



GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR DIRECT ACCESS TO ENABLING ACTIVITY

GEF ID:	5894		
Country/Region:	Thailand		
Project Title:	Thailand's Domestic Preparation for Post-2020 Contributions		
GEF Agency:	UNDP	GEF Agency Project ID:	5412 (UNDP)
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Climate Change
GEF-5 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$0	Project Grant:	\$200,000
Co-financing:		Total Project Cost:	\$200,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Rawleston Moore	Agency Contact Person:	Maude Veyret-Picot

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	Thailand is eligible to receive resources
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project? * ¹	A letter is on file from the focal point.
Agency's Comparative Advantage	3. Is the Agency's comparative advantage for this project clearly described and supported? *	UNDP has the comparative advantage for this kind of project.
	4. Does the project fit into the Agency's program and staff capacity in the country? *	The project fits into the program of UNDP.
Resource Availability	5. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):	
	• the STAR allocation?	The resources are available from the STAR Allocation
	• the focal area allocation?	
	• focal area set-aside?	
	6. Is the project aligned with the focal areas results framework?	Yes the project is consistent with the focal area results framework.

¹ Questions 2, 3, 4, 18 and 19 are applicable only to EAs submitted through Agencies.
EA review template: updated June 7 2011

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment
Project Consistency	7. Are the relevant GEF 5 focal areas objectives identified?	Yes CCM-6 is identified.
	8. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions, including NPFE, NAPA, NCSA, or NAP?	The project will allow Thailand to prepare its intended nationally determined contribution to the 2015 climate agreement.
	9. Does the proposal clearly articulate how the capacities developed, if any, will contribute to the sustainability of project outcomes?	Through the project the capacities of Thai government agencies to formulate intended nationally determined contributions will be enhanced
	10. Is the project framework sound and sufficiently clear?	The project framework is sound and sufficiently clear.
	11. Is there a clear description of how gender dimensions are being considered in the project design and implementation?	Gender considerations are taken into account in the project.
	12. Is public participation, including CSOs and indigenous people, taken into consideration, their role identified and addressed properly?	Public participation is considered in the project.
	13. Is the project consistent and properly coordinated with other related initiatives in the country or in the region?	The project is consistent with other related initiatives.
	14. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	The project implementation and execution arrangements are adequate.
Project Financing	15. Is the itemized budget (including consultant fees, travel, office facilities, etc) justified?	The itemized budget is justified.
	16. Is funding level for project management cost appropriate?	The project management cost is appropriate.
	17. Is the funding and co-financing per objective appropriate and adequate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?	The funding per objective is appropriate.
	18. Is indicated co-financing appropriate for an enabling activity?	NA
	19. Is the co-financing amount that the Agency is bringing to the project in line with its role?*	NA
	20. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for financial management and procurement assessment.	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment
Agency Responses	21. Has the Agency responded adequately to comments from:*	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STAP? 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Convention Secretariat? 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other GEF Agencies? 	

Secretariat Recommendation		
Recommendation	22. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?	<p>The project is recommended for clearance.</p> <p>The Agency is expected to provide to the GEF Secretariat the following updates in a timely manner:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Contents of the Nationally Determined Contributions upon agreement with the national government. 2. Proposed submission date. 3. Completed Nationally Determined Contribution at the time of submission to UNFCCC.
Review Date (s)	First review**	June 12, 2014
	Additional review (as necessary)	
	Additional review (as necessary)	

**** This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments. Greyed areas in each section do not need comments.**