#### **Annex 1. Social and Environmental Screening**

Project Information	
	Multi-sectoral approach to COVID-19
1. Project Title	
	Project Award: 00127854
2. Project Number	Projects Numbers: 00121799/00121831/00122792/00122793
3. Location (Global/Region/Country)	Kyrgyzstan

Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability

# QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles in order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?

## Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach

The purpose of the project is to help the country cope with the growing number of infected frontline health workers, increasing intercommunity tensions at the border area in Fergana Valley, the rapid rise in unemployment, including due to returning labour migrants, and related risks including extreme poverty, social unrest, and stagnating or even back-sliding in SDG achievement caused by the COVID-19 crisis. To mitigate these effects the UNDP Kyrgyzstan aims to support effective, multi-sectoral, equitable, inclusive, human rights-focused crisis response and recovery to COVID-19.

# Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment

This Multi-sectoral approach to COVID-19 is guided by the principle of 'leave no-one behind' and protecting the most vulnerable that stand higher risk of exclusion.

# Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams environmental sustainability

The project will follow the internationally recognized environmental norms and standards.

#### Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental Risks

Risk Description	Impact and Probability (1-5)	Significance (Low. Moderate, High)	Comments	Description of assessment and management measures as reflected in the Proiect design. If ESIA or SESA is required note that the assessment should consider all potential impacts and risks.
Risk 1: Escalation of tensions between local communities that interrupt project implementation.	I = 3 P = 3	Moderate		Close monitoring of the situation by project staff and partners, adjusting or suspension of project interventions in case of conflict.
Risk 2. Political instability in the country caused by election related events which may influence the timely and efficient implementation of the programme	I = 4 P = 2	High		Introduce regular consultations on implementation of project activities with all involved actors. The political and social-economic situation will be closely monitored to ensure timely response and mitigation of potential risks.
	Select one	see <u>SESP t</u> or	guidance)	Comments
	Low Risk			

Moderate Risk	Х	
High Risk		

Check all that apply		Comments	15-Jul-2020
Principle 1: Human Rights	×	Simone Boneschi	
	×		15-июл-2020
Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment	^	Bernet Ubaidillaeva	
Principle 3: Environmental Sustainability	×	25	15-Jul-2020
1. Biodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource Management			
2. Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation			
3. Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions			
4. Cultural Heritage			
5. Displacement and Resettlement			
6. Indigenous Peoples			
7. Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency			

# **Final Sign Off**

Signature	Date	Description
QA Assessor	45 - 7 2000	UNDP staff member responsible for the Project, typically a UNDP
	15-Jul-2020	Programme Officer. Final signature confirms they have
Kanybek Konokbaev		"checked" to ensure that the SESP is adequately conducted.
QA Approver		UNDP senior manager, typically the UNDP Deputy Country
		Director (DCD), Country Director (CD), Deputy Resident
10/100	16-Jul-2020	Representative (DRR), or Resident Representative (RR). The QA
	000	Approver cannot also be the QA Assessor. Final signature
		confirms they have "cleared" the SESP prior to submittal to the
		PAC.
PAC Chair		UNDP chair of the PAC. In some cases, PAC Chair may also be the
		QA Approver. Final signature confirms that the SESP was
		considered as part of the project appraisal and considered in
		recommendations of the PAC.

SESP Attachment 1. Social and Environmental Risk Screening Checklist

Che	ecklist Potential Social and Environmental Risks	
Prir	nciples 1: Human Rights	Answer (Yes/No)
1.	Could the Project lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups?	No

2.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups?	No
3.	Could the Project potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups?	No
4.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups, from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	No
5.	Is there a risk that duty-bearers do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the Project?	No
6.	Is there a risk that rights-holders do not have the capacity to claim their rights?	No
7.	Have local communities or individuals, given the opportunity, raised human rights concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process?	No
8.	Is there a risk that the Project would exacerbate conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project- affected communities and individuals?	No
Prin	ciple 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment	
1.	Is there a likelihood that the proposed Project would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?	No
2.	Would the Project potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	No
3.	Have women's groups/leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process and has this been included in the overall Project proposal and in the risk assessment?	No
4.	Would the Project potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	No
	For example, activities that could lead to natural resources degradation or depletion in communities who depend on these resources for their livelihoods and we are being	

