# **Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report**

Form Status: Approved		
Overall Rating:	Highly Satisfactory	
Decision:		
Portfolio/Project Number:	00059700	
Portfolio/Project Title:	Appui au Cycle Electoral (PACE)	
Portfolio/Project Date:	2010-04-01 / 2019-03-31	

## Strategic

# **Quality Rating: Exemplary**

1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?

- S: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)
- 2: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

## **Evidence:**

Toutes les 3 élections sont organisées sans incidenc es majeures. Le comité de pilotage composé des pa rties prenantes a toujours décidé des orientations en cas de menace au processus. les PV peuvent le pro uver.

	st of Uploaded Documents		
\$	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
10	documents available.		
W	as the project aligned with the themati	c focus of the Strategic Plan?	
	3: The project responded to at least o adopted at least one Signature Soluti must be true)		•
)	2: The project responded to at least o project's RRF included at least one S 1: While the project may have respon	P output indicator, if relevant. (both m	nust be true)
	Strategic Plan. Also select this option		
vi	dence:		
Ра 2.	arametre 2 ; Signature solution 2 ; Ind	IRRF : 2.2.	
Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
ŧ	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
٩	documents available.		
ev	ant	Quality Rating: Exe	emplary
	ere the project's targeted groups syste	ematically identified and engaged, wit	
	iminated and marginalized, to ensure	the project remained relevant for the	n?
scr		k was collected over the project dura the discriminated and marginalized, a geted groups were active members o equivalent) and there is credible evid	tion from a representative sample o as part of the project's monitoring f the project's governance

- 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected
- Not Applicable

él	st la principale cible et c'est elle qui a organisé les ections, les ONG et médiats ont procédé à la ser pilisations des populations après leur formation.		
Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
¥	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
٩N	documents available.		
) Evi	3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Works policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were minutes. There is clear evidence that changes we (both must be true) 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by rel were considered by the project team. There is so result to ensure its continued relevance. (both mu 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge There is little or no evidence that this informed pr dence:	hops) backed by credible evidence discussed in project board meeting ere made to the project to ensure atively limited evidence, drawn may ome evidence that changes were n ust be true) e and lessons learned were collect roject decision making.	e from evaluation, corporatings and reflected in the sits continued relevance. An an
or	évaluateur indépendant a fourni des recommanda ns et a proposé des leçons apprises qui ont été di ité avec les parties prenantes du projet.		
Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
ŧ	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
٩	documents available.		

#### **Closure Print**

•	through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute development change.		
Ev	idence:		
u	es résultats ont été proclamés à temps. Tous les b reaux de vote ont été couvert et l'acheminement de matériels n'a pas connu du retard.		

## **List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available		
No documents available.			

## Principled

#### **Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

6. Were the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.

- 3: The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

# **Evidence:**

Le projet n'avait pas d'influence sur l'organigramme de la CENI. Mais il a ténu compte du genre dans le r ecrutement des volontaires et l'appui des ONG fémi nines.

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
lo	documents available.		
W	ere social and environmental impacts	and risks successfully managed a	and monitored?
ivi Le	social and environmental assessment management plan(s) developed for it and monitored. Risks effectively man in context that affects risk levels, the 2: Social and environmental risks were required (i.e., Environmental and Soci social and environmental assessment management plan(s) developed, impli- Low risk through the SESP. 1: Social and environmental risks were Risk, there was no evidence that soci	cial Impact Assessment (ESIA) for the for Moderate risk projects as ide dentified risks through consultative raged or mitigated. If there is a sub SESP was updated to reflect these re tracked in the risk log. Appropri- cial Impact Assessment (ESIA) for the for Moderate risk projects as ide lemented and monitored for identi- re tracked in the risk log. For proje- ial and environmental assessmen the or monitored. There are subst- ated. (any may be true)	High risk projects and some level of intified through SESP). Relevant process and implemented, resourced, bstantive change to the project or chang e changes. (all must be true) fate assessments conducted where High risk projects and some level of
	réclamations des partis politiques.		
le			
	st of Uploaded Documents		
	st of Uploaded Documents File Name	Modified By	Modified On
Li		Modified By	Modified On
	File Name	to project-affected people and we	

were effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)

