Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

	Satisfactory
roject Number :	00078522
roject Title :	The project seeks to support initiatives to enhance access to justice andsupport the development of national capacities in legal drafting .
roject Date :	02-Jan-2014
Strategic	Quality Rating: Satisfactory
	actively take advantage of new opportunities and adapt its theory of change to respond to changes in the including changing national priorities? (select the option from 1-3 which best reflects this project)
and changes in the deboard considered the	am regularly completed and documented a comprehensive horizon scanning exercise to identify new opportunities evelopment context that required adjustments in the theory of change. There is clear evidence that the project scanning and its implications, and documented changes to the project's RRF, partnerships, etc. made in late. (both must be true to select this option)
the development cont minutes. There is son	am has undertaken some horizon scanning over the life of the project to identify new opportunities and changes ext. The project board discussed the scanning and its implications for the project, as reflected in the board ne evidence that the project took action as a result, but changes may not have been fully integrated in the project F, partnerships, etc. (all must be true to select this option)
but this has not been	am may have considered new opportunities and changes in the development context since implementation bega discussed in the project board. There is limited to no evidence that the project team has considered changes to . This option should also be selected if no horizon scanning took place during project implementation.
Evidence	
on-going World Bank mechanism. Project i	of changes in the development context with supported project on Alternative Dispute informed Board of decision to ensure input d on outcome of its project funded onal sector,
. , .	
3: The project re one of the proposed r	sponded to one of the three areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the Strategic Plan. It addressed at least
 3: The project re one of the proposed r project. The project's 2: The project re 	sponded to one of the three areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the Strategic Plan. It addressed at least new and emerging <u>areas</u> and implementation was consistent with the issues-based analysis incorporated into the
 3: The project re one of the proposed re project. The project's 2: The project re included at least one 1: While the project on a sectoral a 	new and emerging <u>areas</u> and implementation was consistent with the issues-based analysis incorporated into the RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option) sponded to one of the three areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF
3: The project re one of the proposed r project. The project's 2: The project re included at least one 1: While the project a included in the project	sponded to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan. It addressed at least new and emerging areas and implementation was consistent with the issues-based analysis incorporated into the RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option) sponded to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true to select this option) ect may have responded to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan, it was pproach without addressing the complexity of the development issue. None of the relevant SP indicators were

d By	Modified	
golakai@undp.org	9/27/2016 9:28:15 AM	
sed to confirm or adjust th	e programme/CPD's theory of change	
Modified By	Modified	
nessie.golakai@undp.org	9/27/2016 7:56:44 AM	
Quality Rating: Satis	sfactory	
onitoring system. Representa ct board or equivalent) and the ion) and monitoring, with a priority to d regularly to ensure the project	atives from the targeted group were active nere is credible evidence that their feedbackfocus on the excluded and marginalized.	k
	inform project decision making. This option	1
Modified By	Modified	
	Modified By nessie.golakai@undp.org Quality Rating: Satistified and engaged, with a pathem? (select the option from the properties of th	golakai@undp.org 9/27/2016 9:28:15 AM Modified By Modified nessie.golakai@undp.org 9/27/2016 7:56:44 AM Quality Rating: Satisfactory iffied and engaged, with a priority focus on the excluded and them? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project) egularly from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focusion the targeted group were active act board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedbaction) and monitoring, with a priority focus on the excluded and marginalized, and regularly to ensure the project addressed local priorities. This information to select this option) d, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option