	ciple 3: Environmental Sustainability: Screening questions regarding environmental risks are mpassed by the specific Standard-related questions below	
Stan	dard 1: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management	
1.1	Would the Project potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	No
	For example, through habitat loss, conversion or degradation, fragmentation, hydrological changes	
1.2	Are any Project activities proposed within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	No
1.3	Does the Project involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? (Note: if restrictions and/or limitations of access to lands would apply, refer to Standard 5)	No
1.4	Would Project activities pose risks to endangered species?	No
1.5	Would the Project pose a risk of introducing invasive alien species?	No
1.6	Does the Project involve harvesting of natural forests, plantation development, or reforestation?	No
1.7	Does the Project involve the production and/or harvesting of fish populations or other aquatic species?	No
	Does the Project involve significant extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground	No
1.8	water? For example, construction of dams, reservoirs, river basin developments, groundwater	
	extraction	
1.9	Does the Project involve utilization of genetic resources? (e.g. collection and/or harvesting, commercial development)	No
1.10	Would the Project generate potential adverse transboundary or global environmental concerns?	No
1.11	Would the Project result in secondary or consequential development activities which could lead to adverse social and environmental effects, or would it generate cumulative impacts with other known existing or planned activities in the area?	No
	For example, a new road through forested lands will generate direct environmental and social impacts (e.g. felling of trees, earthworks, potential relocation of inhabitants). The new road may also facilitate encroachment on lands by illegal settlers or generate unplanned commercial development along the route, potentially in sensitive areas. These are indirect, secondary, or induced impacts that need to be considered. Also, if similar developments in the same forested area are planned, then cumulative impacts of multiple activities (even if not part of the same Project) need to be considered.	
Stan	dard 2: Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation	
2.1	Will the proposed Project result in significant greenhouse gas emissions or may exacerbate climate change?	No
2.2	Would the potential outcomes of the Project be sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change?	No
2.3	Is the proposed Project likely to directly or indirectly increase social and environmental vulnerability to climate change now or in the future (also known as maladaptive practices)?	No
	For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding	

Stan	dard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions	
3.1	Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	No
3.2	Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)?	No
3.3	Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings)?	No
3.4	Would failure of structural elements of the Project pose risks to communities? (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)	No
3.5	Would the proposed Project be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme climatic conditions?	No
3.6	Would the Project result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from water-borne or other vector-borne diseases or communicable infections such as HIV/AIDS)?	No
3.7	Does the Project pose potential risks and vulnerabilities related to occupational health and safety due to physical, chemical, biological, and radiological hazards during Project construction, operation, or decommissioning?	No
3.8	Does the Project involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labor standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	No
3.9	Does the Project engage security personnel that may pose a potential risk to health and safety of communities and/or individuals (e.g. due to a lack of adequate training or accountability)?	No
Stan	dard 4: Cultural Heritage	
4.1	Will the proposed Project result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? (Note: Projects intended to protect, and conserve Cultural Heritage may also have inadvertent adverse impacts)	No
4.2	Does the Project propose utilizing tangible and/or intangible forms of cultural heritage for commercial or other purposes?	No
Stan	dard 5: Displacement and Resettlement	
5.1	Would the Project potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	No
5.2	Would the Project possibly result in economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions - even in the absence of physical relocation)?	No
5.3	Is there a risk that the Project would lead to forced evictions?	No
	Would the proposed Project possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or communityed property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	No
Stan	dard 6: Indigenous Peoples	
6.1 <i>A</i>	Are indigenous peoples present in the Project area (including Project area of influence)?	No
	s it likely that the Project or portions of the Project will be located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No