- 2: Project-affected people informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were responded to but faced challenges in arriving at a resolution.
- 1: Project-affected people was not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances were received, they were not responded to. (any may be true)

s,	e comité de pilotage a bien suivi les 1ères éle malgré le retard dans le processus, toute les s prévues ont été menées,		
Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		
anaç	gement & Monitoring	Quality Rating: Sa	tisfactory
	gement & Monitoring as the project's M&E Plan adequately implen		tisfactory

indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there was may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources was not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were captured but were used to take corrective actions. (all must be true)

1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not clearly planned and budgeted for, or were unrealistic. Progress data was not regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations did not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project did not have an M&E plan.

# **Evidence:**

Les données sont recueillies après les différentes fo rmation et l'appui logistique sur le terrain. Le résultat des élections sont officiellement communiqués. les i ndicateurs et les cibles ont été jugés pertinents et S MARTE par l'évaluateur indépendant.

# List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

#### **Closure Print**

10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?

- 3: The project's governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- It is project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- 1: The project's governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

# Evidence:

Les comités n'ont pas respectés les fréquences de r éunion prévues dans le Plan de travail, mais cela n'a pas empêché l'exécution des activités planifiées par le dynamisme des l'unité de gestion qui est auss i instruit par le comité.

# List of Uploaded Documents

# File Name Modified By Modified On

No documents available.

11. Were risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- 3: The project monitored risks every quarter and consulted with the key stakeholders, security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks to assess if the main assumptions remained valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)
- 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates were made to management plans and mitigation measures.
- 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There was may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that may affected the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions were taken to mitigate risks.

# Evidence:

Les risques ont été gérés comme témoigne le journa l des risques, le médiateur de la CEDEAO a été no mmé pour gérer la crise entre les différents partis po litiques. Malgré les reports le Projet a toujours été fle xible au changement de rythme.

		Closure Print	
Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		
icie	ent	Quality Rating: Ex	kemplary
Evia II y on es r la	Yes No dence: y'a eut beaucoup de contributeurs n Européenne notamment la France e et le Japon etc, toutes les requêt a Gouvernement guinéen ont été l'appui du PNUD.	ce , les états Uni tes adressées pa	
	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
•	3: The project had a procurement bottlenecks to procuring inputs in actions. (all must be true) 2: The project had updated procu	delivered on time to efficiently contribute t plan and kept it updated. The project q a timely manner and addressed them the urement plan. The project annually review are and addressed them through appropri	<i>uarterly reviewed operational</i> <i>hrough appropriate management</i> wed operational bottlenecks to
	true) 1: The project did not have an up	dated procurement plan. The project tea ing inputs regularly, however manageme	am may or may not have reviewed

im	projet examinait les goulots d'étranglement op nnels liés à la fourniture d'intrants dans les déla partis et les résolvait par des mesures de gestio propriées.	ais	
Lis	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		
	3: There is evidence that the project regularly nor country offices) or industry benchmarks to en- resources. The project actively coordinated with to ensure complementarity and sought efficience 2: The project monitored its own costs and gave get the same result,) but there was no systema delivered. The project coordinated activities with 1: There is little or no evidence that the project beyond following standard procurement rules.	nsure the project maximized results the other relevant ongoing projects and sies wherever possible (e.g. joint actu e anecdotal examples of cost efficient tic analysis of costs and no link to the h other projects to achieve cost effic monitored its own costs and consider et f	delivered with given d initiatives (UNDP or other ivities.) (both must be true) ncies (e.g., spending less t e expected quality of resul- iency gains.
aci av alu eu Le	projet a atteint son objectif avec un coût très ra nable qui a été démontré par l'évaluateur indép	'év mi ais	
aci avu alu eu Le on da	enants (extension avec et sans coût) même si l lateur pense que national implémentation était x. projet a atteint son objectif avec un coût très ra nable qui a été démontré par l'évaluateur indép	'év mi ais	
aci avu alu eu Le on da Lis	enants (extension avec et sans coût) même si lateur pense que national implémentation était x. projet a atteint son objectif avec un coût très ra nable qui a été démontré par l'évaluateur indép nt.	'év mi ais	Modified On

