

SSHF 2017 First Standard Allocation – Cluster Template

The purpose of this template is to collect information that will enable inter-cluster discussion to recommend optimal cluster envelopes within the \$18 million SSHF 2017 first standard allocation. Following submission, the information submitted by all clusters will be compiled, and an inter-cluster review meeting conducted to consolidate recommendations for priority needs, responses, and cluster envelopes.

Instructions:

1. Fill out the template to reflect cluster priorities and requirements
2. Send completed template to SSHF (chfsouthsudan@un.org) and copy to David Throp (throp@un.org), Thomas Nyambane (nyambanet@un.org) and Olivier Nkidiaka (nkidiaka@un.org) by **1 March 2017**

Cluster: [Name of cluster]

1. Priority needs

Indicate the key needs/gaps that SSHF funding would address
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • • •

2. Priority activities and locations, with corresponding financial requirements and beneficiary numbers

Priority activities to address the needs/gaps identified above (list in order of priority)	Location (state and county/counties)	\$ requirements	Estimated number of beneficiaries
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - prioritise a maximum of <u>three</u> main cluster activities, drawn from those activities highlighted in the 2017 HRP to be prioritized with first 25% of cluster funding; - refer to CERF live-saving criteria¹ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - specify location to county level, drawn from list of 25 priority counties based on severity mapping 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - factor in already existing resources / resources anticipated from other sources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - consider the size of the affected population and <u>unit costs for activities</u>
Proposed Total Cluster Envelope:		(enter sum of \$ requirements above)	

When completing the table:

- Consider feasibility of implementation and capacity of partners to carry out the proposed activities
- Consider flexible / innovative delivery modes to maximize access, including support to underfunded partners with ongoing presence and / or demonstrated ability to access target locations
- Consider value for money: standard ranges used by the cluster for unit costs per beneficiary, comparative costs for alternative delivery modes
- Avoid inclusion of activities for which resources are already available or expected to be made available from alternative sources e.g. ECHO, DFID, OFDA, Health Pooled Fund, RRF/IOM, previous SSHF allocations

¹ https://docs.unocha.org/sites/dms/CERF/FINAL_Life-Saving_Criteria_26_Jan_2010_E.pdf

3. Justification/background information

Add any useful remarks to justify the information provided. Reference any assessments or secondary information in support of the proposed priority activities and locations.

-

4. Promoting inter-cluster collaboration and synergies, cross cutting issues

Outline how the proposed activities will promote integrated response and address key cross-cutting issues (e.g. gender-based violence).

-

5. Feasibility within the operating context

Outline your analysis of **feasibility** of implementation in the locations to be targeted, given the volatility on the ground. What are the proposed modes for delivery? Are there innovations that will enhance flexibility and feasibility of response?

-