# Annex [1]. Social and Environmental Screening Template

*The completed template, which constitutes the Social and Environmental Screening Report, must be included as an annex to the Project Document. Please refer to the* [*Social and Environmental Screening Procedure*](http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/librarypage/operations1/undp-social-and-environmental-screening-procedure.html) *and* [*Toolkit*](https://info.undp.org/sites/bpps/ses_toolkit/default.aspx) *for guidance on how to answer the 6 questions.*

**Project Information**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Project Information***  |  |
| 1. Project Title
 | Strengthening Namibia food systems to recover from emergencies and disease-related shocks through the Build Back Better (BBB) programme |
| 1. Project Number
 | **Proposal Atlas ID: 00129047** |
| 1. Location (Global/Region/Country)
 | RBA, Namibia |

**Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability**

|  |
| --- |
| **QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles in order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?** |
| ***Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach***  |
| In accordance with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) article 22 on the right to Social Security and the priorities of the NDP5 and Blue Print on Wealth Redistribution and Poverty Eradication, social assistance will be provided through cash transfers to create social safety nets for the poor and vulnerable to be able to cope with the shock presented by COVID19. To address persistent poverty, inequality and vulnerability this project will ensure diversification and continuation of employment for informal vendors and small-scale producers in urban settings. To increase participation in decision making at design level and throughout implementation the vendors and small scale farmers will be engaged by the respective municipalities for input in the project design. |
| ***Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women’s empowerment*** |
| The project is its design will focus on improving sustainable livelihoods especially of women and youth and persons with disabilities population (SP signature solutions 1&6). The project will seek to increase the number of opportunities by creating jobs within the agriculture sector for women from vulnerable and marginalized communities. Furthermore, capacities build through training and skills transferred will increase the employability rates for these women. Gender will be mainstreamed across all activities through the collection of age and sex-disaggregated data and gender statistics that will be carried out with an aim of achieving 40% representation of women benefiting from interventions in this project.  |
| ***Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams environmental sustainability*** |
| The establishment of the gardens is aimed at enhancing the countries capacities of modern agriculture and contributing to the long run socio-economic benefits such as; ecological through the restoration of biodiversity, achieving green goals, improving individual health through improvement nutrition of vulnerable urban household, economic through employment creation while maximizing reliance of the local food system on local produce. To improve nutrition and minimize environmental footprint of food systems, the production of fruit, vegetables and semi-arid nutritious crops that use less water and are more tolerant of heat will be the focus of this project.The project will support sustainable environment management and resilience to shocks and crises (SP signature solution 3,4, 5& 6) by ensuring that all target municipalities employ environmentally friendly methods of agriculture. Furthermore, the project will ensure that all municipalities have urban agriculture policies and financial models to sustain the project moving forward.Additionally, education and awareness raising activities on improved understanding of food productivity, malnutrition, recycling and waste management for horticulture through circular economy principles will be introduced to the groups and their respective communities. |

**Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental Risks**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **QUESTION 2: What are the Potential Social and Environmental Risks?** *Note: Describe briefly potential social and environmental risks identified in Attachment 1 – Risk Screening Checklist (based on any “Yes” responses). If no risks have been identified in Attachment 1 then note “No Risks Identified” and skip to Question 4 and Select “Low Risk”. Questions 5 and 6 not required for Low Risk Projects.* | **QUESTION 3: What is the level of significance of the potential social and environmental risks?***Note: Respond to Questions 4 and 5 below before proceeding to Question 6* | **QUESTION 6: What social and environmental assessment and management measures have been conducted and/or are required to address potential risks (for Risks with Moderate and High Significance)?** |
| ***Risk Description*** | ***Impact and Probability (1-5)*** | ***Significance******(Low, Moderate, High)*** | ***Comments*** | ***Description of assessment and management measures as reflected in the Project design. If ESIA or SESA is required note that the assessment should consider all potential impacts and risks.*** |
| Risk 1: The use of environmentally unfriendly materials as part of inputs…. | I = High P = moderate  | **High** | List of prohibited inputs for gardening to be developed and provided to respective municipalities.  | Assessment of input materials prior to procurement bids being issued by implementing agents/UNDP.  |
| Risk 2: The COVID19 is evolving and may change over time in unpredicted ways | I = High P = High  | **Low**  | Sanitation and Hygiene standards to be applied across all activities.  |  |
|  | **QUESTION 4: What is the overall Project risk categorization?**  |
| **Select one (see** [**SESP**](http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/librarypage/operations1/undp-social-and-environmental-screening-procedure.html) **for guidance)** | **Comments** |
| ***Low Risk*** | **☐** |  |
| ***Moderate Risk*** | **X ☐** | The COVID 19 pandemic is presents with it volatile, uncertainties and complexities that would need to be taken into consideration and managed at each step of the project planning and implementation.  |
| ***High Risk*** | **☐** |  |
|  | **QUESTION 5: Based on the identified risks and risk categorization, what requirements of the SES are relevant?** |  |
| Check all that apply | **Comments** |
| ***Principle 1: Human Rights*** | **☐** |  |
| ***Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment*** | **☐** |  |
| ***1. Biodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource Management*** | **☐** | Given that Swakopmund municipality lies within the Namib desert which have unique habitat. Closer monitoring by an environmentalist of the selected area to be used will be conducted.  |
| ***2. Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation*** | **☐** |  |
| ***3. Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions*** | **X** | COVID 19 health risk that is current and can be mitigated in operations. The project will ensure sanitation and hygiene measures are adhered to as recommended by authorities. Risks will be lowered by ensuring less travel between regions and application of virtual meetings.  |
| ***4. Cultural Heritage*** | **☐** |  |
| ***5. Displacement and Resettlement*** | **☐** |  |
| ***6. Indigenous Peoples*** | **☐** |  |
| ***7. Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency*** | **X** | Predictable risk that can mitigated through thorough guidance provided to municipalities on acceptable environmentally friendly inputs and methods that can be used for the project.  |