

Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved

Overall Rating:	Needs Improvement
Decision:	
Portfolio/Project Number:	00110818
Portfolio/Project Title:	Prévention et Résolution des conflits wilaya Hod Chargui
Portfolio/Project Date:	2018-04-15 / 2020-11-01

Strategic

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?

- 3: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)*
- 1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

Evidence:

L'équipe du projet a assuré suivi de près par les parties prenantes et autorités locales clés en commençant par la finalisation des programmes de travail et dispositifs de mise en œuvre détaillés et propres à chaque élément, et jusqu'à la fin de la phase de mise en œuvre du projet. Aucun changements pertinents dans l'environnement externe du projet n'a été signalé. De manière générale, les indicateurs de produits du projet ont été atteints et les cibles, en partie, dépassés, ce qui montre la performance de la mise en œuvre du projet vers les progrès fixés. Comme la montre, le tableau des progrès réalisés ci-dessous annexé

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	AnalysedescausesdeetCartographiedesconflitsAoût2020_8012_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AnalysedescausesdeetCartographiedesconflitsAoût2020_8012_301.docx)	fah.brahim.jiddou@undp.org	9/2/2021 7:29:00 PM
2	DocProjetPreventionConflits.MRT.FR.Signé.3Avril2018_8012_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/DocProjetPreventionConflits.MRT.FR.Signé.3Avril2018_8012_301.pdf)	fah.brahim.jiddou@undp.org	9/2/2021 7:33:00 PM
3	Progrèsréalisédanslamiseenœuvre_8012_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Progrèsréalisédanslamiseenœuvre_8012_301.pdf)	sidi.khalifa@undp.org	9/18/2021 8:27:00 PM

2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?

- 3: The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopted at least one Signature Solution .The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project responded to at least one of the developments settings1 as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)*
- 1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

contributif (UNDAF/CPD, RPD ou GPD): : Vers 2022, la Mauritanie a une bonne gouvernance où (a) les institutions en charge de l'État de droit sont indépendantes et les droits de l'homme sont promus et respectés ; (b) l'administration publique et les autorités locales et régionales doivent assurer un accès équitable aux services ; c) un système démocratique plus transparent, plus participatif et plus inclusif ; (d) les populations, particulièrement les jeunes et les femmes, sont engagées dans la lutte contre la radicalisation et les menaces de sécurité.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Relevant**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

3. Were the project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?

- 3: Systematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)**
- 2: Targeted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collected regularly to ensure the project addressed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)**
- 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected**
- Not Applicable**

Evidence:

les bénéficiaires ont été impliqués dans la phase de conception et mise en œuvre du projet

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

4. Did the project generate knowledge, and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- 3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 2: *Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, were considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)*
- 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team. There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

Evidence:

les documents de connaissance sur le projet sont constitués dans une base de données au niveau du chargé de la communication.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

5. Was the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

- 3: *There was credible evidence that the project reached sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.*
- 2: While the project was not considered at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).
- 1: The project was not at scale, and there are no plans to scale up the project in the future.

Evidence:

apprises de la mise en œuvre du projet font que : - L a nature consultative de la conception du projet a été une première étape pour obtenir l'adhésion du partenaires nationaux ; - Les activités répondent aux besoins des acteurs et des bénéficiaires y adhèrent ; - Relation et renforcement de la confiance avec les principales parties prenantes. - L'importance de la phase d'analyse des besoins des bénéficiaires et d'engagement pour assurer l'appropriation des activités ; - L'application visant à concrétiser ces droits, à reconnaître les droits des individus et des groupes comme des revendications juridiques et morales et à donner aux individus et aux groupes les moyens de revendiquer leurs droits ; - Synergie des interventions des différents acteurs de développement (PN UD, HCDH, OIM) dans les zones de Basseknou au niveau des comités villageois ; - La capacité des populations locales de servir d'appui aux locales dans la gestion des frontières ; - Bonne compréhension des populations locales des enjeux de la sécurité et la nécessité d'une cohésion sociale pour faire face aux défis sécuritaires et de développement ; - Le rôle du Cadre de concertation régional et départemental pour la coordination des synergies des actions des intervenants dans la Moughtaa de Bassikounou et la région en général ; voir rapport final ci-joint

