# Annex 2. Social and Environmental Screening Procedure

**Project Information**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Project Information***  |  |
| 1. **PROGRAMME Title**
 | Peacebuilding, Reconciliation and Social Cohesion Programme/Project |
| 1. **PROGRAMME Number**
 | TBD |
| 1. **Location**
 | Liberia  |

**Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability**

|  |
| --- |
| **QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles in order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?** |
| ***Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach***  |
| Liberia is a signatory to several international treaties and Conventions including human rights instruments such as Civil and Political Rights, international Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, etc. The Liberian Constitution and other policy frameworks together with the international human rights instruments strive to protect, promote and respect human rights in Liberia. Liberia has made significant efforts towards reforming and creating national laws and policies relevant to natural resource governance, peacebuilding and reconciliation as well as sustainable economic development and the enjoyment of human rights and freedoms. Besides these instruments, Liberia, through the erstwhile Truth and Reconciliation Commission produced a comprehensive report containing several recommendations for the restoration of the rights and dignity of victims of the 14 years of civic conflict in Liberia. There is also the existence of a National Reconciliation Road map developed under the Peacebuilding Office. The existence of these laws and policies will buttress and guide the implementation of the Peacebuilding, Reconciliation and Social Cohesion Project (PBRSP). The key objective of the PBRSP) is to support Liberia consolidate, sustain and enhance peace and social cohesion that promotes and protects the human rights of all by 2024. It would seek to strengthen and adopt conflict- sensitive policies, strategies and programs on national reconciliation; expand and integrate reconciliation and peace-building mechanisms into the governance structure at national and sub national levels as well as strengthen the institutional and technical capacities of the Independent National Commission on Human Rights (INCHR) and the Peacebuilding Office (PBO). At the center of the project is a key outcome to ensuring that the rights of all are protected and upheld, and that the TRC recommendations are implemented to ensure justice for victims of the 14 years civil conflict. |
| ***Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women’s empowerment*** |
| During conflict and other forms of civil upheavals, women and girls bear the brunt of insecurity, brutality and violence. As in the case of the 14 years of civil conflict in Liberia, women and girls suffered some of the most bitter encounters with their rights and personal dignities being violated. The PBRSP will seek to improve gender equality and women’s empowerment through the provision of series of empowerment opportunities such as trainings peace building and conflict resolution. The project will encourage, through awareness raising the participation of women in county peace structures as well as early warning and early response for conflict prevention in the targeted communities. To create gender equality the project will seek to promote equal representation of both women and men on county peace structures and early warning and early response platforms. The project will also ensure equal participation of women in decision making processes especially as it relates to the implementation of the TRC recommendations.  |
| ***Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams environmental sustainability*** |
| The Programme is expected to have limited or no environmental impacts. The project will target the construction of five (5) memorial sites in southeastern Liberia but will involve the participation of community dwellers especially as it relates to site identification. The sites identification will entail careful scrutiny of the sensitivity surrounding Heritage and cultural sites so as to avoid conflict with the cultural practices of the local communities.  |

**Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental Risks**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **QUESTION 2: What are the Potential Social and Environmental Risks?** *Note: Describe briefly potential social and environmental risks identified in Attachment 1 – Risk Screening Checklist (based on any “Yes” responses). If no risks have been identified in Attachment 1 then note “No Risks Identified” and skip to Question 4 and Select “Low Risk”. Questions 5 and 6 not required for Low Risk Projects.* | **QUESTION 3: What is the level of significance of the potential social and environmental risks?***Note: Respond to Questions 4 and 5 below before proceeding to Question 6* | **QUESTION 6: What social and environmental assessment and management measures have been conducted and/or are required to address potential risks (for Risks with Moderate and High Significance)?** |
| ***Risk Description*** | ***Impact and Probability (1-5)*** | ***Significance******(Low, Moderate, High)*** | ***Comments*** | ***Description of assessment and management measures as reflected in the Project design. If ESIA or SESA is required note that the assessment should consider all potential impacts and risks.*** |
| **Risk 1**: Non-participation of targeted beneficiaries and communities before and during project implementation  | I = 3P =3 | **Moderate**  | The use of appropriate community engagement strategies before and during project implementation is very critical to targeted beneficiaries taking ownership of the peacebuilding, reconciliation and social cohesion processes. It is therefore imperative that stakeholders’ consultations involving targeted beneficiaries (including women and vulnerable groups) are held before and during project implementation to provide clear picture on targets to be achieved and benefits to be derived | There is an opportunity for stakeholders engagement from the onset of the project. During project implementation, engagements with stakeholders will continue through monitoring to determine their level of participation and ownership of the processes to ensure that the peace is sustained and social cohesion is enhanced. |
| **Risk 2**: Limited or lack of political will.  | I = 3P = 3 | **Moderate** | In order to consolidate and sustain peace and promote social cohesion, government needs to exhibit high degree of political will. Political will be be demonstrated and reflected through Government’s efforts and willingness to implement the full recommendations of the TRC report. | There is the potential that the Project will ensure full implementation of the TRC recommendations through constant engagements with State actors. The project will ensure, through the implementation of the TRC recommendations the upholding of rights and restoration of dignity of victims affected by the war. |
|  Risk 3: Environmental issues arising from Construction memorials | I = 2P = 2 | **Low** | There will be very minimal environmental impact from the clearing of selected sites identified by the beneficiary communities. | Strategy for the replacement of lost livelihoods as a result of the clearing of selected sites will be incorporated into the project risk mitigation log. |
|  | **QUESTION 4: What is the overall Project risk categorization?**  |
| **Select one** | **Comments** |
| ***Low Risk*** | **☐** |  |
| ***Moderate Risk*** | **X** | The Project has the potential to ensure full implementation of the TRC recommendations without creating further disenchantments amongst the targeted beneficiary communities. The project seeks to do this through comprehensive engagement with all stakeholders including both state actors and beneficiaries |
| ***High Risk*** | **☐** |  |
|  | **QUESTION 5: Based on the identified risks and risk categorization, what requirements of the SES are relevant?** |
| Check all that apply | **Comments** |
| ***Principle 1: Human Rights*** | **X** |  The Programme will lead to restoration of the dignity and rights of victims of the war and will ensure that social cohesion is enhanced. |
| ***Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment*** | **X** | The project will ensure that gender equality and the empowerment of women is at the center of all of its engagements. Through the provision of peacebuilding and conflict resolution trainings women will be empowered and form part of the decision making processes on peacebuilding, reconciliation and social cohesion in the selected beneficiary communities. |
| ***1. Biodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource Management*** | **☐** | No impact on biodiversity conservation and natural resource management |
| ***2. Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation*** | **☐** | No impact on climate.  |
| ***3. Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions*** | **☐** | No impact on community health, safety and working conditions |
| ***4. Cultural Heritage*** | **☐** | No impact on culture heritage  |
| ***5. Displacement and Resettlement*** | **☐** | No impact on displacement and resettlement  |
| ***6. Indigenous Peoples*** | **☐** | No impact on indigenous people  |
| ***7. Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency*** | **☐** | No pollution impact.  |

**Final Sign Off**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ***Signature*** | ***Date*** | ***Description*** |
| QA AssessorJames MonibahTeam Leader/ Governance |  | UNDP staff member responsible for the Project, typically a UNDP Team Leader for Governance. Final signature confirms they have “checked” to ensure that the SESP is adequately conducted. |
| QA ApproverViolet BaffourDeputy Resident Representative (Programme) |  | UNDP senior manager, typically the UNDP Deputy Country Director (DCD), Country Director (CD)**,** Deputy Resident Representative (DRR), or Resident Representative (RR). The QA Approver cannot also be the QA Assessor. Final signature confirms they have “cleared” the SESP prior to submittal to the PAC. |
| PAC ChairPa Lamin BeyaiResident Representative  |  | UNDP chair of the PAC. In some cases, PAC Chair may also be the QA Approver. Final signature confirms that the SESP was considered as part of the project appraisal and considered in recommendations of the PAC.  |