Effective

Quality Rating: Exemplary

		ad outpute?	
5. W	as the project on track and delivered its expecte	ed outputs?	
	<b>Yes</b> No		
Evid	lence:		
	valuateur en a démontré, car les résultats ont éle eints avec plus de cibles des indicateurs.	té	
Lis	t of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No (	documents available.		
i	s, and to inform course corrections if needed? 3: Quarterly progress data informed regular revie implemented were most likely to achieve the des	ews of the project work plan to ensu sired results. There is evidence that	t data and lessons learned
ii ( r 2 tt c 1 v iii	3: Quarterly progress data informed regular revie implemented were most likely to achieve the des fincluding from evaluations /or After-Action Revie necessary budget revisions were made. (both m 2: There was at least one review of the work pla rack to achieving the desired development resu for lessons learned were used to inform the revie 1: While the project team may have reviewed the were delivered on time, no link was made to the f no review of the work plan by management too lence:	ews of the project work plan to ensusired results. There is evidence that ews) were used to inform course const be true) n per year with a view to assessing lts (i.e., outputs.) There may or may ew(s). Any necessary budget revision e work plan at least once over the p delivery of desired development re- ok place.	ure that the activities t data and lessons learned prrections, as needed. Any if project activities were on y not be evidence that data ons have been made. bast year to ensure outputs
iii ( ( r r 2 t t c c 2 t t c c 1 v iii <b>Evid</b> Evid Less ail a ur l é q	3: Quarterly progress data informed regular revie implemented were most likely to achieve the des (including from evaluations /or After-Action Revie necessary budget revisions were made. (both m 2: There was at least one review of the work pla rack to achieving the desired development resu or lessons learned were used to inform the revie 1: While the project team may have reviewed the were delivered on time, no link was made to the f no review of the work plan by management too	ews of the project work plan to ensu sired results. There is evidence that ews) were used to inform course co nust be true) n per year with a view to assessing lts (i.e., outputs.) There may or may ew(s). Any necessary budget revision e work plan at least once over the p delivery of desired development re- bk place.	ure that the activities t data and lessons learned prrections, as needed. Any if project activities were on y not be evidence that data ons have been made. bast year to ensure outputs
in (( r 2 t t c c t t c t t c t t t t t t t t t	3: Quarterly progress data informed regular revie implemented were most likely to achieve the des fincluding from evaluations /or After-Action Revie necessary budget revisions were made. (both m 2: There was at least one review of the work plat rack to achieving the desired development resu for lessons learned were used to inform the revie to ressons learned were used to inform the revie the were delivered on time, no link was made to the f no review of the work plan by management too lence: s rapports d'étapes ont été fournis. le plan de tra annuel a bien été un outils de suivi des activités le terrain. Le rapport d'évaluation a aussi démor jue les résultats ont été atteinds à la satisfaction bénéficiaires.	ews of the project work plan to ensu sired results. There is evidence that ews) were used to inform course co nust be true) n per year with a view to assessing lts (i.e., outputs.) There may or may ew(s). Any necessary budget revision e work plan at least once over the p delivery of desired development re- bk place.	ure that the activities t data and lessons learned prrections, as needed. Any if project activities were on y not be evidence that data ons have been made. bast year to ensure outputs
iii ( ( r 2 t t c c 1 v iii Evid Less ail i ur l é q es	3: Quarterly progress data informed regular revie implemented were most likely to achieve the des fincluding from evaluations /or After-Action Revie necessary budget revisions were made. (both m 2: There was at least one review of the work pla rack to achieving the desired development resu or lessons learned were used to inform the revie 1: While the project team may have reviewed the were delivered on time, no link was made to the f no review of the work plan by management too lence: s rapports d'étapes ont été fournis. le plan de tra annuel a bien été un outils de suivi des activités le terrain. Le rapport d'évaluation a aussi démor que les résultats ont été atteinds à la satisfaction bénéficiaires.	ews of the project work plan to ensu sired results. There is evidence that ews) were used to inform course co- nust be true) n per year with a view to assessing lts (i.e., outputs.) There may or may ew(s). Any necessary budget revision e work plan at least once over the p delivery of desired development re- ok place.	ure that the activities t data and lessons learned prrections, as needed. Any if project activities were on y not be evidence that data ons have been made. past year to ensure outputs sults. Select this option also
in ( ( r 2 t t c c t t c t t c t t t t t t t t t	3: Quarterly progress data informed regular revie implemented were most likely to achieve the des fincluding from evaluations /or After-Action Revie necessary budget revisions were made. (both m 2: There was at least one review of the work plat rack to achieving the desired development resu for lessons learned were used to inform the revie to ressons learned were used to inform the revie the were delivered on time, no link was made to the f no review of the work plan by management too lence: s rapports d'étapes ont été fournis. le plan de tra annuel a bien été un outils de suivi des activités le terrain. Le rapport d'évaluation a aussi démor jue les résultats ont été atteinds à la satisfaction bénéficiaires.	ews of the project work plan to ensu sired results. There is evidence that ews) were used to inform course co nust be true) n per year with a view to assessing lts (i.e., outputs.) There may or may ew(s). Any necessary budget revision e work plan at least once over the p delivery of desired development re- bk place.	ure that the activities t data and lessons learned prrections, as needed. Any if project activities were on y not be evidence that data ons have been made. bast year to ensure outputs