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	RapportfinalduprojetpreventiondesconflitsHodhEchargui_8012_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RapportfinalduprojetpreventiondesconflitsHodhEchargui_8012_305.pdf)	sidi.khalifa@undp.org	9/18/2021 8:47:00 PM

Principled

Quality Rating: Needs Improvement

6. Were the project’s measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.

- 3: The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)*
- 1: The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

Evidence:

De manière générale, les indicateurs de produits du projet ont été atteints et les cibles, en partie, dépassées, ce qui montre la performance de la mise en œuvre du projet vers les progrès fixés. Comme la montre, le tableau, ci-dessous, la création de mécanisme de gestion des frontières a permis de mettre en place, plus de celui de la wilaya d'intervention, deux autres au niveau des wilayas frontières comme l'Assaba et Hodh El Gharbi. Quant aux mécanismes de gestion des conflits, les comités villageois mis en place en collaboration avec l'OIM et HCDH, ont permis de résoudre plus de 15 conflits villageois résolus au niveau de ces cadres de concertation. En matière d'accès des populations à des activités génératrices de revenus, le projet ciblait 15.000 bénéficiaires et a fini par faire profiter une population de bénéficiaires directs de 15.300 personnes et 20.000 de bénéficiaires indirects. Le nombre de localités visés fixé à 15 est passé à 28 localités bénéficiaires; voir rapport final ci-annexé

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

7. Were social and environmental impacts and risks successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there is a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP was updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project was categorized as Low risk through the SESP.
- 1: *Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk, there was no evidence that social and environmental assessments completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There are substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP was not updated. (any may be true)*

Evidence:**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Signed_SESPPreventiondesconflicts_8012_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Signed_SESPPreventiondesconflicts_8012_307.pdf)	abdallahi.kane@undp.org	12/20/2021 8:15:00 PM

8. Were grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and were grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm was effectively mitigated?

- 3: Project-affected people actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- 2: *Project-affected people informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were responded to but faced challenges in arriving at a resolution.*
- 1: Project-affected people was not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances were received, they were not responded to. (any may be true)

Evidence:

Sans objet : aucune réclamation signalée

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Management & Monitoring

Quality Rating: **Highly Satisfactory**

9. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately implemented?

- 3: *The project had a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones were fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, included during evaluations and/or After-Action Reviews, were used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets were populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources was not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were captured but were used to take corrective actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not clearly planned and budgeted for, or were unrealistic. Progress data was not regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations did not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project did not have an M&E plan.

Evidence:

Conformément aux politiques et procédures de programmation du PNUD, le projet a un suivi -évaluation qui peut être consulté à la page 12 du PRODOC. Ce plan de suivi et d'évaluation a été assuré et suivi à travers des rapports périodiques qui renseignent le rythme d'exécution de ses activités et les progrès réalisés

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?

- 3: *The project's governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)*
- 2: The project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- 1: The project's governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

Evidence:

gouvernance du projet s'est réuni à la fréquence convenue et le procès-verbal de la réunion est archivé. Un rapport d'avancement et final du projet sont soumis au comité de projet Les principaux risques particuliers qui avaient été signalés et pouvant impact directement ou indirectement les activités du projet était principalement la pandémie COVID 19 et la limitation de la mobilité des personnes. voir rapport final

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

11. Were risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- 3: The project monitored risks every quarter and consulted with the key stakeholders, security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks to assess if the main assumptions remained valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates were made to management plans and mitigation measures.*
- 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There was may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that may affected the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions were taken to mitigate risks.

Evidence:

OUI, Les principaux risques particuliers qui avaient été signalés et pouvant impact directement ou indirectement les activités du projet était principalement la pandémie COVID 19 et la limitation de la mobilité de s personnes.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Efficient**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

12. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

- Yes
- No

Evidence:

Le projet a fonctionné avec les ressources mobilisées et qui ont été adéquates pour la mise en œuvre et l'atteinte de résultats escomptés

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

13. Were project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?

- 3: *The project had a procurement plan and kept it updated. The project quarterly reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project had updated procurement plan. The project annually reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not have an updated procurement plan. The project team may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs regularly, however management actions were not taken to address them.

Evidence:

Le plan d'achat du Projet est élaboré au début de chaque année et est intégré le plan procurment du Bureau pays

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

14. Was there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies, taking into account the expected quality of results?