5. Did the project generate knowledge, particularly les knowledge informed management decisions and char towards its stated objectives, the quality of its output the project)	nges/course corrections to ensure	the continued relevance of the project
 3: Knowledge and lessons learned (gained, for explacted by credible evidence from evaluation, analysis a in the minutes. There is clear evidence that the project's project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be a continued served by relative to the continued served by th	and monitoring were regularly discus s theory of change was adjusted, as e true to select this option)	ssed in project board meetings and reflected needed, and changes were made to the
the project team. There is some evidence that changes must be true to select this option)		
1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge evidence that this informed project decision making.	and lessons learned were collected	by the project team. There is little or no
Evidence		
Project adapted strategy on training in legal drafting based on learned from previous experience in sector; wherein externally trained personnel utilized training to enter private sector. Strategy was alter to undertake an in-co training utilizing external trainers to reach wider catchmand focus on civil servants in policy positions across the executive and legislative branch. Additionally seminar rays developed for use within law school.	untry nent e	
List of Uploaded Documents		
File Name	Modified By	Modified
LEG.DRFT.TRNG. MANUAL REVD. June 29, 2015 (1)[2].doc	nessie.golakai@undp.org	9/27/2016 9:36:22 AM
6. Were the project's special measures (through output women relevant and produce the intended effect? If noption from 1-3 that best reflects the project) 3: The project team systematically gathered data a inequalities and empowering women. Analysis of data a (both must be true to select this option) 2: The project team had some data and evidence empowering women. There is evidence that at least soil 1: The project team had limited or no evidence on empowering women. No evidence that adjustments and the project had no special measures in addressing general.	ot, were evidence-based adjustment and evidence on the relevance of the and evidence were used to inform a conthe relevance of the special measure adjustments made, as appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made, as appropriate appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made, as appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made, as appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made, as appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made, as appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made, as appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made, as appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made, as appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made, as appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made, as appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made, as appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made, as appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made, as appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made, as appropriate the relevance of the special measure d/or changes were made.	ents and changes made? (select the especial measures in addressing gender djustments and changes, as appropriate. Sures in addressing gender inequalities and te. (both must be true to select this option) The sin addressing gender inequalities and riate. This option should also be selected if
Evidence	, , , ,	,
Training on legal drafting criteria for selection of participants wa revised to ensure 40% gender participation, especially participants of the Association of female Lawyer to aug their capacities to support the elaboration of gender sp legislation and/or gender focused clauses within propose legislation.	ment ecific	

change? (select the option from 1-3 that best refl		meaningfully contribute to development
3: There is credible evidence that the project coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through po		
2: While the project was not considered at sc extending its coverage in a second phase or using		
1: The project was not at scale, and there are	e no plans currently to scale up the initiati	ve in the future.
Evidence		
The policy instrument developed through the project is of scale to impact a large segment of the Liberian with more thoroughly debated, researched and ve laws.	population	
List of Uploaded Documents		
File Name	Modified By	Modified
NATIONAL LAW REFORM POLICY FINAL DRAFT.doc	nessie.golakai@undp.org	9/27/2016 8:13:52 AM
Social & Environmental Standards	Quality Rating: Exemp	olary
8. Did the project seek to further the realization of 1-3 that best reflects the project) 3: There is credible evidence that the project rights based approach. Any potential adverse imparting the project rights based approach.	aimed to further the realization of human acts on enjoyment of human rights were a	rights, on the basis of applying a human
through the project's management of risks. (all mu 2: There is some evidence that the project air enjoyment of human rights were identified and ade select this option)	med to further the realization of human ri	
select tills obtion)		
1: There is no evidence that the project aimed potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of hundred.		s. There is limited to no evidence that
1: There is no evidence that the project aimed		s. There is limited to no evidence that

9. Were social and environmental impacts and ri successfully managed and monitored in accorda no social and environmental risks the answer is	ance with the project document	human rights, gender and environment) t and relevant action plans? (for projects that have
Yes		
O No		
Evidence		
Focus within the dialogue on reform of the customary pr on the need to ensure the protection of customary values as a basis of social cohesion and national but ensuring that the underlying values are reflectights and protection of all citizens.	y norms and identity;	
10. Were any unanticipated social and environmental risks or grievances the answer is	t plans updated? (for projects t	
Yes		
O No		
Evidence		
No unanticipated social and environmental risks.		
Management & Monitoring	Quality Rating	g: Satisfactory
according to the frequency stated in the project's	oject's RRF was reported regularl M&E plan, including sex disaggre uding gender UNEG standards, a	y using highly credible data sources and collected egated data as relevant. Evaluations, if conducted, and management responses were fully implemented.
slippage in following the frequency stated in the p conducted meet most decentralized evaluation sta Lessons learned have been captured but not used	project's M&E plan and data source andards; management responses d to take collective actions. (all m ainst the indicators in the project's	s were fully implemented to the extent possible. ust be true to select this option) s RRF, or limited data was collected but not regularly;
Evidence		
List of Uploaded Documents	Madificat Dec	Modifical
File Name	Modified By	Modified