6.3 Would the proposed Project potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas, whether the Project is located within or outside of the lands and territories inhabited by the affected peoples, or whether the indigenous peoples are recognized as indigenous peoples by the country in question)?  If the answer to the screening question 6.3 is "yes" the potential risk impacts are considered potentially severe and/or critical and the Project would be categorized as either Moderate or High	No
Risk.  6.4 Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving FPIC on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	No
	No
6.6 Is there a potential for forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources?	No
6.7 Would the Project adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	No
	No
6.9 Would the Project potentially affect the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?	No
7: Standard Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	
7.1 Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or nonroutine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	No
7.2 Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and nonhazardous)?	No
7.3 Will the proposed Project potentially involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous chemicals and/or materials? Does the Project propose use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs?	No
For example, DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the Stockholm Conventions on Persistent Organic Pollutants or the Montreal Protocol	
7.4 Will the proposed Project involve the application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health?	No
7.5 Does the Project include activities that require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	No



# **Certificate Of Completion**

Envelope Id: D9BC84C718BE4E77A3BD2D51C965972D

Subject: Please DocuSign: SESP\_COVID project

Source Envelope:

Document Pages: 6 Certificate Pages: 2 AutoNav: Enabled

Envelopeld Stamping: Enabled Time Zone: (UTC+03:00) Baghdad Status: Completed

Envelope Originator: Aidai Ashiralieva

One United Nations Plaza New York, NY 10017 aidai.ashiralieva@undp.org IP Address: 193.106.50.201

#### **Record Tracking**

Status: Original

7/15/2020 2:31:00 PM

Holder: Aidai Ashiralieva

Signatures: 5

Signature

Initials: 0

aidai.ashiralieva@undp.org

Location: DocuSign

### Signer Events

Kanybek Konokbaev

kanybek.konokbaev@undp.org United Nations Development Program

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication

(None)

Kanybek Konokbaev

Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Using IP Address: 158.181.169.177 Signed using mobile

### **Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:**

Not Offered via DocuSign

Simone Boneschi

simone.boneschi@undp.org

**UNDP** Headquarters

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication

(None)

Simone Boneschi

Using IP Address: 95.74.93.222

Signed using mobile

# **Timestamp**

Sent: 7/15/2020 2:38:13 PM Viewed: 7/15/2020 2:40:44 PM Signed: 7/15/2020 2:40:52 PM

Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style

Sent: 7/15/2020 2:40:53 PM Viewed: 7/15/2020 2:47:05 PM Signed: 7/15/2020 2:47:20 PM

# **Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:**

Not Offered via DocuSign

Bermet Ubaidillaeva

bermet.ubaidillaeva@undp.org

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication

(None)

Bernet Ubaidillaeva

Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Using IP Address: 212.112.122.54

Sent: 7/15/2020 2:47:21 PM Viewed: 7/15/2020 2:50:43 PM Signed: 7/15/2020 2:51:07 PM

#### **Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:**

Not Offered via DocuSign

Daniyar Ibragimov

daniyar.ibragimov@undp.org Team Leader, Environment/DRM

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication

(None)

Signature Adoption: Drawn on Device Using IP Address: 212.112.126.117

Signed using mobile

Sent: 7/15/2020 2:51:08 PM Viewed: 7/15/2020 4:28:07 PM Signed: 7/15/2020 4:28:21 PM

### **Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:**

Not Offered via DocuSign

**Signer Events** 

Jenty Kirsch-Wood jenty.kirsch-wood@undp.org

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication

(None)

Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Using IP Address: 109.201.176.189

Signature 10/10000 **Timestamp** 

Sent: 7/15/2020 4:28:23 PM Viewed: 7/16/2020 5:35:13 AM Signed: 7/16/2020 5:35:20 AM

# **Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:**Not Offered via DocuSign

In Person Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp
Editor Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Agent Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Intermediary Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Certified Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Carbon Copy Events	Status	Timestamp
Witness Events	Signature	Timestamp
Witness Events  Notary Events	Signature Signature	Timestamp
	-	·
Notary Events	Signature	Timestamp
Notary Events Envelope Summary Events	Signature Status	Timestamps
Notary Events  Envelope Summary Events  Envelope Sent	Signature Status Hashed/Encrypted	Timestamps 7/15/2020 4:28:23 PM
Notary Events  Envelope Summary Events  Envelope Sent Certified Delivered	Signature Status Hashed/Encrypted Security Checked	Timestamps 7/15/2020 4:28:23 PM 7/16/2020 5:35:13 AM