- 3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviewed costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximized results delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinated with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and sought efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)
- 2: *The project monitored its own costs and gave anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinated activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.*
- 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitored its own costs and considered ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

Evidence:

Les interventions du projet sont bâties sur les acquis d'autres résultats déjà obtenus dans le cadre de projets précédents de la cohésion sociale, mis en œuvre par le PNUD et par d'autres partenaires. Les interventions auront lieu à deux niveaux : les zones d'interventions seront les circonscriptions administratives de Bassikounou, Oualata, Amorj, Timbédra et Tichitt voir page 6 du prodoc

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Effective**Quality Rating: Exemplary**

15. Was the project on track and delivered its expected outputs?

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

l'approche suivie pour la mise en œuvre par le Projet a été conduite de la manière la plus participative permettant l'atteinte et la livraison des résultats escomptés.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

16. Were there regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- 3: *Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations /or After-Action Reviews) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions were made. (both must be true)*
- 2: There was at least one review of the work plan per year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.
- 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management took place.

Evidence:

le suivi des indicateurs du projet a été maintenu pour s'assurer que la réalisation des progrès a été atteinte. voir la section « Progrès réalisés dans la mise en œuvre » Page 3 du rapport annexé Voir Rapport final de mise en œuvre

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

- 3: *The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they benefited as expected and adjustments were made if necessary, to refine targeting. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they were benefiting as expected. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There is some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefited as expected, but it was limited or did not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Les actions identifiées sont bâties sur les acquis d'initiatives précédentes et constituent un complément aux actions entreprises ou existantes de plusieurs partenaires dans la localité. Des synergies avec ces actions sont utilisées et renforcées. Il met à contribution, dans ce cadre, les dispositifs déjà mis en place par les partenaires intervenant dans la Wilayas et en particulier les dispositifs de comités villageois pour la prévention des conflits dans les villages frontières de la Moughataa de Bassikounou avec le Mali. Il s'agit des comités mis en place avec l'appui de l'OIM, du Haut-Commissaire des Nations Unies aux Droits de l'Homme (HCDH) et l'ONG INTERSOS, pour la résolution des conflits visant l'amélioration de la cohésion sociale entre la population hôte et les réfugiés. Au sein des institutions publiques bénéficiaires, le PNUD s'appuie sur les points focaux pour la mise en œuvre des activités et maintenir un dialogue continu avec les homologues nationaux.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Sustainability & National Ownership**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 2: *National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)*
- 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Le projet est exécuté selon la modalité NEX avec les services d'appui du PNUD. Le Ministère de l'Intérieur et de la Décentralisation, à travers la Direction Générale de l'Administration Territoriale et le Programme National de Déminage et de Développement Humain, sera le partenaire principal. Tous les recrutements et les achats de biens et de services confiés au PNUD sont menés selon les règles de la transparence et de la compétitivité, conformément aux procédures du PNUD. Des long term agreements (LTAs) existants avec d'autres agences locales seront utilisés dans la mesure du possible. voir page 9 du prodoc

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

19. Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed, and were the implementation [arrangements](#)⁸ adjusted according to changes in partner capacities?

- 3: Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 2: Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)*
- 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Les progrès accomplis par le projet ont contribué directement à la réalisation des indicateurs d'effet du C PD et en particulier celui relatif à la prévention et résolution des conflits Voir la section VII. Matrice des performances du rapport final de mise en œuvre ci-an nexé

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

20. Were the transition and phase-out arrangements were reviewed and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitment and capacity).

- 3: The project's governance mechanism regularly reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan was implemented as planned by the end of the project, taking into account any adjustments made during implementation. (both must be true)*
- 2: There was a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.
- 1: The project may have had a sustainability plan but there was no review of this strategy after it was developed. Also select this option if the project did not have a sustainability strategy.

Evidence:

La durabilité du projet sera conservée grâce aux groupes formés et aux leçons apprises dans le cadre des formations, séminaires et ateliers réalisés ainsi que les AGR réalisées durant la période de vie du projet. Les principaux partenaires du projet prendront le relais et veilleront à ce que les résultats obtenus soient appliqués à tous les niveaux.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

QA Summary/Final Project Board Comments