12. Did the project's governance mechanism (i 1-3 that best reflects the project)	.e., the project board or equivale	nt) function as intended? (select the option from
the project document and the minutes of the me	etings are all on file. There was reg opportunities. It is clear that the pro d evaluations, as the basis for infor	pject board explicitly reviewed and used evidence,
		s of the meeting are on file. A project progress report sults, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to
The project's governance mechanism did n equivalent did not function as a decision making		e project document, and/or the project board or
Evidence		
List of Uploaded Documents		
File Name	Modified By	Modified
Minutes JSC Meeting 22 July 2016.doc	nessie.golakai@undp.org	9/27/2016 8:26:04 AM
continuing and emerging risks to project implem that relevant management plans and mitigating that risk mitigation has benefitted performance. 2: The project monitored risks every quarte management plans and mitigation measures. (but it is a superior of the project of the pr	ry quarter including consulting with lentation and to assess if the main a measures were fully implemented to (all must be true to select this option of the must be true to select this option ter as required. There may be some ts, but there is no explicit evidence	key stakeholders at least annually to identify assumptions remain valid. There is clear evidence to address each key project risk, and some evidence on) Inted risk log. Some updates were made to n) The evidence that the project monitored risks that could that management actions were taken to mitigate
Evidence		
Project risks were regularly monitored and updated online.		
Efficient	Quality Rating	: Satisfactory

14. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

Yes

○ No		
Evidence		
World Bank has expressed interest in supporting the impler of	mentation	
List of Uploaded Documents		
File Name	Modified By	Modified
LRC - End of Project Report-LRC Access to Justice.doc	nessie.golakai@undp.org	9/27/2016 8:28:56 AM
15. Were project inputs procured and delivered on t reflects the project)	time to efficiently contribute to re	sults? (select the option from 1-3 that best
 3: The project had a procurement plan and kept a quarterly basis, the project reviewed operational bo appropriate management actions. (all must be true to 	ottlenecks to procuring inputs in a tin	
 2: The project had a procurement plan and kept inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through 		
1: The project did not have an updated procurer procuring inputs regularly, however management act bottlenecks were not reviewed during the project in a	ions were not taken to address then	
Evidence		
Procurement plans were kept updated and all items were procured and on schedule. Project delays were attributable to crisis which caused a slowdown in development work.		
List of Uploaded Documents		
File Name	Modified By	Modified
LRC QUALTY ASSURACNE CHECK LIST.doc	nessie.golakai@undp.org	9/27/2016 8:33:04 AM
16. Was there regular monitoring and recording of a the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project) 3: There is evidence that the project regularly resorred or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximinative other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDF (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true to select this 2: The project monitored its own costs and gave result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs with a few other projects to coordinate activities. (both 1: There is little or no evidence that the project results in the project of the coordinate activities.)	eviewed costs against relevant comp zed results delivered with given reso or other) to ensure complementarit option) e anecdotal examples of cost efficient and no link to the expected quality	parators (e.g., other projects or country offices) burces. The project actively coordinated with the ty and sought efficiencies wherever possible encies (e.g., spending less to get the same of results delivered. The project communicated

Evidence		
Project monitored its own costs and took an informed de undertake localized training to ensure wider part catchment and hence wider dispersion of knowledge.		
Effective	Quality Rating: Sat	isfactory
17. Is there evidence that project outputs contri	buted to the achievement of program	nme outcomes?
Yes		
O No		
Evidence		
Project has contributed to enhanced consensus on legal reform of customary justice.	l reforms and	
List of Uploaded Documents		
File Name	Modified By	Modified
End of Project Report-LRC Access to Justice.doc	nessie.golakai@undp.org	9/27/2016 10:06:06 AM
18. The project delivered its expected outputs.YesNoEvidence		
Please refer to end of project report above.		
likely to achieve the desired results. There is evic course corrections, as needed. (both must be tru	reviews of the project work plan to ensidence that data and lessons learned (in e to select this option)	ure that the activities implemented were most cluding from evaluations) were used to inform
the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) T	here is no evidence that data or lessons	. ,
 1: While the project team may have reviewed link was made to the delivery of desired develops management took place. 		o ensure outputs were delivered on time, no regular review of the work plan by