### SESP Attachment 1. Social and Environmental Risk Screening Checklist

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Checklist Potential Social and Environmental Risks** |  |
| **Principles 1: Human Rights** | **Answer (Yes/No)** |
| 1. Could the Project lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups? | No |
| 2. Is there a likelihood that the Project would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups? [[1]](#footnote-1)  | No |
| 3. Could the Project potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups? | No |
| 4. Is there a likelihood that the Project would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups, from fully participating in decisions that may affect them? | No  |
| 5. Is there a risk that duty-bearers do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the Project? | No  |
| 6. Is there a risk that rights-holders do not have the capacity to claim their rights?  | No  |
| 7. Have local communities or individuals, given the opportunity, raised human rights concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process? | No  |
| 8. Is there a risk that the Project would exacerbate conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project-affected communities and individuals? | No |
| **Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment** |  |
| 1. Is there a likelihood that the proposed Project would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?  | No |
| 2. Would the Project potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits? | No |
| 3. Have women’s groups/leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process and has this been included in the overall Project proposal and in the risk assessment? | no |
| 4. Would the Project potentially limit women’s ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, considering different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services? *For example, activities that could lead to natural resources degradation or depletion in communities who depend on these resources for their livelihoods and well being* | No  |
| **Principle 3: Environmental Sustainability:** Screeningquestions regarding environmental risks are encompassed by the specific Standard-related questions below |  |
|  |  |
| **Standard 1: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable** [**Natural**](#SustNatResManGlossary) **Resource Management** |  |
| 1.1 Would the Project potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?*For example, through habitat loss, conversion or degradation, fragmentation, hydrological changes* | No  |
| 1.2 Are any Project activities proposed within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? | No  |
| 1.3 Does the Project involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? (Note: if restrictions and/or limitations of access to lands would apply, refer to Standard 5) | No  |
| 1.4 Would Project activities pose risks to endangered species? | No  |
| 1.5 Would the Project pose a risk of introducing invasive alien species?  |  |
| 1.6 Does the Project involve harvesting of natural forests, plantation development, or reforestation? | No  |
| 1.7 Does the Project involve the production and/or harvesting of fish populations or other aquatic species? | No  |
| 1.8 Does the Project involve significant extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground water? *For example, construction of dams, reservoirs, river basin developments, groundwater extraction* | No  |
| 1.9 Does the Project involve utilization of genetic resources? (e.g. collection and/or harvesting, commercial development)  | No  |
| 1.10 Would the Project generate potential adverse transboundary or global environmental concerns? | No  |
| 1.11 Would the Project result in secondary or consequential development activities which could lead to adverse social and environmental effects, or would it generate cumulative impacts with other known existing or planned activities in the area? *For example, a new road through forested lands will generate direct environmental and social impacts (e.g. felling of trees, earthworks, potential relocation of inhabitants). The new road may also facilitate encroachment on lands by illegal settlers or generate unplanned commercial development along the route, potentially in sensitive areas. These are indirect, secondary, or induced impacts that need to be considered. Also, if similar developments in the same forested area are planned, then cumulative impacts of multiple activities (even if not part of the same Project) need to be considered.* | No  |
| **Standard 2: Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation** |  |
| 2.1 Will the proposed Project result in significant[[2]](#footnote-2) greenhouse gas emissions or may exacerbate climate change?  | No  |
| 2.2 Would the potential outcomes of the Project be sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change?  | No  |
| 2.3 Is the proposed Project likely to directly or indirectly increase social and environmental [vulnerability to climate change](#CCVulnerabilityGlossary) now or in the future (also known as maladaptive practices)?*For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population’s vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding* | No  |
| **Standard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions** |  |
| 3.1 Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities? | No  |
| 3.2 Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)? | No  |
| 3.3 Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings)? | No (includes construction of five memorial sites) |
