Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

Overall Project Rating:	Needs Improvement (The lessons learned report is required for all projects. See question 25)
Project Number :	00092916
Project Title :	This project aims to Investing for Malaria Elimination in Sao Tome and Principe
Project Date :	01-Jan-2016
Strategic	Quality Rating: Exemplary
	ctively take advantage of new opportunities and adapt its theory of change to respond to changes in the nocluding changing national priorities? (select the option from 1-3 which best reflects this project)
opportunities and chan the project board consi	om regularly completed and documented a comprehensive horizon scanning exercise to identify new ages in the development context that required adjustments in the theory of change. There is clear evidence that idered the scanning and its implications, and documented changes to the project's RRF, partnerships, etc. made priate. (both must be true to select this option)
in the development cor minutes. There is some	m has undertaken some horizon scanning over the life of the project to identify new opportunities and changes ntext. The project board discussed the scanning and its implications for the project, as reflected in the board e evidence that the project took action as a result, but changes may not have been fully integrated in the nge, RRF, partnerships, etc. (all must be true to select this option)
began, but this has not	m may have considered new opportunities and changes in the development context since implementation been discussed in the project board. There is limited to no evidence that the project team has considered as a result. This option should also be selected if no horizon scanning took place during project implementation
Evidence	
The evidences shown	that the project took ations to identify new opportunities during the development activities
2. Was the project align project)	ed with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the
one of the proposed ne	ponded to one of the three areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the Strategic Plan. It addressed at least we and emerging <u>areas</u> and implementation was consistent with the issues-based analysis incorporated into the RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option)
	ponded to one of the three areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF P output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true to select this option)
based on a sectoral ap	ct may have responded to one of the three areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the Strategic Plan, it was proach without addressing the complexity of the development issue. None of the relevant SP indicators were s RRF. This option is also selected if the project did not respond to any of the three SP areas of development
Evidence	
The thematic focus wa	as duly aligned to the Strategic Plan (passing-through the forthcoming project.
3. Evidence generated to during implementation. • Yes	through the project was explicitly used to confirm or adjust the programme/CPD's theory of change
- 100	

towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)

(both must be true to select this option)

1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team. There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

Evidence

Concept Notes with lessons-learned, annual planning workshops, bi-annual meetings with implementing partners

6. Were the project's special measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produce the intended effect? If not, were evidence-based adjustments and changes made? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)

	ence on the relevance of the special measures in addressing gender ence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate.
	elevance of the special measures in addressing gender inequalities and stments made, as appropriate. (both must be true to select this option)
	vance of the special measures in addressing gender inequalities and ages were made, as appropriate. This option should also be selected if ualities and empowering women relevant to project results and
Evidence	
Performance indicators, gender analysis were used to inform a	djustments and changes, as appropriate.
7. Was the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to change? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the proj	scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development ect)
3: There is credible evidence that the project reached a surcoverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) t	fficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant to meaningfully contribute to development change.
2: While the project was not considered at scale, there are extending its coverage in a second phase or using project result	explicit plans in place to scale up the initiative in the future (e.g. by ts to advocate for policy change).
1: The project was not at scale, and there are no plans cur	rently to scale up the initiative in the future.
Evidence	
Potential for scale-up in NSP	
Social & Environmental Standards	Quality Rating: Satisfactory
8. Did the project seek to further the realization of human righ 1-3 that best reflects the project)	nts using a human rights-based approach? (select the option from
	ner the realization of human rights, on the basis of applying a human ment of human rights were actively identified, managed and mitigated select this option)
	r the realization of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on the ated through the project's management of risks. (both must be true to
1: There is no evidence that the project aimed to further the potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human rights well.	e realization of human rights. There is limited to no evidence that re managed.
Evidence	
Free access to the basic social services + public health. Potent the project's management of risk	tial adverse impacts were identified and adequately mitigated through
9. Were social and environmental impacts and risks (including successfully managed and monitored in accordance with the have no social and environmental risks the answer is "Yes")	g those related to human rights, gender and environment) project document and relevant action plans? (for projects that

Yes	
O No	
Evidence	
Social and environmental impact and risks	were monitored accordingly
10. Were any unanticipated social and envir adequately managed, with relevant manage environmental risks or grievances the answ	ronmental issues or grievances that arose during implementation assessed and ement plans updated? (for projects that did not experience unanticipated social and wer is "Yes")
Yes	
O No	
Evidence	
Unanticipated Social and environmental iss	ues were monitored accordingly (i.e. incinerators)
Management & Monitoring	Quality Rating: Satisfactory
11. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately	implemented? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)
according to the frequency stated in the projection fully met decentralized evaluation standards.	he project's RRF was reported regularly using highly credible data sources and collected ect's M&E plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Evaluations, if conducted, , including gender UNEG standards, and management responses were fully implemented. ns, were used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true to select this
slippage in following the frequency stated in conducted meet most decentralized evaluati	the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there may have been some the project's M&E plan and data sources were not always reliable. Any evaluations ion standards; management responses were fully implemented to the extent possible. It used to take collective actions. (all must be true to select this option)
	ed against the indicators in the project's RRF, or limited data was collected but not lized evaluation standards; and/or lessons learned were rarely captured and used.
Evidence	
M&E Plans, performance framework includi	ing disaggregated data in place, monitoring visits done
Lessons learned have been captured but no 1: Progress data either was not collecte regularly; evaluations did not meet decentral Evidence	ed against the indicators in the project's RRF, or limited data was collected but not lized evaluation standards; and/or lessons learned were rarely captured and used.
12. Did the project's governance mechanisr 1-3 that best reflects the project)	ກ (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended? (select the option from
in the project document and the minutes of the	perated very well, and is a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated he meetings are all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence,

Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

7/24/2019

including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in

The project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be

strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)

true to select this option)

The project's severes	a machanism did not mat in the frequency stated in the project decument, and/or the project beard or
	e mechanism did not met in the frequency stated in the project document, and/or the project board or a decision making body for the project as intended.
Evidence	
Project board meeting minuterisks and opportunities	es; progress report submitted to "Conselho de Coordenação Multisectorial" (CCM), covering results,
3. Were risks to the project a	dequately monitored and managed? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)
continuing and emerging risks that relevant management pla	conitored risks every quarter including consulting with key stakeholders at least annually to identify is to project implementation and to assess if the main assumptions remain valid. There is clear evidence and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk, and some evidence tted performance. (all must be true to select this option)
	I risks every quarter, as evidenced by a regularly updated risk log. Some updates were made to ation measures. (both must be true to select this option)
could have affected the project	pdated every quarter as required. There may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that ct's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions were taken to erformance was disrupted by factors that could have been anticipated or managed.
Evidence	
The risks were regularly upda	ated during M&E exercise
ficient	Quality Rating: Satisfactory
4. Adequate resources were xpected results in the projec Yes No	mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust t's results framework.
Evidonos	
Evidence Adequate resources allocate	d to achieve the intended project results
Adequate resources anotate	u to admicve the interface project results
5. Were project inputs procu eflects the project)	red and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results? (select the option from 1-3 that best
On a quarterly basis, the proje	curement plan and kept it updated. Implementation of the plan was generally on or ahead of schedule. ect reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through ons. (all must be true to select this option)
	curement plan and kept it updated. The project annually reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true to select this option)
procuring inputs regularly, how	ve an updated procurement plan. The project team may have reviewed operational bottlenecks to wever management actions were not taken to address them. This option is also selected if operational d during the project in a timely manner.
Evidence	
The Procurement plan is in n	
The Floculement plan is in p	place and updated

review(s).

16. Was there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies, taking into account the expected quality of results? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)				
 3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviewed costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country office or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximized results delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinated with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and sought efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true to select this option) 2: The project monitored its own costs and gave anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project communicated with a few other projects to coordinate activities. (both must be true to select this option) 				
Evidence				
Systematic analysis of costs and lin	k to the expected quality of results to be delivered			
Effective	Quality Rating: Satisfactory			
17. Is there evidence that project ou Yes	tputs contributed to the achievement of programme outcomes?			
O No				
Evidence				
The project outputs were in fact cor	ntributed to achieving the Programme Outcome			
18. The project delivered its expecte	ed outputs.			
Yes				
O No				
Evidence				
The outputs of the project were ach	ieved as originally planned			
	e work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to ? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)			
	rmed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations) were used to inform must be true to select this option)			

2: There was at least one review of the work plan each year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There is no evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the

Annual planning workshop, bi-annual performance	e review
20. Were the intended targeted groups systemations are results were achieved as expected? (selections)	cally identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ct the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)
exclusion from development opportunities relevant	fied using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence to confirm that targeted laged regularly with targeted groups to assess whether they benefitted as expected targeting. (all must be true to select this option)
and/or exclusion from development opportunities r	r geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that digroups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they this option)
capacity needs or are populations deprived and/or	ted groups, or there is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries have excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. eficiaries to assess whether they benefitted as expected, but not regularly.
Not Applicable	
Evidence	
Focus groups with target groups, minutes of meet	tings; National review process and amendment of Strategic Plan
21. Were at least 40 per cent of the personnel hire Yes No	ed by the project, regardless of contract type, female?
Evidence	
Evidence Among the overall personnel hired by the project, for project hiring process	about 40% was female. Besides, women are systematically encouraged to apply

2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used in combination with other support (such as country office support or project systems) to implement and monitor the project, as needed. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true

relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making,

implementation and monitoring. (all must be true to select this option)

to select this option)

1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decis and/or monitoring of the project.	ion-making, implementation
O Not Applicable	
Evidence	
Procurement, capacity building; project data analysis were channeled through the national partners, fully	engaged by CCM
 Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and system inplementation arrangements adjusted according to changes in partner capacities? (select the optional effects the project) 	
3: Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were regularly and con assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources. capacities and performance of national institutions and systems improved by the end of the project, if appli arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to ch (all must be true to select this option)	There is clear evidence that cable. Implementation
2: Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems we using indicators and reasonably credible data sources. There is limited evidence that capacities and performant institutions and systems improved by the end of the project, if applicable. Some adjustment was made to in arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true to select this option)	rmance of national
1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and syste monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements were not considered. Also se capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were not monitored by the project	lect this option if changes in
Not Applicable	
Evidence	
National Capacities and Performance are addressed for the future of GFATM Malaria's intervention	
4. Were the transition and phase-out arrangements implemented as planned by the end of the project djustments made to the plan during implementation? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the option from 1-3 that bes	arrangements for transition ne plan was implemented as must be true to select this
2: There was a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phenoiect remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan was implemented by the into account any adjustments made during implementation. (both must be true to select this option)	
1: The project may have had a sustainability plan that specified arrangements for transition and phas review of this strategy after it was developed. Also select this option if the project did not have a sustainable	
Evidence	
The transition Strategy addresses comprehensively the capacities and performance of the national institutor the future of GFATM Malaria's intervention	tion that should be in charge
5. Please upload the final lessons learned report that was produced for this project.	
o. I loude apleau the illial leddend leatheu lepoit that was produced for this project.	
o. I iodoo apioda tiie iiiidi leoootio lediiled lepoit tiidt was produced for tiils project.	

Summary/Final Project Board Comments: