Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved	
Overall Rating:	Satisfactory
Decision:	
Portfolio/Project Number:	00099045
Portfolio/Project Title:	Instit Support for Eco Stabilization and Trade Dvt
Portfolio/Project Date:	2017-01-01 / 2021-12-31

Strategic

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?

3: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)

2: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)

1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

Evidence:

The project team was fully aware of the developing economic and trade environment in the country . Thi s was reflected in the 2017-2019 project document a nd subsequent draft project document for the years 2020- 2024. Strategic policy development were introduced conce rning the following main outputs: - Improving the business environment from legal pe rspective and program development - Developing the quality Infrastructure and consumer protection - SME support through creating interactive and infor mative platform on the Ministry's website - Contributing to the policy setting of Livelihood sect or under the LCRP with special focus on women and youth - Analyzing and developing policies related to safeg uarding the national production and devising trade r emedies measures - Upgrading the digital platforms through the ministr y's website and related legal infrastructure such as d igital transformation, e-transactions, and cyber secur ity - developing economic and financial research that c ommensurate with the economic and sectoral plans of the ministry and the GOL.		
 protection SME support through creating interactive and infor mative platform on the Ministry's website Contributing to the policy setting of Livelihood sect or under the LCRP with special focus on women and youth Analyzing and developing policies related to safeg uarding the national production and devising trade r emedies measures Upgrading the digital platforms through the ministr y's website and related legal infrastructure such as d igital transformation, e-transactions, and cyber secur ity developing economic and financial research that c ommensurate with the economic and sectoral plans 	e s n 2 S ri - r:	conomic and trade environment in the country . Thi was reflected in the 2017-2019 project document a d subsequent draft project document for the years 2020- 2024. Strategic policy development were introduced conce ning the following main outputs: Improving the business environment from legal pe spective and program development
 Analyzing and developing policies related to safeg uarding the national production and devising trade r emedies measures Upgrading the digital platforms through the ministr y's website and related legal infrastructure such as d igital transformation, e-transactions, and cyber secur ity developing economic and financial research that c ommensurate with the economic and sectoral plans 	р - п -	rotection SME support through creating interactive and infor native platform on the Ministry's website Contributing to the policy setting of Livelihood sect or under the LCRP with special focus on women and
ommensurate with the economic and sectoral plans	u e - y iç	arding the national production and devising trade r medies measures Upgrading the digital platforms through the ministr 's website and related legal infrastructure such as d gital transformation, e-transactions, and cyber secur
	0	mmensurate with the economic and sectoral plans

List of Uploaded Documents Modified By Modified On # File Name Modified By Modified On No documents available. Voluments available. Voluments available.

2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?

- 3: The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopted at least one Signature Solution .The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: The project responded to at least one of the developments settings1 as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- 1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

formations for sustainable development Signature Solution 2: Strengthen effective, inclusive and accountable governance SP Outcome 2: Citizen expectations for voice, devel opment, the rule of law and accountability are met b y stronger systems of democratic governance and S P Outcome 6: Early recovery and rapid return to sus	
and accountable governance SP Outcome 2: Citizen expectations for voice, devel opment, the rule of law and accountability are met b y stronger systems of democratic governance and S	
SP Outcome 2: Citizen expectations for voice, devel opment, the rule of law and accountability are met b y stronger systems of democratic governance and S	
opment, the rule of law and accountability are met b y stronger systems of democratic governance and S	
y stronger systems of democratic governance and S	
R Outcome 6: Early recovery and repid return to ave	
tainable development pathways are achieved in post	
-conflict and post-disaster settings.	
List of Uploaded Documents	
File Name Modified By Modified	əd Or

Relevant	Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory
	project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a priority focus on the and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?
benefici system. mechan	ematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration from a representative sample of aries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the project's governance hism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs decision making. (all must be true)
and man address	eted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated rginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collected regularly to ensure the project sed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to his option)
	e beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected
Not App	vlicable

Evidence:

N/A, The targeted group being mainly the governme nt. However, the project focused on women engage ment in SME development, Also, a number of NGOs were monitored and feedback collection was regularl y undertaken.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	ŧ	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
١	No	documents available.		

4. Did the project generate knowledge, and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- 3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, were considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team.
 There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

Evidence:

The project was thoroughly discussed and evaluated by the project team and relevant recommendations were drawn. The appropriate recommendations coul d be found in the report developed with HQ support on core government functions.