Evidence			
Regular Board and Joint Steering Committee meeting review work plan, ensure project was on track desired outcome.			
List of Uploaded Documents			
File Name	Modified By	Modified	
Annual Review 2016 SUMMARY ACTION AND FOLLOWUP POINTS.docx	nessie.golakai@ur	9/27/2016 10:14:31 AM	
20. Were the intended targeted groups syste ensure results were achieved as expected?			ed, to
3: Targeted groups were systematically i from development opportunities relevant to the reached as intended. The project engaged readjustments were made if necessary to refine	ne project's area of work. There is cle gularly with targeted groups to asse	ss whether they benefitted as expected and	s were
2: The project targeted specific groups a and/or exclusion from development opportuni project beneficiaries were members of the tarbenefitted as expected. (all must be true to see	ities relevant to the project's area of rgeted groups. There was some eng		n that
1: The project did not report on specific to needs or are populations deprived and/or exchave been some engagement with beneficiaries.	targeted groups, or there is no evide		ve capacity ere may
Not Applicable			
Evidence			
Project core focus group was traditional leaders and months of project focused on engagement of sector.			
List of Uploaded Documents			
File Name	Modified By	Modified	
LRC_Annual_Report_2014 adjusted.doc	nessie.golakai@undp.org	9/27/2016 10:17:39 AM	
21. Were at least 40 per cent of the personne	I hired by the project, regardless	of contract type, female?	
Yes			
O No			
Evidence			
Project supported 5 personnel, two of which were fer technical level.	male at the		

Sustainability & National Ownership	Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory
22. Were stakeholders and partners fully engaged in the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)	the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project? (select
	itoring, evaluation, etc.) were to fully implement and monitor the project. All vely engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, elect this option)
office support or project systems) to implement and m	g, evaluation, etc.) were used in combination with other support (such as country onitor the project, as needed. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively ect decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true to select
 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement and/or monitoring of the project. 	with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation
O Not Applicable	
Evidence	
utilized national systems for implementation. All relev stakeholders, especially national stakeholders were enthe lead decision making process. UNDP and UNMIL oversight and supported monitoring.	ngaged in
	acities and performance of institutions and systems, and were the changes in partner capacities? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects
assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous n capacities and performance of national institutions an	tional institutions and systems were regularly and comprehensively methods of data collection and credible data sources. There is clear evidence that d systems improved by the end of the project, if applicable. Implementation f needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities.
using indicators and reasonably credible data sources	ance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project s. There is limited evidence that capacities and performance of national institutions blicable. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed ue to select this option)
	erformance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored trangements were not considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities systems were not monitored by the project.
O Not Applicable	
Evidence	
Annual	

List of Uploaded Documents

arrangements.

iP informed implementation

File Name Modified By

spot check of the IP were conducted. micro-assessment of the

LRC.pdf	nessie.golakai@und	o.org 9/27/2016 1	10:23:24 AM 	
			ed as planned by the end of the project, taking into acc se option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)	ount any
and phase-out	, to ensure the project ren	nained on track in meeting	ne project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for the requirements set out by the plan. The plan was implements made during implementation. (both must be true to select	nented as
remained on tr account any account The pro	rack in meeting the required djustments made during in oject may have had a sus	ements set out by the plan. inplementation. (both must a cainability plan that specifie	uding arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure. The plan was implemented by the end of the project, taking be true to select this option) ed arrangements for transition and phase-out, but there was	ng into
Evidence	strategy after it was devel	opea. Also select this option	n if the project did not have a sustainability strategy.	
Draft programme of support follow activities.	support elaborated with \ on	Vorld Bank Institute to		
List of Uploaded	d Documents			
File Name		Modified By	Modified	
LIBERIA LAW N	MAKING FINAL.docx	nessie.golakai@undp	o.org 9/27/2016 10:27:14 AM	
25. Please uploa	ad the final lessons lear	ned report that was produ	uced for this project.	
List of Uploaded	d Documents			
File Name	M	lodified By	Modified	
LRC Project Re	view Final.ppt n	essie.golakai@undp.org	9/27/2016 10:31:53 AM	

Summary/Final Project Board Comments: