the Prevention of Torture

3.9	Does the Project engage security personnel that may pose a potential risk to health and safety of communities and/or individuals (e.g. due to a lack of adequate training or accountability)?	No
Stan	dard 4: Cultural Heritage	
4.1	Will the proposed Project result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? (Note: projects intended to protect and conserve Cultural Heritage may also have unintended adverse impacts);	No
4.2	Does the Project propose utilizing tangible and/or intangible forms of cultural heritage for commercial or other purposes?	No
Stan	dard 5: Displacement and Resettlement	
5.1	Would the Project potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	No
5.2	Would the Project possibly result in economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions – even in the absence of physical relocation)?	No
5.3	Is there a risk that the Project would lead to forced evictions? <sup>3</sup>	No
5.4	Would the proposed Project possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	No
Stan	dard 6: Indigenous Peoples	
6.1	Are indigenous peoples present in the Project area (including Project area of influence)?	No
6.2	Is it likely that the Project or portions of the Project will be located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.3	Would the proposed Project potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas)?	No
6.4	Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving the principle of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	No
6.4	Does the proposed Project involve the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.5	Is there a potential for forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources?	No
6.6	Would the Project adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	No
6.7	Would the Project potentially affect the traditional livelihoods, physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples?	No
6.8	Would the Project potentially affect the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?	No
Stan	dard 7: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	
7.1	Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	No
7.2	Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Forced evictions include acts and/or omissions involving the coerced or involuntary displacement of individuals, groups, or communities from homes and/or lands and common property resources that were occupied or depended upon, thus eliminating the ability of an individual, group, or community to reside or work in a particular dwelling, residence, or location without the provision of, and access to, appropriate forms of legal or other protection.

## Strengthening the National Preventive Mechanism for the Prevention of Torture

7.3	Will the proposed Project potentially involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/ or use of hazardous chemicals and/or materials? Does the Project propose use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or stage-wise phase-outs?	No
	For example, DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the Stockholm Conventions on Persistent Organic Pollutants or the Montreal Protocol;	
7.4	Would the proposed Project involve the application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health?	No
7.5	Does the Project include activities that require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	No