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

- S: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they benefited as expected and adjustments were made if necessary, to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- 2: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work.
   Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they were benefiting as expected. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There is some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefited as expected, but it was limited or did not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable

# Evidence:

Toutes les requêtes de la CENI ont été répondus et l es activités ont été facilitées par le PNUD Comme d emandé, d'où les deux avenants (extension avec et sans coût). les médiats et les ONG ont également b énéficié des formations qui ont permis de sensibilise r les populations.

## List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On			
No	No documents available.					

#### Sustainability & National Ownership

**Quality Rating: Satisfactory** 

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- In a systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decisionmaking, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- Not Applicable

oppo s à le sion.( ns le List ( # F	bailleurs de fond, les ONG, l'État Guir ositions ont pris par à toutes les activit eur endroit et ont pris part aux instanc Ce qui a facilité le dialogue et les con processus électoral. of Uploaded Documents File Name	és prévue es de déci	
# F	File Name	Modified By	
# F	File Name	Modified By	
		Modified By	
No do	ocuments available.		Modified On
cle as: agi 2: 7 mc as: in j 1: 3 hav	Changes in capacities and performant ear indicators, rigorous methods of da surance activities. Implementation and reement with partners according to cl Aspects of changes in capacities and ponitored by the project using indicators surance activities. Some adjustment of partner capacities. (all must be true) Some aspects of changes in capacities ve been monitored by the project, how nsidered. Also select this option if cha	ta collection and credible data sour rangements were formally reviewed hanges in partner capacities. (all m performance of relevant national i s and reasonably credible data sour was made to implementation arran es and performance of relevant native wever changes to implementation a	rces including relevant HACT d and adjusted, if needed, in nust be true) nstitutions and systems were urces including relevant HACT gements if needed to reflect changes tional institutions and systems may arrangements have not been
sys	stems have not been monitored by the option is the option of the option is the option of the option		
Evider	nce:		
ujour rs im éficié	a multitude de report des élections le F rs su adapté les interventions avec les précis donnés par l'Etat guinéen. la C é de renforcement de capacités techn tionnelles.	s calendrie EENI a bén	
List	of Uploaded Documents		
	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
# F			

20. Were the transition and phase-out arrangements were reviewed and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitment and capacity).

# **Closure Print**

$\bigcirc$	3: The project's governance mechanism regularly reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including
	arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements
	set out by the plan. The plan was implemented as planned by the end of the project, taking into account any
	adjustments made during implementation. (both must be true)

- 2: There was a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.
- 1: The project may have had a sustainability plan but there was no review of this strategy after it was developed. Also select this option if the project did not have a sustainability strategy.

# Evidence:

Le plan a été examiné après chaque élection pour p rendre en compte les insuffisances avec le comité te chnique qui n'a pas quand même tenu toutes les réu nions comme prévu dans le plan de travail.

#	t of Uploaded Documents File Name	Modified By	Modified On				
No documents available.							

# **QA Summary/Final Project Board Comments**

Les acquis du projet notés dans le rapport d'évaluation et les leçons apprises sont une bonne base pour une appropriation adéquate.