

**United Nations Development Programme
Guyana
Quarterly Progress Report**

Project # & Title: Minamata Initial Assessment in Guyana

Date: 15 July 2015

Reporting Period: Q2

Implementing Agency: GGMC/MNRE

Responsible Agency: UNDP

I. Output Assessment

Output (extract output from AWP for reporting period)	Indicators (extract indicators for Outputs being reported on as recorded in AWP)	Quarterly Target (s) (extract from AWP)	Results Achieved (per output for the reporting period. This should include a description of targets achieved in the quarter)	Delivery Rate (actual expenditures/Di sbursement received)*100	Challenges (state difficulties encountered in implementing activities)	Risks & Issues (check risk and issues log and report on risk encountered during the quarter)
		Q = 2				
<p>Output 1. Output 1.1: National Mercury Coordination/consultation Mechanism established in Guyana.</p> <p>Output 1.2: Assessment report prepared on the</p>	<p>Output 1.1: A national decision-making structure on mercury (“Mercury Coordination/Consultation Mechanism (MCM)”) established</p> <p><i>Annual targets:</i> Institutional capacities amongst key stakeholders built</p> <p>Output 1.2: Assessment Report finalized.</p>	<p>Target 1 Institutional capacities amongst key stakeholders built</p> <p>Target 2 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Institutional capacities, and the policy and regulatory framework in place to management Mercury, assessed, gaps and needs identified. • Barriers that would hinder implementation of the Convention identified. </p> <p>Assessment reviewed and discussed by Mercury Focus Group.</p>	No results achieved.	0	For Output 1 No actions or advancements could have been taken due to the recent political change.	Administrative No actions or advancements could have been taken due to the recent political change.

Output (extract output from AWP for reporting period)	Indicators (extract indicators for Outputs being reported on as recorded in AWP)	Quarterly Target (s) (extract from AWP)	Results Achieved (per output for the reporting period. This should include a description of targets achieved in the quarter)	Delivery Rate (actual expenditures/Di sbursement received)*100	Challenges (state difficulties encountered in implementing activities)	Risks & Issues (check risk and issues log and report on risk encountered during the quarter)
		Q = 2				
existing and required policy and regulatory framework as well as institutional capacity to implement the Convention for Guyana (incl. overview of existing barriers).						
<p>Output 2.</p> <p>Output 2.1.1: Capacity built and training conducted to a group of national technical experts to conduct and develop National Mercury Profile.</p> <p>Output 2.1.2: Capacity built and training conducted to target key government representatives to facilitate revision process on</p>	<p>Output 2.1.1 and 2.1.2: National technical experts (national technical experts and Mercury Focus Group members) trained on data collection methodologies, reliability, and credibility and data analysis.</p>	<p>Target 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> National technical experts trained to be able to undertake the Mercury Inventory. Mercury Focus Group members trained to be able to review the Mercury Inventory. 	No results achieved.	0	For Output 2 No actions or advancements could have been taken due to the recent political change.	Response
						UNDP offered the procurement process for the international consultants.

Output (extract output from AWP for reporting period)	Indicators (extract indicators for Outputs being reported on as recorded in AWP)	Quarterly Target (s) (extract from AWP)	Results Achieved (per output for the reporting period. This should include a description of targets achieved in the quarter)	Delivery Rate (actual expenditures/Di sbursement received)*100	Challenges (state difficulties encountered in implementing activities)	Risks & Issues (check risk and issues log and report on risk encountered during the quarter)
		Q = 2				
Mercury Inventory.						
Output 3. Project Management: Project is coordinated throughout the implementation period by a local project coordinator	<i>Indicator 1: A training is carried out for a project coordinator</i> <i>Indicator 2: activity results 1.1 to 2.3 are delivered</i>	Target 1 Ensuring sound communication with international and local consultants, and assuring to bring their activity results based on the timeframe indicated in AWP.	No results achieved.	0	For Output 3 No actions or advancements could have been taken due to the recent political change.	

II. Capacity Development (Please explain how project activities have contributed to improving institutional policies, systems, strategies and structures. Give specific example of actions undertaken and the results achieved)

-

III. Gender Mainstreaming (*how did project serve men and women, identify # of men/women served*)

-

IV. Lessons Learnt: (Please describe new understanding or insights gained from project activities that can contribute to improving future project design and implementation. Give specific examples)

-

V. Innovative Initiatives: (Please describe new/pioneering actions (internal or external) taken during the year that contributed to the project being effective. Effectiveness here can be taken to mean improving practice or processes that aided positive project achievements).

-

VI. Reports & Publications: *(Please describe any reports or publications to which information from this project would have contributed).*

Nil.