	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		
	as the project sufficiently at scale, or is there pot lopment change?	ential to scale up in the future, to m	eaningfully contribute to
	3: There was credible evidence that the project through significant coverage of target groups, or development change.		
	 While the project was not considered at scale future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using p The project was not at scale, and there are not 	roject results to advocate for policy	change).
			intere.
vi	dence:		
Ed	e discussions undertaken between the Minister conomy and Trade, the Deputy Prime Minister ar e Resident Representative		
ci i			
	st of Uploaded Documents		
	st of Uploaded Documents File Name	Modified By	Modified On
Li ŧ	-	Modified By	Modified On

Principled

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

6. Were the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.

- 3: The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

Evidence:

The project's team recommended changes to the Na tional SME Strategy requesting more advanced me asure to support women entrepreneurship and skill d evelopment. An important outcome was the drafting of the new law for SME definition in Lebanon dedica ting a specific article for women enterprises . This in struments is intended to facilitate the development o f women specific programmes and financing scheme s in order to motivate them, create special incentive s, and ultimately bridge and mitigate the existing gen der gap.

The project benefited from a Gender Focal Point ma king sure that outputs are gender sensitive and that gender is properly mainstreamed in all activities (wh enever possible).

List of Uploaded Documents # File Name Modified By Modified On No documents available.

7. Were social and environmental impacts and risks successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there is a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP was updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project was categorized as Low risk through the SESP.
- 1: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk, there was no evidence that social and environmental assessments completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There are substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP was not updated. (any may be true)

Evidence:

The project risks were regularly reviewed and did no t entail any social and/or environmental risks.

Lis	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

8. Were grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and were grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm was effectively mitigated?

- 3: Project-affected people actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- 2: Project-affected people informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were responded to but faced challenges in arriving at a resolution.
- 1: Project-affected people was not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances were received, they were not responded to. (any may be true)

Evidence:

N/A

2 PM			Closure Print	
	Lis	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	£	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
Ν	١o	documents available.		
Man	ag	jement & Monitoring	Quality Rating: Satist	factory
9.		as the project's M&E Plan adequately implemented 3: The project had a comprehensive and costed populated. Progress data against indicators in th sources and collected according to the frequency relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, inclu used to take corrective actions when necessary. 2: The project costed M&E Plan, and most basel indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a following the frequency stated in the Plan and da conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized ev used to take corrective actions. (all must be true) 1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not	M&E plan. Baselines, target e project's RRF was reporte y stated in the Plan, including fully meet decentralized eva ded during evaluations and/ (all must be true) lines and targets were popul- a regular basis, although the ata sources was not always r valuation standards. Lesson) c clearly planned and budget	d regularly using credible data g sex disaggregated data as aluation standards, including for After-Action Reviews, were lated. Progress data against ere was may be some slippage in reliable. Any evaluations s learned were captured but were ed for, or were unrealistic.
		Progress data was not regularly collected agains decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons lead the project did not have an M&E plan.	at the indicators in the projec	t's RRF. Evaluations did not meet

Evidence:

The project was monitored annually and quarterly, a nd on an ad hoc basis if needed

# File Name Modified By Modified On	List of Uploaded Documents			
No documents available.	File Name	Modified By	Modified On	

10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?

- 3: The project's governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: The project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- 1: The project's governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

Evidence:

The project governance met at the greed upon frequ ency and much more based on specific issues, spec ially by the end of the project, and on upcoming opp ortunities such as productive sectors development, a greeing on potential means of cooperation beyond t he project's life span.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

11. Were risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

3: The project monitored risks every quarter and consulted with the key stakeholders, security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks to assess if the main assumptions remained valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)

- 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates were made to management plans and mitigation measures.
- 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There was may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that may affected the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions were taken to mitigate risks.

	ngs by the end of the project	
Li	st of Uploaded Documents	

Efficient

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

12. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

Yes

No

Evidence:

The project's committed resources were decreased annually by the government during the annual nation al budget discussions, limiting at times the scope of i nterventions. Additionally, the project was revised in May 2020 to limit its capacity to only critical function s. The project ended up being closed ahead of plann ed termination date due to the fact that the governm ent was no longer able to fulfill its funding commitme nt based on the currency crisis.

	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		
5. V	Vere project inputs procured and de	livered on time to efficiently contribute to	o results?
	bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a actions. (all must be true)2: The project had updated procure	blan and kept it updated. The project qua timely manner and addressed them thr ement plan. The project annually reviewe	ough appropriate management ed operational bottlenecks to
	true) 1: The project did not have an upda	ated procurement plan. The project tean g inputs regularly, however managemen	n may or may not have reviewed
Evi	dence:		
	II quarterly and annual plans were do ely and according to the requiremer		
11	st of Uploaded Documents		
	st of Uploaded Documents File Name	Modified By	Modified On
#	-	Modified By	Modified On

d the financial risks and cut in budgets . The GOL ye arly and consecutive cuts in budgets are evidenced i n the GOL budgets for the years 2018 and 2019. As such the outputs priorities were accordingly adjuste d. List of Uploaded Documents	arly and consecutive cuts in budgets are evidenced i n the GOL budgets for the years 2018 and 2019. As such the outputs priorities were accordingly adjuste d.		e Annual work plans and progress reports reflec		
n the GOL budgets for the years 2018 and 2019. As such the outputs priorities were accordingly adjuste d. List of Uploaded Documents	n the GOL budgets for the years 2018 and 2019. As such the outputs priorities were accordingly adjuste d. List of Uploaded Documents				
such the outputs priorities were accordingly adjuste d. List of Uploaded Documents	such the outputs priorities were accordingly adjuste d. List of Uploaded Documents				
d. List of Uploaded Documents	d. List of Uploaded Documents				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	incurred by incurred of	Lis	st of Uploaded Documents		
No documents available.		#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On