| 3.4 Would failure of structural elements of the Project pose risks to communities? (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure) | No |
| 3.5 Would the proposed Project be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme climatic conditions? | No  |
| 3.6 Would the Project result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from water-borne or other vector-borne diseases or communicable infections such as HIV/AIDS)? | No  |
| 3.7 Does the Project pose potential risks and vulnerabilities related to occupational health and safety due to physical, chemical, biological, and radiological hazards during Project construction, operation, or decommissioning? | No |
| 3.8 Does the Project involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labor standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?  | no |
| 3.9 Does the Project engage security personnel that may pose a potential risk to health and safety of communities and/or individuals (e.g. due to a lack of adequate training or accountability)? |  |
| **Standard 4: Cultural Heritage** |  |
| 4.1 Will the proposed Project result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? (Note: Projects intended to protect, and conserve Cultural Heritage may also have inadvertent adverse impacts) | No  |
| 4.2 Does the Project propose utilizing tangible and/or intangible forms of cultural heritage for commercial or other purposes? | No  |
| **Standard 5: Displacement and Resettlement** |  |
| 5.1 Would the Project potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement? | No  |
| 5.2 Would the Project possibly result in economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions – even in the absence of physical relocation)?  | No |
| 5.3 Is there a risk that the Project would lead to forced evictions?[[3]](#footnote-3) | No  |
| 5.4 Would the proposed Project possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community-based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?  | No  |
| **Standard 6: Indigenous Peoples** |  |
| 6.1 Are indigenous peoples present in the Project area (including Project area of influence)? | No  |
| 6.2 Is it likely that the Project or portions of the Project will be located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples? | No  |
| 6.3 Would the proposed Project potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas, whether the Project is located within or outside of the lands and territories inhabited by the affected peoples, or whether the indigenous peoples are recognized as indigenous peoples by the country in question)? *If the answer to the screening question 6.3 is “yes” the potential risk impacts are considered potentially severe and/or critical and the Project would be categorized as either Moderate or High Risk.* | No  |
| 6.4 Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving FPIC on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned? | No  |
| 6.5 Does the proposed Project involve the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples? | No  |
| 6.6 Is there a potential for forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources? | No  |
| 6.7 Would the Project adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them? | No  |
| 6.8 Would the Project potentially affect the physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples? | No  |
| 6.9 Would the Project potentially affect the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices? | No  |
| **Standard 7: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency** |  |
| 7.1 Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or [transboundary impacts](#TransboundaryImpactsGlossary)?  | No  |
| 7.2 Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)? | No  |
| 7.3 Will the proposed Project potentially involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous chemicals and/or materials? Does the Project propose use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs?*For example, DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the Stockholm Conventions on Persistent Organic Pollutants or the Montreal Protocol*  | No  |
| 7.4 Will the proposed Project involve the application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health? | No  |
| 7.5 Does the Project include activities that require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?  | No  |

1. Prohibited grounds of discrimination include race, ethnicity, gender, age, language, disability, sexual orientation, religion, political or other opinion, national or social or geographical origin, property, birth or other status including as an indigenous person or as a member of a minority. References to “women and men” or similar is understood to include women and men, boys and girls, and other groups discriminated against based on their gender identities, such as transgender people and transsexuals. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Regarding CO2, ‘significant emissions’ corresponds generally to more than 25,000 tons per year (from both direct and indirect sources). [The Guidance Note on Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation provides additional information on GHG emissions.] [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Forced evictions include acts and/or omissions involving the coerced or involuntary displacement of individuals, groups, or communities from homes and/or lands and common property resources that were occupied or depended upon, thus eliminating the ability of an individual, group, or community to reside or work in a particular dwelling, residence, or location without the provision of, and access to, appropriate forms of legal or other protections. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)