ffect	ive	Quality Rating: Satisfactor	у
15. V	/as the project on track and delivered its expecte	ed outputs?	
<u> </u>	Yes No		
Evi	dence:		
he	ithin the limits of the lack of funds, which affected necessary recruitments and adequate developr of planned programs and outputs.		
Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		
	/ere there regular reviews of the work plan to en ts, and to inform course corrections if needed?	sure that the project was on track t	o achieve the desired

- 3: Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations /or After-Action Reviews) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions were made. (both must be true)
- 2: There was at least one review of the work plan per year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.
- 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management took place.

Evidence:

The work plan and related plans were annually deve loped with the possibility to be quarterly revised bas ed on changes in context, threats, opportunities, and discussions and agreements with counterpart.

Li	List of Uploaded Documents				
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On		
No	documents available.				

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

- 3: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they benefited as expected and adjustments were made if necessary, to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- 2: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they were benefiting as expected. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There is some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefited as expected, but it was limited or did not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable

No d	locuments available.		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
List	t of Uploaded Documents		
	agencies, the international community, we ps, and CSOs.	omen g	
	e main target group is the Ministry of Econ rade, but the project also engaged at time	s with	

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decisionmaking, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

N/A the project is a support to NIM

PM			Closure Print	
Li	st of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On	
No	documents available.			
ne p		iges in capacities and performance of in ementation arrangements ⁸ adjusted ac	-	
	clear indicators, rigorous methods of assurance activities. Implementation agreement with partners according to 2: Aspects of changes in capacities a	nance of national institutions and syste data collection and credible data sourd arrangements were formally reviewed o changes in partner capacities. (all mu and performance of relevant national in	ces including relevant HACT and adjusted, if needed, in ust be true)	
	assurance activities. Some adjustme in partner capacities. (all must be true 1: Some aspects of changes in capa have been monitored by the project,	cities and performance of relevant nation however changes to implementation and changes in capacities and performance	rces including relevant HACT gements if needed to reflect chang onal institutions and systems ma rrangements have not been	
 Evi N 	assurance activities. Some adjustme in partner capacities. (all must be true 1: Some aspects of changes in capachave been monitored by the project, considered. Also select this option if systems have not been monitored by <i>Not Applicable</i> dence:	ent was made to implementation arrang e) cities and performance of relevant nation however changes to implementation and changes in capacities and performance	rces including relevant HACT gements if needed to reflect chang onal institutions and systems may rrangements have not been	
Evi	assurance activities. Some adjustme in partner capacities. (all must be true 1: Some aspects of changes in capachave been monitored by the project, considered. Also select this option if systems have not been monitored by <i>Not Applicable</i> dence:	ent was made to implementation arrang e) cities and performance of relevant nation however changes to implementation and changes in capacities and performance	rces including relevant HACT gements if needed to reflect chang onal institutions and systems may rrangements have not been	
Evi N	assurance activities. Some adjustme in partner capacities. (all must be true 1: Some aspects of changes in capachave been monitored by the project, considered. Also select this option if systems have not been monitored by <i>Not Applicable</i> dence:	ent was made to implementation arrang e) cities and performance of relevant nation however changes to implementation and changes in capacities and performance	rces including relevant HACT gements if needed to reflect chang onal institutions and systems ma rrangements have not been	
Evi N	assurance activities. Some adjustme in partner capacities. (all must be tru- 1: Some aspects of changes in capachave been monitored by the project, considered. Also select this option if systems have not been monitored by <i>Not Applicable</i> dence: /A	ent was made to implementation arrang e) cities and performance of relevant nation however changes to implementation and changes in capacities and performance	rces including relevant HACT gements if needed to reflect chan onal institutions and systems ma rrangements have not been	

	arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ens set out by the plan. The plan was implemented as	s planned by the end of the pro	. .
	adjustments made during implementation. (both n 2: There was a review of the project's sustainabili		ts for transition and phase-out
	to ensure the project remained on track in meeting		
	1: The project may have had a sustainability plan	but there was no review of this	s strategy after it was
	developed. Also select this option if the project did	d not have a sustainability stra	tegy.
Evi	dence:		
Al	though the project was closed ahead of its planne		
	termination, proper handover was submitted to the		
	inistry and the CO.		
Th	ne CO is still in discussions with the Ministry on fur	rt	
her ways of collaboration on focus areas of mutual i nterest such as productive sectors development and			
Be	eirut explosion.		
Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		, ,

QA Summary/Final Project Board Comments